Rachel Tibbetts

From: Linda Griffiths

Sent: 02 January 2024 10:39

To: DC Support

Subject: FW: 23/03073/HYBRID - Phase 2 SW Bicester Kingsmere Parcel R East Of, Ludlow

Road, Bicester

Morning

Foe DEF please

Thanks Linda

Linda Griffiths BA (Hons) MRTPI

Principal Planning Officer (Major Developments)

Communities Directorate

Cherwell District Council Direct Tel: 01295 227998

Email: linda.griffiths@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Web: www.cherwell.gov.uk

Find us on Facebook www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil

Follow us on Twitter @Cherwellcouncil

You will appreciate that the above views are those of Council Officers and though given in good faith, cannot prejudice any decision which the Council, as the Local Planning Authority, may make.

From: Tim Screen <Tim.Screen@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk> Sent: Wednesday, December 27, 2023 5:17 PM

To: Linda Griffiths <Linda.Griffiths@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>

Subject: 23/03073/HYBRID - Phase 2 SW Bicester Kingsmere Parcel R East Of, Ludlow Road, Bicester

Hi Linda

Hope you had a good Christmas.

The problem with care home gardens is:

The perceived health risk to residents and 'other problems' as perceived by sta• can result in the long term closure of care home gardens. The novelty soon vanishes and the garden is le• overgrown with dead trees. It can look very unsightly and be perceived as a maintenance problem.

A solu•on is to ensure there garden is appropriately designed for low maintenance, with many di•erent species/cul•vars of garden trees for visual interest, non-toxic/non-allergic, non-spiney, low groundcover plants, grass area and user-accessible paths, pa•os and hard surfaces; basically a canvas for a future design whereby the following guidance may be consulted:

'Why Don't We Go into the Garden – A Designer Handbook for Crea • ng Ac • vely used Care Se • ng Gardens' by Debbie Carroll and Mark Rendell

The indica • ve design layout on the Proposed Site Layout Plan is generally acceptable as a basis for a detailed hard and so • landscape proposal drawing with implementa • on and a • ercare notes, plan • ng schedules, plant/tree sizes and groundcover plan • ng densi • es and boundary treatments. Tree pit details in hard and so • areas are required in accordance with BS8545: 2014 (root defectors should be considered).

The tree proposed tree structure is generally acceptable for giving containment and privacy. I would recommend the removal of 2 proposed trees immediately south of the raised beds to allow enough sunlight for the growing of vegetables. The visitor's car park visually mi•gated by trees for the bene•t of future residents (residen•al area to the west); they will also provide amenity for visitors.

The security of all garden areas for the people in the care home is paramount. Confusion may result for users if the link between the private garden and the raised beds is not explained properly through route markers and secure railings and locked gates (they could take either the le• of right fork in the path).

The private garden space could be •exible in terms of its use e.g. the pu• ng out of seats or 'bus stop' signs for temporary queuing of users. The items will have to be stored in a shed in a place easily accessible by sta•. Any ques•ons, let me know.

Kind regards

Tim Tim Screen CMLI Landscape Architect Environmental Services Environment & Place Cherwell District Council 01295 221862

mailto:tim.screen@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil Follow us on Twitter: @Cherwellcouncil

