## Comment for planning application 23/02338/OUT

**Application Number** 23/02338/OUT

Location

Land West Of Oxford Close And North Of Corner Farm Station Road Kirtlington

**Proposal** 

Erection of 15 detached and semi-detached single and two-storey dwellings (including affordable housing) together with access, parking, amenity space and landscaping - resubmission of 22/03049/OUT

**Case Officer** 

Jeanette Davey

**Organisation** 

Name

Gordon Pusey

**Address** 

5 Hatch Way, Kirtlington, Kidlington, OX5 3JS

Type of Comment

Objection

**Type** 

neighbour

Comments

My objections are as follows -

- 1. The proposed housing is on a greenfield agricultural site of high landscape value and as such this land should not be developed. The proposal forms part of a larger site that has been previously assessed for housing and rejected (Planning Ref: 14/01531/OUT), and the development would extend the village well beyond the existing western boundary. This boundary currently retains the linear form of the village.
- The proposed plan does not link with the existing village but is just an 'add on' outside of the village boundary and does nothing to integrate with the existing streets and property within the village.
- Additional traffic joining the A4095 will further exacerbate the already hazardous flow of vehicles. This development would create a third access road on a bend on major 'A' road.
- 4. The village sewers are not in a suitable condition to have any extra load placed on them.
- The water supply for Bletchingdon is pumped from Kirtlington. Any additional supply 5. requirements in Kirtlington will adversely affect Bletchingdon. Already any power cuts in Kirtlington stops the flow of water to Bletchingdon. If the local infrastructure is not up to scratch any additional developments will only make matters worse.
- Access to doctors and dentists is already very difficult. Any additional headcount will make it more difficult.
- 7. Cycle sheds are proposed, however cycling on the roads around Kirtlington is very dangerous.
- Public transport is VERY limited and not as good as claimed in the planning application. The rail service at Tackley requires a three mile walk along paths that are frequently flooded throughout the winter period.
- The claim that employment is available at Enslow is spurious. There are no businesses readily recruiting in Enslow.
- 10. The development will infringe on the privacy of the residents of Oxford Close and inflict upon these villagers significant harm to the character and appearance of the open countryside.

**Received Date** 

09/09/2023 19:25:46

**Attachments**