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Plans and Schedules to be read in conjunction with this report:

| Type | Reference | Version |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tree Schedule | 21-BEG-INF-SCH | 2 |
| Tree Constraints Plan | 21-BEG-DRW-TCP | 2 |
| Tree Retentions \& Removals Plan | 21-BEG-DRW-TRRP | 1 |

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

| Site Name | Land at Begbroke \& Yarnton |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client Name | Oxford University Developments Ltd |  |  |  |
| Local Planning Authority | Cherwell District Council |  |  |  |
| Development Proposal | Outline planning permission for a phased, mixed-use development ('the Proposed Development') which would provide up to 155,000 square metres ('sqm') gross external area ('GEA') of new faculty, and research and development space associated with the expansion of the existing Begbroke Science Park, up to 215,000 sqm GEA of residentia floorspace that would deliver apartments, communal and sharer accommodation and traditional houses and associated amenity, education and community uses. |  |  |  |
| Summary of existing tree stock | Category A | Category B | Category C | Category U |
|  | 25 | 188 | 165 | 69 |
| Summary of Tree Loss | Category A | Category B | Category C | Category U |
|  | 0 | 78 | 61 | 24 |
|  | Local Planning Policy |  | National Planning Policy |  |
| Relevant Planning <br> Policies | ESD 10: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity and the Natural Environment ESD13: Local Landscape Protection and Enhancement ESD15: The Character of the Built and Historic Environment |  | Para 131 - Right Tree Right Place <br> Para 174 - Ecosystem services <br> Para 180 - Irreplaceable habitat |  |
| Statutory Considerations | Conservation Area |  | Tree Preservation Order |  |
|  | No |  | No |  |
| Non-Statutory Considerations | ASNW |  | Veteran or ancient trees |  |
|  | None |  | 4 trees - Ash (T177), Ash (T180), Oak (T182) \& Ash (T326) |  |


| Version | Date | Notes | Version Control | Author Initials |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 16.06 .2023 | First Issue | NB |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## Instruction

1.1 I have been instructed by Oxford University Developments Ltd to provide arboriculture advice and guidance for a potential development scheme over land at Begbroke in Oxfordshire.

## Scope

1.2 The scope of this instruction has been to:

- A tree survey in accordance with BS5837:2012; and
- A summary report with accompanying plans that provides detail on the extent of constraints presented by trees to assist in the preliminary design for the site.
1.3 The tree survey was to be conducted in accordance with the guidance provided in BS5837 (2012) Trees in relation to design, demolition, and construction - Recommendations ('BS5837').
1.4 All plans and reports following the tree survey were also to follow the recommended processes defined in BS5837 and any other industry advice that provides best practice guidance for managing the relationship between trees and construction processes.


## Purpose of this report

1.5 This report is an Arboricultural Impact Assessment to that seeks to evaluate the direct and indirect effects of any development on the existing tree stock at a site:

- Direct impacts may arise from activities that result in root severance, soil compaction or soil contamination, all of which may cause the tree to decline and be lost. Other direct impacts include loss of vitality and exposure to pests and disease as a result of excessive canopy pruning.
- Indirect impacts may arise from future pressures from trees such as future growth, daylight, shading and sunlight, tree domination and/or soil movement.
1.6 Where there is potential for conflict between trees and new structures, the design process has sought to avoid harm through avoidance or mitigation, and where tree loss is unavoidable, compensatory measures are proposed.
1.7 The report is intended to be read by those who do not necessarily have specialist knowledge of trees and is therefore written in non-technical language. Where the use of technical terms is unavoidable, these will be highlighted in bold when first used and a definition provided in a glossary of terms at the end of this report.


## Site Description

1.8 Begbroke Innovation District ('the Site') is a 170-hectare site located approximately 4 miles north of the centre of Oxford, between the villages of Yarnton and Kidlington, centred at OS Grid Reference SP478135 and around postcode OX5 1PF.
1.9 Access to the site is provided primarily from the A44 which lies immediately to the west. Access to the site can also be gained from Sandy Lane (which bisects the site roughly east west) and to a lesser extent, from Yarnton Lane.
1.10 The Oxford - Banbury line, operated by GWR and Cross-Country Trains, runs through the centre of the site (to the East) from Oxford to Banbury. To the north, the site is bounded by Rowel Brook and Rushy Meadows SSSI. The Oxford Canal forms the easternmost site boundary.
1.11 The University of Oxford's Begbroke Science Park lies roughly central to the northern part of the site. An aerial image of the Site is presented in Plate 1 showing the extent of the survey area.


Plate 1: Begbroke site boundary (Source: Google Maps Date: 02.09.2022)

## Caveats and Limitations

1.12 While all reasonable efforts have been made to identify the condition and quality of the trees on site, the statements made in this report and schedules do not take into account the effects of extreme weather events, vandalism or accidents, or changes to the site that may affect trees that have taken place since the date of the survey.
1.13 I can confirm that the survey has been undertaken in accordance with industry best practice recommendations and guidance, but no warranty is provided in relation to changes to the site that occur after the date of the survey that may have an impact on the tree stock present at the time of the survey.
1.14 Unless stated differently in captions, all photographs used in this report have been taken by the author at the time of the site visit.
1.15 The comments and observations made within this report will cease to be valid either within two years of the date of the survey (unless specifically stated elsewhere within the report), or when
site conditions change or any works to trees take place that have not been specified within this report, whichever is the sooner.
1.16 The survey has been undertaken with the benefit of a topographical survey undertaken by Interlock Surveys dated February 2019 (ref:180133). The location of all trees and groups detailed in this report have been taken from the topographical survey and no warranty is given as to the accuracy of this data.
1.17 This survey has been limited to identifying arboricultural features within the Site. It does not include any ecological assessment or landscape appraisal of trees, groups, woodlands or hedges beyond the scope of BS5837.
1.18 Although I am occasionally involved in landscape, ecological and legal issues, I have no formal qualifications in these areas and any comments made in this report to such matters are limited to the general context in view of my familiarity through my day-to-day work, and professional advice should be obtained on these matters where required.

## 2. ABOUT THE AUTHOR

2.1 I (Nick Bolton) am the author of this report and am the principal consultant from Tree Frontiers Ltd for this project. This report is my own work, and the opinions and recommendations are my own, independently made and as a result of my professional experience.
2.2 I am a director of Tree Frontiers Ltd with 20 years' experience working in the sector. I have a first-class honour's degree in arboriculture from Myerscough College, accredited by the University of Lancaster.
2.3 I am a Registered Consultant of the Arboricultural Association as well as a Chartered Member of the Institute of Chartered Foresters. I abide by the code of ethics and professional standards of these institutions

## 3. TREE SURVEY AND CONSTRAINTS

## Tree Survey

3.1 The tree survey was jointly carried out by Nick Bolton and Steve Westmore of Tree Frontiers Ltd on 8-12 August 2022. The weather conditions at the time of the survey were clear, bright and hot.
3.2 An additional survey was undertaken by Nick Bolton alone on $21^{\text {st }} \& 24^{\text {th }}$ October in the Science Area. The weather conditions at the time of the survey were poor, with intermittent heavy rain shower and overcast, limiting visibility of the trees.
3.3 A copy of the recorded data can be seen in the tree schedule that accompanies this report, and the constraints presented by trees to any development scheme have been plotted on a Tree Constraints Plan ('TCP').

## General Data Capture

3.4 For reference, individual trees are identified with the letter T and associated number on the Tree Schedule and on a plan showing the extent of tree constraints. The following measurement conventions have been followed:

- Stem diameter of the trees on Site was recorded using a rounded down diameter tape, measured at 1.5 m above ground level. Measurements were recorded in millimetres, rounded to the nearest 10 mm .
- The height of the subject trees has been estimated to the nearest metre.
- Maximum crown spread of the subject tree was measured from the edge of the trunk to the tips of the live lateral branches taken at four compass points (N-E-S-W) using a Leica Disto digital laser measure. Crown spread measurements were taken in metres and rounded to the nearest 0.5 m .
3.5 Tree age was estimated from visual indicators (such as tree size and appearance of bark) which is provided as a provisional guide.
3.6 Groups of trees were identified with the letter $G$ and number on the associated schedule and plans. Crown spread was assessed using topographical data to position the extents. Stem diameter of groups of trees was set as an average stem diameter of the trees within these individual groups and a maximum height of the tallest tree within the group.
3.7 Hedges are identified with the letter H and number on the associated schedule and plans. Each hedgerow was surveyed recording the species, the maximum height and the average width of the hedge. Any individual trees present within the hedgerow were recorded as an individual tree.
3.8 If direct access to a tree was not possible, estimations from appropriate vantage points were taken. Any limitations or estimations are presented within the survey limitations section and noted in the associated schedules.


## Categorisation

3.9 In compliance with Table 1 of BS5837 the trees surveyed have been categorised according to their arboricultural quality and value (non-fiscal) which is summarised below in Table 1.

Table 1 - Summary of BS5837 categorisation colours

| Category | Colour | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Green | Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at <br> least 40 years |
| B | Blue | Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy <br> of at least 20 years |
| C | Grey | Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at <br> least 10 years |
| U | Red | Those trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained <br> as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 <br> years |

3.10 A summary of my assessment on the quality of the trees is presented in Table 2.

Table 2 - Summary of tree quality on site

|  | Category <br> A | Category <br> B | Category <br> C | Category <br> U | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 2 | 61 | 40 | 13 | 116 |
| Hedges | - | 17 | 21 | - | 38 |
| Trees | 23 | 107 | 104 | 56 | 290 |
| Woodland | - | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| Total | 25 | 188 | 165 | 69 | 447 |

## Above Ground Tree Constraints

3.11 The above ground constraints posed by canopy spread are plotted as a continuous line around the tree, with the extent of the canopy spread hatched in the corresponding BS5837 retention category colour.

## Root Protection Area

3.12 The Root Protection Area (RPA) is defined in BS5837 as being a "layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority". It is an estimation of the area of the root system that would need to be retained to sustain the current and future condition of the tree if all the other roots outside it were to be severed.
3.13 The RPA of each tree has been calculated in accordance with Section 4.6.1 in BS5837. This is determined through multiplying the stem diameter of each tree, measured at 1.5 m above ground level, by a factor of 12. from the measurement of the stem diameter as recorded in the tree schedule attached to this report. The below ground constraints posed by the RPA have been plotted on the TCP as a magenta line with the text RPA inscribed.
3.14 The RPA is initially plotted as a circle with the tree in the centre. Where site conditions may influence the shape and size of the RPA (e.g. the presence of roads, buildings or other structures), the shape and size of the RPA can be amended in accordance with Section 4.6.3 in BS5837.
3.15 Five groups of trees and three trees have had the RPA adjusted to account for built structures that are likely to affect the disposition of the rooting system. There are natural and man-made features across the site that may have an impact on root disposition and morphology, the most significant of which are the Oxford Canal on the eastern boundary and the deep drainage ditches that bound the fields and roads. However, the RPA of the trees in these areas have not been adjusted as it is likely that the trees will have been able to exploit space below these features. The exception to this is the RPA of the veteran tree (T326) which has had the RPA adjusted to the east to account for the stream and woodland to the west of the stem. The roots of this trees are more likely to extend to the open space to the east.
3.16 A summary of reasons and locations of the trees with adjusted RPA are detailed in Table 3.

Table 3: Trees and Groups with RPA adjusted for built form and natural features

| Survey Ref. | Reason for RPA adjustment | TCP Layout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G291 | Farm buildings and hard standing at Parkers Farm limit direction | 13 |
| G293 | and spread of roots to east and south | 12 |
| G294 | Natural features (ponds \& stream) to west limit root spread | 12 |
| T326 | Nio Performance Engineering building limits root growth to north | 2 |
| T400 |  | 11 |
| G402 |  | 11 |
| G405 | Main access route into site limits roots growth to east | 11 |
| T442 | Site IT services building limits root growth to west | 11 |
| T440 | Site canteen building limits root growth to east | 11 |

## Veteran Trees

3.17 Trees that have been identified as being veteran or ancient are considered to be irreplaceable habitat and as such, require a larger RPA. The current guidance on the RPA for such trees is provided as Standing Advice by the Forestry Commission and this suggests that a "buffer zone should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5 metres from the edge of the tree's canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree's diameter." ${ }^{1}$
3.18 Four trees (T177, T180, T182 \& T326) have been identified as being veteran and the RPA of these trees has been adjusted in accordance with the Standing Advice. The enlarged RPA has been highlighted on the TCP in yellow and the tree reference number is also highlighted in a yellow box. These trees can be located on Print Layout 2 (T326) and 28 (T177, T180 \& T182).

## Hedgerows

3.19 Some hedgerows in England and Wales are protected under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. Such hedgerows are defined as being "Important", and there are defined criteria that needs to be met in order to a hedgerow to be considered as Important. For a hedgerow to be defined as being important, it must be at least 30 years old, and must satisfy at least one of the criteria set out in Part II of Schedule 1 to the regulations. These criteria relation to location and "importance". Full details of these requirements can be found online (Countryside hedgerows: protection and management).
3.20 The assessment of whether the hedgerows meet the criteria of the considered as Important has been undertaken by BSG as part of the Baseline Assessment (report reference (BEG-BSG-XX-XX-RP-EE-00001-Ecology Baseline Report). This concluded that several hedgerows across the site meet the required threshold. This information has been presented at Figure 3: Hedgerow Survey of the baseline assessment (page 61). Cross referencing that survey information with the tree survey, a summary of those hedgerows considered to be Important are summarised in Table 4

[^0]Table 4: Summary of Important Hedgerows (defined by BSG)

| Category A | Category B | Category C | Category U |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | G24, G31, G37, H42, | H13, H74, H99, H105, | G353 |
|  | G53, G61, G106, | G165, G186, H233, |  |
|  | G115, H138, H139, | H234, H318, H340, |  |
|  | G163, H168, G176, | H342, G365 |  |
|  | G181, G204, H205, |  |  |
|  | H206, G306, H355, |  |  |
|  | G364, H367 |  |  |

## Soils

3.21 Paragraph 4.3 of BS5837 recommends that a soil assessment be completed by a competent person to inform decisions relating to the RPA, tree protection, new planting design and foundation design. I am not able to provide this assessment as I have no formal qualifications in this area, and professional advice should be taken to provide any detailed reports.
3.22 A geotechnical ground investigation has been commissioned and undertaken by Hydrock (document reference 19114-HYD-XX-XX-RP-GE-1002). The ground investigations concluded that there are a mix of soil types across the site. These are summarised in Table 5 and graphically presented in an extract from the Geology of Britain viewer ${ }^{2}$ which can provide a broad indication of the underlying geology of a site (Plate 2).

Table 5: Summary of soil types from Hydrock Ground investigations

| Superficial Deposits |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alluvium comprising soft orangish and <br> yellowish-brown sandy clay to slightly sandy <br> slightly gravelly clay, and a sandy gravel with <br> gravel constituents of flint and limestone | North and south of the site and across the east <br> of the site between the railway line and Oxford <br> Canal |
| Head Deposits comprising orangish brown <br> sandy clay, locally slightly gravelly, of flint |  |
| River Terrace Deposits comprising generally <br> medium dense to dense (locally loose) slightly <br> gravelly slightly clayey sand / sandy gravel with <br> gravel constituents of flint, limestone and <br> ironstone | Encountered in the higher areas of the site <br> (west, centre and north) |
| Bedrock Geology |  |
| Oxford Clay Formation comprising grey to <br> bluish grey clay, | In the centre, south and the southeast of the <br> site |
| Kellaways Sand Member comprising a soft grey <br> or orangish brown sandy clayey silt, sand or <br> sandy clay | In the north of the site |
| Cornbrash Limestone Formation comprising a <br> light grey to yellowish brown limestone gravel <br> or stiff yellowish brown sandy gravelly clay. | In the north of the site and below the <br> Kellaway's Clay Formation |
| Forest Marble Formation comprising an upper <br> grey mudstone with interbeds of a strong grey <br> limestone. | In the northeast of the site and underlying the <br> Cornbrash Limestone Formation |

[^1]

Plate 2: Extract from BGS Geology Viewer (accessed: 06.09.2022)
3.23 The Hydrock report recommends that:

- For houses up to $21 / 2$ storeys: strip/trench fill foundations across the centre, north and west of the site (deepening due to trees as required) to depths of between 1 m and a maximum depth of 2.5 m bgl, depending on site specific ground conditions and the locations of existing and proposed trees and hedges.
- Piled foundations will be required in areas underlain by deep Made Ground, and soft compressible deposits such as Alluvium, or to the south and east of the landfill, due to risks of excessive settlement from anticipated structural loads.
- Piled foundations for houses where foundation depths are greater than 2.50 m , such as due to trees on shrinkable clays, or deep low strength / loose / compressible strata.
3.24 The soil type will also have an impact on any recommendations for replacement or enhancement planting that may form a part of any landscape strategy for a planning application.


## Statutory Considerations

3.25 Begbroke is located within the boundary of Cherwell District Council (CDC), the Local Planning Authority (LPA). The LPA has a statutory obligation to ensure that provision is made for the protection of trees, through section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act (1990). The principal form of protection comes through trees being subject to a Tree Preservation Order or being located in a conservation area. A search has been undertaken on the interactive Cherwell

Planning Conservation map to determine the presence or otherwise of TPO or Conservation Areas.
3.26 The results of the search reveal that the Site is not located within a conservation area, and that none of the trees on site are subject to a TPO (see Plate 3).


Plate 3: Extract from Cherwell DC Planning Conservation Map (accessed 18.04.2023)
4. NATIONAL AND LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES

National Planning Policy Framework 2021
4.1 National Planning Policy is currently defined by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). This provides the most current and up to date planning guidance.
4.2 At the heart of the NPPF is a presumption in favour of sustainable development, and specifically states that for decision making, the LPA should be approving development proposals that accord with the development plan without delay.
4.3 Section 12 of the NPPF recognises the importance of integrating trees into urban environments as part of achieving well-designed places. While the primary focus is on new tree planting, the importance of retaining existing trees and incorporation into proposals is a driving factor, stating that:
"Trees make an important contribution to the character and quality of urban environments, and can also help mitigate and adapt to climate change. Planning policies should ensure that new streets are tree-lined, that opportunities are taken to incorporate trees elsewhere in developments (such as parks and community orchards), that appropriate measures are in place to secure the long-term maintenance of newly-planted trees, and that existing trees are retained wherever possible. Applicants and local planning authorities should work with highways officers and tree officers to ensure that the right trees are planted in the right places, and solutions are found that are compatible with highways standards and the needs of different users." (Paragraph 131)
4.4 In addition, Section 15 of the NPPF recognises the importance of conserving and enhancing the natural environment, and specifically acknowledges the role of trees and woodland in the provision of natural capital and ecosystem services.
"Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:
b) recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services - including the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land, and of trees and woodland;" (Paragraph 174)
4.5 It further acknowledges the importance of ancient woodlands and veteran trees for habitats and biodiversity and requires that planning consent should be refused where development schemes require the removal of such features unless there are wholly exceptional reasons, stating that:
"development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists." (Paragraph 180, c)

## Local Planning Policy

4.6 The LPA has a statutory obligation to ensure that provision is made for the protection of trees through section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act (1990).
4.7 CDC has prepared local planning policies that are presented in the Local Plan 2031. The policies that need to be met in relation to trees are detailed in Table 6. A full copy of these policies are presented in Appendix 1.

Table 6 - Local Planning Policies in relation to trees

| Policy | Name | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ESD10 | Protection and <br> Enhancement of <br> Biodiversity and the <br> Natural Environment | This policy seeks the protection of trees with the aim of <br> increasing the number of trees across the district. Where tree <br> loss is unavoidable, the policy states that there needs to be <br> mitigation or compensation for such loss. <br> Additionally, any development that would result in damage to <br> or loss of a site of biodiversity including habitats of species of <br> principal importance for biodiversity will not be permitted <br> unless the benefits of the development outweigh the harm and <br> the loss can be mitigated to achieve a net gain in biodiversity. |
| ESD13 | Local Landscape <br> Protection and <br> Enhancement. | This policy seeks to ensure the enhancement of the character <br> and appearance of the landscape, in particular in relation to the <br> urban fringe. It explains that development will not be allowed <br> where there is harm to the visual setting or the tranquillity of a <br> site. |


| Policy | Name | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ESD15 | The Character of the <br> Built and Historic <br> Environment | This policy seeks to ensure any new development will respect <br> the built, natural and cultural context of the surrounding area. <br> It requires that any new development will make a positive <br> contribution to an area's character and identity by reinforcing <br> local distinctiveness through respecting local topography and <br> landscape features such as significant trees. |

## 5. DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

5.1 Oxford University Development Ltd ('the Applicant') is seeking outline planning permission for a phased, mixed-use development ('the Proposed Development') which would provide up to 155,000 square metres ('sqm') gross external area ('GEA') of new faculty, and research and development space associated with the expansion of the existing Begbroke Science Park, up to 215,000 sqm GEA of residential floorspace that would deliver apartments, communal and sharer accommodation and traditional houses and associated amenity, education and community uses.
6. ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## General Considerations

6.1 It is to be noted that this assessment reviews the parameter plan proposals at this outline stage and a further review of the layout at reserved matters stage will be undertaken.
6.2 The planning of the site layout and parameters plan is guided by Development Principles that are intended to help inform the preparation of subsequent Reserved Matters Applications. Those submissions are expected to demonstrate substantial conformity with the Development Principles. The Development Principles are not intended to fix a specific design outcome, but instead define criteria for the subsequent design stages.
6.3 The key development principle in relation to trees is that the loss of or harm to ancient, veteran or other high quality trees (Category A) on the site will be avoided and any detailed site design amended to ensure that these trees continue to contribute to the site once the development is completed.

## Development Zone

6.4 The Parameters Plans define the land use for different areas of the site (see BEG-HBA-SW-ZZ-SK-A-SK-81-Parameter Plan - Development Zones_P10). The main part of the site (surrounding the existing science area and to the south of Sandy Lane) is designated as the Development Zone. It is anticipated that the majority of trees and hedges in this area will be removed, but only in accordance with the Development Principles detailed in the Development Area Brief and Design Guides.
6.5 This Arboricultural Impact Assessment is based on a worst-case scenario and assumes that all trees and hedges other than ancients, veterans and high quality trees within the Development

Zone will be removed. The exception to are those trees at Parkers Farm, between the existing Science Park and the National Rail Line.
6.6 The development parameters plan will result in the removal of 123 trees, groups and hedges as summarised in Table 7 below. A further two hedges will be retained but with small sections removed to allow the formation of new access routes.

Table 7: Summary of potential losses within the Development Zone

|  | Category <br> A | Category <br> B | Category <br> C | Category |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 0 | 15 | 6 | 5 | Total |
| Hedge | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Tree | 0 | 32 | 35 | 17 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ |

6.7 This scheme shows all the trees on the southern boundary of the site as being retained (T160T189). These trees are growing on third party land owned by Hallam Land, and are subject to a separate planning application. Any losses on that boundary will be considered as part of an impact assessment for that application.
6.8 All trees for removal have been highlighted on the Tree Retentions and Removals Plan (TRRP) with a dashed redline and the tree number in a red circle. They are also highlighted in the tree schedule in red text.

Open Space - Tree, Group and Hedge Loss
6.9 The Land Use Parameters Plan shows that the remaining areas of the site to the north and to the east of the railway line will be retained as open space/recreational areas in which there will be no buildings or structures other than those ancillary to the use of the land. Tree loss in this area is anticipated to be minimal other than for the creation of new access and movement routes.
6.10 The proposed Access and Movement Parameter Plan (ref BEG-HBA-SW-ZZ-SK-ASK84_Parameter Plan - Access and Movement Plan_P10) through the open space to the north and east of the site will result in the loss of seven trees and one group as well as the partial removal of seven groups, eight hedges and creating a cut through in one woodland.
6.11 A summary of the tree losses is provided in Table 8. The trees have been highlighted on the TRRP with a dashed redline and the tree number in a red circle. They are also highlighted in the tree schedule in red text.

Table 8: Summary of losses

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category <br> A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category <br> B |  |  |  |  |  |
| FULL REMOVAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Croup | 0 | 0 | 0 | Category <br> C | Total |
| Hedge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Tree | 0 | 5 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |


| PARTIAL REMOVALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Hedge | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| Woodland | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |  |

Arboricultural Impact - Tree Pruning
6.12 This is an outline application, and the details of any facilitation pruning will be provided in any relevant reserved matters application.

## Arboricultural Impact - Encroachment of the RPA

6.13 This is an outline application and the details of any encroachment of RPA will be provided in any relevant reserved matters application.

## Veteran Trees

6.14 The proposed scheme does not require the removal of or encroachment with the protected buffer zone of any veteran tree.
6.15 The Design Specification will ensure that there will be no loss of or harm to ancient or veteran trees (including their root protection areas) identified within the Site, other than in wholly exceptional circumstances.

## 7. NEW TREE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE ENHANCEMENT

7.1 The landscape vision for the Site is detailed in the Development Specification and Strategic Design Guidelines. The general principles defined in the document in terms of new and replacement tree planting is covered in the Biodiversity section at 3.3. Those principles state that:

- Existing biodiversity corridors should be strengthened with interventions targeted at ecological enhancement. Specific focus should be set on the Oxford Canal corridor and its strengthened contribution to Oxfordshire's Nature Recovery Network.
- Existing ecological assets should be retained wherever possible with particular attention to the Oxford Canal corridor, Sandy Lane hedgerow, hedgerows with high ecological value and woodlands.
- A wide range of bio types should be provided (woodlands, wet meadows, dry meadows, marshlands....), monitored and maintained in time to retain their ecological value.
- Within the development, roads, pocket parks and courtyards should have a green planted character.
- Planting should be composed in layers: ground covers, grasses, plants, shrubs and trees.
- The planting palette should favour a healthy variety of native species, including trees that produce fruits and berries. The mix of species should aim to provide food for
pollinators throughout the year and include species that are resilient to a changing climate.
- Local fauna should be supported by providing strategically located solutions within the landscape as well as buildings including bird \& bat houses, logs, rock piles, insects hotels, etc.
7.2 An illustrative landscape masterplan has been developed for the scheme which envisages 84.2ha of green space, an extract of which is presented in (see Hawkins Brown report reference BEG-HBA-XX-XX-PP-MP-Stage2Deck230331 for detail). The details with tree numbers, species and sizes will be addressed through reserved matters but the overall impact will be an increase in the overall tree provision on site.


Plate 4: Extract from Landscape Masterplan

## 8. PRINCIPLES OF TREE PROTECTION

8.1 Retained trees on the site will be protected from potential harmful activities during the development. Details of the protection measures can be conditioned and addressed through reserved matters applications.
8.2 However, it is important that site-wide principles for the protection of tree are established which will form the basis on which more detailed tree protection measures will be created. These principles are:

- All retained trees will be protected by fencing that will form a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ), behind which there will be no access during the development phase.
- Where fencing cannot provide the necessary protection measures, alternative systems will be installed that will ensure retained trees are protected. This may include the use of either temporary or permanent ground protection.
- There will be no storage of materials, or access for construction workers or machinery within any CEZ.
- There will be no excavation within a CEZ. All utilities and underground services will be located outside the CEZ or tap into existing service routes.
- Any storage or mixing station located outside of a CEZ will be located in a place that minimises the risk of contaminated runoff entering the CEZ and damaging the rooting environment. This may be achieved by using a non-permeable membrane on the ground, surrounded by sandbags to contain any spillage.
- There will be no fires within a CEZ.
- There will be no use of herbicides within a CEZ.
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- Forestry Commission (2022) Ancient woodland, ancient trees and veteran trees: advice for making planning decisions [Available online]
- Cherwell District Council (2016) Local Planning Policy. [Available online] (Accessed 02.09.2022)
- Cherwell District Council (2022) Tree Protection [Available online] (Accessed 06.09.2022)
- British Geological Society (2022) Geology of Britain Viewer. [Available online] (Accessed: 06.09.2022)
- Cranfield Soils and Agrifood Institute (2022) Soilscapes [Available online] (Accessed: 06.09.2022)


## 10. GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Ancient - An ancient tree is exceptionally valuable, although very few trees reach the age to be classified as ancient. Unlike Veterans, ancient is an age classification, and attributes can include its age, size, condition, biodiversity value as a result of significant wood decay and the habitat created from the ageing process, and/or cultural and heritage value.

Arboricultural Method Statement - methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that is within the root protection area, or has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree to be retained.

Conservation Area - An area recognized in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as being 'of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance'. Trees may make a significant contribution to the character of a conservation area, so any works to trees in a conservation area will require notification to be made to the local authority, which then has six weeks to consider the works. Notice may be submitted as part of a planning application, provided that the required works are clearly stated.

Construction Exclusion Zone - area based on the root protection area from which access is prohibited for the duration of a project.

Coppice/coppicing - The practice of cutting a tree back to near ground level to encourage multiple stems of second-generation growth. This is a management practice that is not suitable for all tree species, and is commonly used in the management of hazel and sweet chestnut in woodlands, and ash and sycamore in hedgerows. Other species of broadleaf tree can also be managed in this way. The old coppice stump is the stool.

Early mature - Age class of a tree in its life cycle between youth and maturity, getting close to reaching its maximum potential (see Mature), but still increasing in size and spread.

Facilitation Pruning - one-off tree pruning operation, the nature and effects of which are without significant adverse impact on tree physiology or amenity value, which is directly necessary to enable consented operations on site.

Maiden - A tree that has never been altered by pruning.
Mature - Age class of a tree that reached its maximum growth potential (height and spread) for the species and environment conditions. $20-80 \%$ of a tree's life can be spent in the mature stage.

Over mature - Age class of trees that are still close to their full height and crown size, but showing indication of senescence with retrenchment (slow reduction) of the overall canopy size. The main stem diameter (which by now is large) increases more slowly. Some veteran characteristics may start to appear.

Pollard/Pollarding - A pollard is a tree that has been pollarded or subject to pollarding. Pollarding is the complete or partial removal of the live growth of the canopy to control the height and spread of the tree. The management regime is repeated frequently to maintain this growth pattern.

Root Protection Area (RPA) - layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority.

Senescence - The late stage of a tree's life characterized by a decline in the volume of the crown and root system.

Semi mature - Age class of tree that is established but not yet close to reaching its full height and growth potential, and which could be moved with specialist equipment.

Tree Preservation Order - An order made by a local authority or other planning authority to protect a tree, group of trees, area of (scattered) trees or woodland under Part VIII of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, amended by the Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012. An order is generally made on the grounds of amenity and expediency, and anyone proposing works to a TPO tree must seek prior consent from the local authority. This consent can include planning permission provided the required works are clearly defined and necessary for the consent scheme to progress.

Tree Protection Plan - scale drawing, informed by descriptive text where necessary, based upon the finalized proposals, showing trees for retention and illustrating the tree and landscape protection measures.

Veteran-A veteran tree may not be very old, but the term is not a classification of age. It has significant decay features, such as branch death and hollowing which contribute to its exceptional biodiversity, cultural and heritage value. All ancient trees are veteran trees, but not all veteran trees are ancient. The age at which a tree becomes ancient or veteran will vary by species because each species ages at a different rate.

Young - Age class of a tree that has recently been planted, or which is becoming established but could be moved without specialist equipment.

## 11. APPENDIX 1: CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL - LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES RELATING TO TREES

## Policy ESD 10: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity and the Natural Environment

Protection and enhancement of biodiversity and the natural environment will be achieved by the following:

- In considering proposals for development, a net gain in biodiversity will be sought by protecting, managing, enhancing and extending existing resources, and by creating new resources
- The protection of trees will be encouraged, with an aim to increase the number of trees in the District
- The reuse of soils will be sought
- If significant harm resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or as a last resort, compensated for, then development will not be permitted.
- Development which would result in damage to or loss of a site of international value will be subject to the Habitats Regulations Assessment process and will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that there will be no likely significant effects on the international site or that effects can be mitigated
- Development which would result in damage to or loss of a site of biodiversity or geological value of national importance will not be permitted unless the benefits of the development clearly outweigh the harm it would cause to the site and the wider national network of SSSIs, and the loss can be mitigated to achieve a net gain in biodiversity/geodiversity
- Development which would result in damage to or loss of a site of biodiversity or geological value of regional or local importance including habitats of species of principal importance for biodiversity will not be permitted unless the benefits of the development clearly outweigh the harm it would cause to the site, and the loss can be mitigated to achieve a net gain in biodiversity/geodiversity
- Development proposals will be expected to incorporate features to encourage biodiversity, and retain and where possible enhance existing features of nature conservation value within the site. Existing ecological networks should be identified and maintained to avoid habitat fragmentation, and ecological corridors should form an essential component of green infrastructure provision in association with new development to ensure habitat connectivity
- Relevant habitat and species surveys and associated reports will be required to accompany planning applications which may affect a site, habitat or species of known or potential ecological value
- Air quality assessments will also be required for development proposals that would be likely to have a significantly adverse impact on biodiversity by generating an increase in air pollution
- Planning conditions/obligations will be used to secure net gains in biodiversity by helping to deliver Biodiversity Action Plan targets and/or meeting the aims of Conservation Target Areas. Developments for which these are the principal aims will be viewed favourably
- A monitoring and management plan will be required for biodiversity features on site to ensure their long term suitable management.


## Policy ESD 13: Local Landscape Protection and Enhancement

Opportunities will be sought to secure the enhancement of the character and appearance of the landscape, particularly in urban fringe locations, through the restoration, management or enhancement of existing landscapes, features or habitats and where appropriate the creation of new ones, including the planting of woodlands, trees and hedgerows.

Development will be expected to respect and enhance local landscape character, securing appropriate mitigation where damage to local landscape character cannot be avoided. Proposals will not be permitted if they would:

- $\quad$ Cause undue visual intrusion into the open countryside
- $\quad$ Cause undue harm to important natural landscape features and topography
- Be inconsistent with local character
- Impact on areas judged to have a high level of tranquillity
- Harm the setting of settlements, buildings, structures or other landmark features, or
- Harm the historic value of the landscape.

Development proposals should have regard to the information and advice contained in the Council's Countryside Design Summary Supplementary Planning Guidance, and the Oxfordshire Wildlife and Landscape Study (OWLS), and be accompanied by a landscape assessment where appropriate.

## Policy ESD 15: The Character of the Built and Historic Environment

Successful design is founded upon an understanding and respect for an area's unique built, natural and cultural context. New development will be expected to complement and enhance the character of its context through sensitive siting, layout and high quality design. All new development will be required to meet high design standards. Where development is in the vicinity of any of the District's distinctive natural or historic assets, delivering high quality design that complements the asset will be essential.

New development proposals should:

- Be designed to deliver high quality safe, attractive, durable and healthy places to live and work in. Development of all scales should be designed to improve the quality and appearance of an area and the way it functions
- Deliver buildings, places and spaces that can adapt to changing social, technological, economic and environmental conditions
- Support the efficient use of land and infrastructure, through appropriate land uses, mix and density/development intensity
- Contribute positively to an area's character and identity by creating or reinforcing local distinctiveness and respecting local topography and landscape features, including skylines, valley floors, significant trees, historic boundaries, landmarks, features or views, in particular within designated landscapes, within the Cherwell Valley and within conservation areas and their setting
- Conserve, sustain and enhance designated and non designated 'heritage assets' (as defined in the NPPF) including buildings, features, archaeology, conservation areas and their settings, and ensure new development is sensitively sited and integrated in accordance with advice in the NPPF and NPPG. Proposals for development that affect non-designated heritage assets will be considered taking account of the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset as set out in the NPPF and NPPG. Regeneration proposals that make sensitive use of heritage assets, particularly where these bring redundant or under used buildings or areas, especially any on English Heritage's At Risk Register, into appropriate use will be encouraged
- Include information on heritage assets sufficient to assess the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. Where archaeological potential is identified this should include an appropriate desk based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.
- Respect the traditional pattern of routes, spaces, blocks, plots, enclosures and the form, scale and massing of buildings. Development should be designed to integrate with existing streets and public spaces, and buildings configured to create clearly defined active public frontages
- Reflect or, in a contemporary design response, re-interpret local distinctiveness, including elements of construction, elevational detailing, windows and doors, building and surfacing materials, mass, scale and colour palette
- Promote permeable, accessible and easily understandable places by creating spaces that connect with each other, are easy to move through and have recognisable landmark features
- Demonstrate a holistic approach to the design of the public realm to create high quality and multifunctional streets and places that promotes pedestrian movement and integrates different modes of transport, parking and servicing. The principles set out in The Manual for Streets should be followed
- Consider the amenity of both existing and future development, including matters of privacy, outlook, natural lighting, ventilation, and indoor and outdoor space
- Limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation
- Be compatible with up to date urban design principles, including Building for Life, and achieve Secured by Design accreditation
- Consider sustainable design and layout at the masterplanning stage of design, where building orientation and the impact of microclimate can be considered within the layout
- Incorporate energy efficient design and sustainable construction techniques, whilst ensuring that the aesthetic implications of green technology are appropriate to the context (also see Policies ESD 1 - 5 on climate change and renewable energy)
- Integrate and enhance green infrastructure and incorporate biodiversity enhancement features where possible (see Policy ESD 10: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity and the Natural Environment and Policy ESD 17 Green Infrastructure ). Well designed landscape schemes should be an integral part of development proposals to support improvements to biodiversity, the micro climate, and air pollution and provide attractive places that improve people's health and sense of vitality
- Use locally sourced sustainable materials where possible.

The Council will provide more detailed design and historic environment policies in the Local Plan Part 2.

The design of all new development will need to be informed by an analysis of the context, together with an explanation and justification of the principles that have informed the design rationale. This should be demonstrated in the Design and Access Statement that accompanies the planning application. The Council expects all the issues within this policy to be positively addressed through the explanation and justification in the Design \& Access Statement. Further guidance can be found on the Council's website.

The Council will require design to be addressed in the pre-application process on major developments and in connection with all heritage sites. For major sites/strategic sites and complex developments, Design Codes will need to be prepared in conjunction with the Council and local stakeholders to ensure appropriate character and high quality design is delivered throughout. Design Codes will usually be prepared between outline and reserved matters stage to set out design principles for the development of the site. The level of prescription will vary according to the nature of the site.


FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Ase class | Ivoung |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Categor Grating }}$ Cateory | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {er }}^{\text {ERC }}$ | Subategor |  |  |  |
| c. c . | Heiht of frown dearance above ground lvel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The stage in the life crice of ta tee beween vout and maturity | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ - Mainly Landscape |  |  |
| Li.te. | Oirection of ofoest trane |  |  |  | ¢ | Onee Masure |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{c}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {ctot }}^{10}$ | ${ }^{\text {3-Mainly cutural }}$ |  |  |
|  | Estimated Remaining Contili | bution (inv |  |  |  |  |  | A tree that | thas suvived | d the figurus oflif | and show signs of ancientress | u | Unsutrabe tor eremention |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiological | condition (PC) | Good- No siginficant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair-symptoms of health hat a an be ereededited |  |  |  |  | Poor-s.sgificantill heath | Tres for removal re onted in red text. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Strucural oo | Odition (Sc) | ood | genifican defer |  |  | Frir S-Sigificant defects that an be eremedited |  |  |  |  | Poor-S Significant defects with or oemedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | H(m) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | $\mathrm{DLB}_{(m)}$ | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy (m2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{1011}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 7 | 700 | 1 | N-4 E-7 S-3 W-4 | 4 | 4 | E | Over | PC-Poor | Deadwood throughout with canopy in decline Deadwood <br> Major deadwood Declining canopy |  | 10+ Years | c | ${ }^{3}$ | 222 | 8.40 | 60.5 |
| 6012 | Pedunculate Oak x2 (Quercus robur x2) | 12 | 970 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-12 \\ & \mathrm{E}-9.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-12 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-9.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- - } \mathrm{Fir} \end{aligned}$ | Group of two hedgerow tres, southern tre is triple stemmed from base. |  | $20+$ years | B | 2 | 430 | 11.70 | 358.1 |
| н013 | Blackthorn <br> Hazel <br> Elder <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Goat Willow <br> Buddleia <br> (Prunus spinosa <br> Corylus avellana Sambucus nigra Crataegus mo Salix caprea Fraxinus excelsior Buddleia sp.) | 5 | 150 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 | - | . | $s$ | Mature | PC.Fair | Field boundary hedge of varying width and height. Southern end wider and taller, as well as less managed than northern end. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 10 | 1.80 | 12.6 |
| T014 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 580 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 3 | w | Mature | PC-Fair | Specimen tree in shelter belt along greenway Has been coppiced in past Ash Dieback - No |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 150 | 6.90 | 103.7 |
| T015 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 750 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-6 \\ \mathrm{E}-6 \\ \mathrm{S-6} \\ \mathrm{~W}-9 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | nw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Specimen tree in shelter belt along greenway <br> Ash Dieback - Yes, notably on south side ADB Extent - 25-50\% |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 254 | 9.00 | 141.4 |
| T016 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 12 | 720 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{S-6} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Specimen tree in wooded belt along greenway. Northern side managed for agricultural access. <br> Deadwood on south side due to shading from canopy |  | $20+$ years | в | 2 | 238 | 8.70 | 142.9 |
| T017 | Common Ash <br> (Fraxinus excelsior) | 12 | 690 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-4 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { S-6.5 } \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Specimen tree in wooded belt along greenway with dieback on southern side Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 222 | 8.40 | 90.7 |
| 6018 | Pedunculate Oak Common Ash (Quercus robur Fraxinus excelsior | 18 | 1070 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-12 \\ & \mathrm{E}-11 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-12 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-9 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | nw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | ```Ash Dieback - Yes/No ADB Extent - 0-25% Deadwood in oak which is declining. Trees share mutual canopy and offer protection to each other.``` |  | $10+$ years | c | 2 | 523 | 12.90 | 377.0 |
| T019 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 230 | 1 | N-7 E-6 S-6 W-7 | 3 | 3 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary tree that has been coppiced <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | $20+$ years | в | 2 | 23 | 2.70 | 132.7 |



FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | No of Stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommen | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance ( m ) | Ground area <br> covered by <br> canopy (m2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| т025 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 750 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-6.5 \\ \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 4 | s | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 254 | 9.00 | 137.4 |
| т026 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 520 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 5 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed old coppice which has understorey clear for visibility from east side of canal. Small basal cavity on northern stem. <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | $20+$ Years | в | 3 | 125 | 6.30 | 95.0 |
| T027 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 14 | 980 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6 } \\ & \text { E-8 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | $s$ | Mature | PC - Good SC-Good | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east, drainage ditch to west <br> Level change with tree growing at bottom of slope by tow path |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 430 | 11.70 | 153.2 |
| T028 |  | 20 | 710 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-13 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-11 \end{aligned}$ | - | . | sw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with overflow channel from canal lock to east. <br> Fractured limbs from base on western side which covers very wide area by field edge Trees needs pollarding |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 222 | 8.40 | 238.8 |
| T029 |  | 16 | 1100 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-8.5 \\ \mathrm{E} .5 \\ \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{S}^{2} \\ \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | E | Matur | SC- - Gaod | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east Mature specimen that has been pollarded |  | $20+$ Years | в | 3 | 547 | 13.20 | 117.4 |
| тозо | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 400 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-8 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east Stem diameter measured over ivy Old coppice which has not been managed |  | $20+$ years | в | 2 | 72 | 4.80 | 117.8 |
| 6031 | Crack Willow <br> Elder <br> Blackthorn <br> Common Hawthorn <br> (Salix fragilis <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Crataegus monogyna) | ${ }^{6}$ | 480 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-70 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-70 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary group screening site from canal |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 102 | 5.70 | 329.9 |
| т032 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelisior) | 22 | 890 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9.5 \\ & \text { E-9 } \\ & \mathrm{S-7.5} \\ & \mathrm{~W} .8 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 5 | sw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed tree from base <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east <br> Stem diameter measured over ivy <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Crown lifted over footpath |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 366 | 10.80 | 227.0 |
| тоз3 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 22 | 630 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7 } \\ & \text { E-7 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 5 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east <br> Tree growing on slightly raised bank between towpath and field <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ <br> Crown lifted over footpath |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 177 | 7.50 | 153.9 |

FRONTIERS

|  |  |  | Ase class |  | Defefinion |  |  | - |  | Erc | Sub category |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | roung | Teement |  | Category |  |  |  | 1- Mainly Afororicutur |  |
| c.ic. |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EM }}$ | Early Matue | Tre satae in the life crie of tree eeween vout and maturity |  |  | $\frac{\text { Hibh aualive Vave }}{\text { Moderate }}$ |  | ${ }^{20+}$ | ${ }^{\text {2 M Mainl }}$ 3-Manduscape |  |
| Li.te. | Lowest frach heeght mete |  | om | Neer Mature |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { b }}{ }$ | Mowerate Lous | Veve | $\frac{180}{10}$ |  |  |
| E. ERC | Estimate den | ibution (in veas) |  |  | Atree that a as surived the | and show sighr of anientrness | u | Unsutabe for | tent |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trees for removal are onted in red eet. | Nots: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as 15 x the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |
| structural | adition (Sc) | Good-N |  | Fari- Siginifantdefecest hat can be eremedited |  | Poor-Siginificand defects withor emeaty |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DIB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendation | Erc | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\text { m } 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| н034 | Crack Willow <br> Hazel <br> Dogwood <br> Field Maple <br> Elder <br> Common Hawthorn <br> (Salix fragilis <br> Corylus avellana <br> Cornus sp <br> Acer campestre <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Crataegus monogyna) | 5 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east Field boundary hedge which has been unmanaged other than clearance for access. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 3 | 5 | 1.20 | 7.1 |
| 6035 | Common Ash $\times 2$ Crack Willow (Fraxinus excelsior $\times 2$ Salix fragilis) | 20 | 870 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-14 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { S-14 } \\ & \text { W-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east. Dominant group of trees in hedgerow. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 346 | 10.50 | 263.9 |
| 6036 | Common Ash x13 (Fraxinus excelsior x13) | 20 | 1590 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-61 } \\ & \text { E.7. } \\ & \text { S-61 } \\ & W-8 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to east. Dominant group of trees in hedgerow in poor condition Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 50-75\% |  | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 707 | 15.00 | 1437.3 |
| 6037 | Common Hawthorn <br> Elm <br> Elder <br> Grey Willow <br> Common Ash <br> (Crataegus monogyna <br> Ulmus sp. <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Salix cinerea <br> Fraxinus excelsior | 15 | 950 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-92 \\ & \mathrm{E}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-92 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-8 \end{aligned}$ |  | . | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Linear group of boundary trees with understorey. Very wide with ditch running through the middle |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 408 | 11.40 | 2312.2 |
| T038 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 800 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7 } \\ & \text { E-7 } \\ & S-6 \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 6 | NE | Mature | SC- Poor | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 50-75\% |  | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 290 | 9.60 | 142.9 |
| то39 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 490 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-5 } \\ \text { E-3.5 } \\ \text { S-4 } \\ \text { W-3 } \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 6 | NE | Mature | PC- Poor SC- Poor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ash Dieback - Yes } \\ & \text { ADB Extent }-75+\% \end{aligned}$ |  | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ | 113 | 6.00 | 45.9 |
| т 040 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 8 | 320 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-4 } \\ & \text { E-4 } \\ & \text { S-4 } \\ & \text { W-4 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 2 | E | Mature | PC - Poor <br> SC - Poor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ash Dieback - Yes } \\ & \text { ADB Extent - } 75+\% \end{aligned}$ | - | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 48 | 3.90 | 50.3 |
| T041 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 11 | 750 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ash Dieback - Yes } \\ & \text { ADB Extent - } 75+\% \end{aligned}$ | - | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 254 | 9.00 | 102.1 |

FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial <br> distance ( $m$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\text { m } 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H042 | Spindle <br> Dogwood <br> Blackthorn <br> Common Ash <br> Hazel <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Field Maple <br> (Euonymus <br> europaeus <br> Cornus sp. <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Conrlus avellana <br> Crateegus monogyna <br> Acer campestre) | ${ }_{5}$ | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-95 \\ & \mathrm{EE-3} \\ & \mathrm{~S}-95 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Fair } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundar hedge growing on west side of drainage ditch. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 895.4 |
| 6043 | Common Ash $\times 3$ (Fraxinus excelsior x3) | 20 | 780 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-18 } \\ E-8 \\ S-18 \\ \text { W-8 } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- - Fir } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to east <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 272 | 9.30 | 452.4 |
| 6044 | Common Ash x3 (Fraxinus excelsior x3) | 16 | 580 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-11 \\ & \text { E-7 } \\ & \mathrm{S}-11 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to east <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 150 | 6.90 | 241.9 |
| T045 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 850 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N-7} \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{S-7} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 4 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 75+\% <br> Large lateral on north west side has previously failed |  | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 327 | 10.20 | 153.9 |
| T046 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 18 | 930 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9 \\ & \mathrm{E}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-9 \\ & \text { W-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to east Fractured limbs - storm damage on northern side above ditch, parallel to boundary. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 387 | 11.10 | 226.2 |
| T047 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 12 | 780 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{S-7} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 6 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback-Yes ADB Extent - $75+\%$ |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | $u$ | 272 | 9.30 | 71.5 |
| T048 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 16 | 440 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 5 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to east |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 92 | 5.40 | 102.1 |
| т049 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 700 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 7 | E | Mature | PC - Fair SC-Poor | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Fractured limbs - storm damage on west side at 7 m with failed limb in hedgerow |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 222 | 8.40 | 56.5 |
| To50 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 25 | 1000 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-10 } \\ & \text { E.7 } \\ & \text { S-6 } \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ |  | . | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to east <br> Fractured limbs - storm damage with several limb failures as well as subordinate stem on north side having failed over hedge, parallel to ditch. <br> Habitat holes in stem below tear out wound. <br> Tree needs management in form of pollarding |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 452 | 12.00 | 175.9 |

FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | No of stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance ( m ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy (m2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T051 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 17 | 730 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-3 } \\ \text { E-6 } \\ \text { S.8 } \\ \text { W-11 } \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 7 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to east and south. <br> Ash Dieback - Yes/No <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 238 | 8.70 | 146.9 |
| 6052 | Crack Willow Common Ash Spindle Hazel Field Maple Common Hawthorn (Salix fragilis Fraxinus excelsior Euonymus europaeus Corylus avellana Acer campestre Crataegus monogyna) | 15 | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-60 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-60 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to south Boundary group on north side of ditch. Majority of mature trees are offsite on south side of ditch, approx 12 m beyond site boundary. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 191 | 7.80 | 612.6 |
| 6053 | Common Hawthorn Common Ash (Crataegus monogyna Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 1590 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7.5 } \\ & \text { E-140 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-140 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Offsite group of trees approx 10 m beyond ditch into neighbouring field. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 3188.7 |
| T054 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 22 | 1000 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} N-8.5 \\ E-9 \\ S-6 \\ \text { S- } \end{gathered}$ | . | - | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to south Fractured limbs - storm damage on eastern side with failed limb lying in boundary hedge, parallel to field boundary Tree needs managing, ideally as a pollard. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 452 | 12.00 | 159.4 |
| T055 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 10 | 1210 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-12 \\ \mathrm{E}-6 \\ \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ \mathrm{~W}-10 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to south Top of tree has previously failed, partially lying in hedge to west. Very over extended growth to north. Trees needs managing, ideally as a pollard |  | $20+$ years | в | 1 | 651 | 14.40 | 226.2 |
| T056 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 7 | 1000 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { S-6 } \\ & \text { W-8 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to south Fractured limbs with old pollard head having failed and collapsed on itself. Tree needs to be managed as pollard. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 452 | 12.00 | 131.9 |
| T057 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 1150 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{y} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | w | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { over } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area <br> Ash Dieback - Yes/No <br> ADB Extent - 50-75\% <br> Habitat holes in main stem starting at 5 m north east side |  | <10 years | $u$ | $u$ | 598 | 13.80 | 131.9 |
| T058 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 19 | 1500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7.5 } \\ & \text { E-11 } \\ & \text { S-10 } \\ & \text { W-5 } \end{aligned}$ | . | . | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to south Multiple failures from old pollard head and cavities on main stem below head. Trees needed brining back into management |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 707 | 15.00 | 219.9 |
| 6059 | Common Ash x7 (Fraxinus excelsior x7) | 15 | 800 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-23 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-23 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 1 | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-50\% <br> Condition of trees in group varies but all with ash die back | - | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 290 | 9.60 | 469.7 |

FRONTIERS

| Stem Dia: Stem diameter (mm) at 1.5 m above ground level |  |  |  |  | Ase Class |  |  | - Definion |  |  |  |  | Hith ounity |  | ${ }_{40+}^{\text {erc }}$ | 1-Mainly Arboriculural Sub categor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The stage in thelife ercle of tre ebeween vout and maturity |  |  |  |  | Hibh ounity V value |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diretion of |  |  |  |  | Ove Mature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| E.C. | Estimated Remaning Contib | buton finve | eas) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiogegial condition (PC) |  | Good- No significant healt problems |  |  |  | Fair-s Smploms of health that a b be eremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sigfificatit health | Treestor emoval are onoed in red ext. | Notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Structural co | Endition (Sc) | ood. No | significant defe |  |  | Farr-SSinfificant defeetst hat can beremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor- Significant defects with or eremedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) |  |  |  |  | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance ( m ) | Ground area <br> covered by <br> canopy $(m 2)$ |
| T060 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 1000 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{S-0.5} \\ & \mathrm{~W} .5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | nw | Mature | PC-Poor SC-Poor | Building in proximity to canopy with ditch to south and west and pedestrian crossing bridge at base of tree <br> Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy to east. <br> Tree has been pruned for clearance over lines. <br> Stem diameter measured over ivy <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Fungal fruiting bodies with Ganoderma brackets at base on south side |  | $<10$ years | u | u | 452 | 12.00 | 45.4 |
| 6061 | Elder Field Maple Common Hawthorn HAzel Sycamore (Sambucus nigra Acer campestre Crataegus monogyna Corylus avellana Acer pseudoplatanus) | 5 | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-215 \\ & E-1.5 \\ & S-215 \\ & \text { W-21.5 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west Understorey group along edge of green lane |  | $20+$ years | B | 2 | 191 | 7.80 | 1013.2 |
| 6062 | Common Ash x3 Field Maple $\times 4$ Crab Apple Fraxinus excelsior x3 Acer campestre $\times 4$ Malus sylvestris) | 12 | 700 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-20 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & S-20 \\ & \text { W-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west |  | $20+$ years | в | 2 | 222 | 8.40 | 377.0 |
| 6063 | $\underset{\binom{\text { Common Ash }}{\text { (Fraxinus excelsior X3) }}}{ }$ | 12 | 750 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-40 } \\ & \text { E-6.5 } \\ & \text { S-40 } \\ & \text { W-6.5 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% but varies between trees |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 254 | 9.00 | 816.8 |
| 6064 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Common Ash } \times 3 \\ & (\text { fraxinus excelsior x3) }\end{aligned}\right.$ | 17 | 610 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-29 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-29 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% but varies between trees |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 163 | 7.20 | 683.3 |
| T065 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 22 | 1200 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-11 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west, parallel to green lane. <br> Slight asymmetry in canopy due to shading from neighbouring trees. |  | 40+ + ears | A | 1 | 651 | 14.40 | 204.2 |
| T066 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 8 | 400 | 1 | N-2 E-6 S-5 W-6 | 1 | 1 | NE | Mature | PC. Poor | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west Stem growing to east due to light <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 50-75\% |  | $<10$ years | u | u | 72 | 4.80 | 66.0 |
| T067 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 640 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-10 \\ & \text { E-8 } \\ & \mathrm{S}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | NE | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west Slightly asymmetrical due to shading from neighbouring tree Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 50-75\% |  | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ | 191 | 7.80 | 117.4 |

FRONTIERS

| Stem oia: |  |  |  |  | A Ase class $^{\text {a }}$ | roung |  |  |  | treahed 1/3ofthere expected mature height |  | $\frac{\text { Cateror Corating }}{\text { category }}$ | ${ }_{\text {erc }}$ |  |  | Subcategory |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stem diameter (mm) a 1.5 mm mbve erounderel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Heith of crown clearace above fround level |  |  |  | Em | ${ }^{\text {Eataty }}$ |  | The stagein the life evie of t tee ebeween yout and maturiv |  |  |  |  | Hien havitiv V value |  |  |  |  |  |
| Le.te. | Direction ofto |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{\text { c }}$ | Low uatiry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{3}$-Mainly cutural |  |  |
| ER.C | Estimated Remaning Contib | bution (inve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | u |  |  | Unsutabbe for ret |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologica | condition (PC) | Good- -o siginficant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair- Symploms of health that an be eremedialed |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sigificantill | Treestor emonal are noted ined text. | notes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural condition (Sc) |  | Good- Nosisififiant defects |  |  |  | Frir- - Sinificant defectsthat can beremediated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | $\mathrm{DLB}_{(m)}$ | Age | Condition | observations | commendation | ERC | cat. | sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance (m) | Ground area <br> covered by <br> canopy $(\mathrm{m} 2)$ |
| 6068 | Sycamore <br> Common Ash $x 4$ <br> (Acer pseudoplatanus <br> Fraxinus excelsior $\times 4$ ) | 12 | 680 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-17 \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \mathrm{S}-17 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4 | E | Eary | PC- Poor | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25 -50\% <br> Canopy Dieback (not Ash dieback) in sycamore |  | $<10$ years | u | u | 206 | 8.10 | 320.4 |
| 6069 | Common Ash x2 (Fraxinus excelsior $\times 2$ ) | 12 | 780 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-10.5 \\ \mathrm{E}-7 \\ \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{W} 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 4 | E | $\underbrace{\text { Early }}$ Mature | PC. Poor | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% |  | $<10$ years | u | u | 272 | 9.30 | 230.9 |
| 6070 | Common Ash $\times 17$ <br> Field Maple x2 <br> Pedunculate Oak <br> (Fraxinus excelsior <br> $\times 17$ <br> Acer campestre $\times 2$ <br> Quercus robur) | 15 | 860 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-38 \\ & E-5.5 \\ & S-38 \\ & \text { S-5.5 } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | E | ure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west. <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent- $0-25 \%$ <br> Mixed species linear group separating green lane from field. |  | 10+Years | c | 2 | 327 | 10.20 | 656.6 |
| т071 | Common Ash <br> (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 970 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-93 \\ & \mathrm{E}-12 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-12 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | SE | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent-0-25\% <br> Mature boundary tree in hedgerow with several habitat holes around old wounds, <br> especially on east side over footpath. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 430 | 11.70 | 346.4 |
| T072 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 15 | 970 | 1 | N-5 E-5 S-5 W-5 | 5 | 5 | E | Dead | PC- Dead SC- Dead | Condition - Dead Dead hedgerow tree. May have some habitat value |  | Dead | $u$ | $u$ | 430 | 11.70 | 78.5 |
| 6073 | Pedunculate Oak Common Ash x9 Horse Chestnut Crack Willow (Quercus robur Fraxinus excelsior x9 Aesculus hippocastanum Salix fragilis) | 16 | 1900 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-35 \\ & E-8.5 \\ & S-35 \\ & W-8.8 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch to west Hedgerow trees on boundary of site screening green lane. Several ash have cavities in limbs over footpath and ash die back present |  | 20+ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 934.6 |
| н074 | Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Grey Willow Commen Ash Common Hawthorn Spindle (Corylus avellana Acer campestre Salix cinerea Salix caprea Fraxinus excelsior Crataegus monogyna Euonymus europaeus) | 7 | 150 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 |  |  | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary hedge |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 10 | 1.80 | 12.6 |

FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance ( } \mathbf{m} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy (m2) } \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T075 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|crccl} \hline \text { (Salliliow fragilis) } \end{array}$ | 20 | 750 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-9 } \\ & \text { E-11 } \\ & \text { S-9 } \\ & \text { W-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | s | Mature | PC-Fair | Unmanaged field boundary tree which would benefit from being managed as a pollard |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 254 | 9.00 | 240.3 |
| T076 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 20 | 1000 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-11 } \\ \text { E-8 } \\ S-7 \\ \text { W-5 } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 4 | $s$ | Mature | PC-Fair | Unmanaged field boundary tree which would benefit from being managed as a pollard Large limb failure on west side 6 m which is hanging above and parallel to hedge |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 452 | 12.00 | 183.8 |
| т077 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crack Willow } \\ & \text { (Salix fragilis) } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 1040 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-9 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { E-10 } \\ & \text { W-13 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | $s$ | Mature | PC-Fair | Unmanaged field boundary tree which would benefit from being managed as a pollard. Large limb failure on east side 4 m which is hanging above and parallel to hedge |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 499 | 12.60 | 283.5 |
| т078 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 540 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5.5 } \\ & \text { E-3.5 } \\ & S-4 \\ & \text { W-2 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Unmanaged field boundary tree Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 137 | 6.60 | 41.0 |
| т079 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 12 | 1200 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7.5 } \\ & \text { E-10 } \\ & S-7 \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $s$ | Mature | SC-Fair | Unmanaged field boundary tree which would benefit from being managed as a pollard. Large limb failure on south side 2 m which is hanging in hedge |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 651 | 14.40 | 193.6 |
| 6080 | Common Ash Crack Willow x 3 (Fraxinus excelsior Salix fragilis x3) | 18 | 1260 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7 } \\ & \text { E-16 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-16 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Group of hedge trees on field boundary with shared canopy characteristics. All willows would benefit from being managed as pollards |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 351.9 |
| 6081 | Crack Willow x3 <br> (Salix fragilis x3) | 18 | 1260 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-13 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-13 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Unmanaged maiden trees which have partially failed on east side. Dense ivy cover. Eastern tree has lost large limb on south side over field and co dominant stem on north side. <br> Trees would benefit from being managed as pollards |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 326.7 |
| 6082 | Common Ash x3 (Fraxinus excelsior x3) | 12 | 700 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-14 \\ & \text { S-5 } \\ & \text { W-14 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | E | Dead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Dead } \\ & \text { SC - Dead } \end{aligned}$ | Group of three dead field boundary trees in hedge |  | Dead | u | $\cup$ | 222 | 8.40 | 219.9 |
| 6083 | Common Ash x2 (Fraxinus excelsior x2) | 12 | 570 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5 } \\ & E-10 \\ & \text { S-5 } \\ & \text { W-10 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | E | Dead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Dead } \\ & \text { SC - Dead } \end{aligned}$ | Group of three dead field boundary trees in hedge |  | Dead | $u$ | $\cup$ | 150 | 6.90 | 157.1 |
| 6084 | Common Ash x7 Pedunculate Oak Field Maple (Fraxinus excelsior x7 Quercus robur Acer campestre) | 15 | 1060 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-33 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-33 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% <br> Hedgerow trees on field boundary |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 499 | 12.60 | 622.0 |

FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Iage class |  |  |  |  |  | Ker to Notations |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {erc }}^{\text {er }}$ | ${ }^{1-\text { Mainly Arboriculural }}$ Sub category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stem diameer (mm)at 1.5 m move e froundevel |  |  |  |  | Earry Matue |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {categary }}$ | $\frac{\text { High Davilit Q Value }}{\text { Moderate }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| cices. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The stage in thelife ecrie of t te ebeween vouth and maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{(40+}{20+}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Direction of lowest franch |  |  |  |  | Over Matu |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ | Low Oavitiv R Vaver |  | $\frac{10+}{410}$ | 3-Mainly coutural |  |  |
|  | Estimated Semaming g Contrif | ution Inve |  |  |  |  |  | A tree that | thas sunved | d the ifgurs offite | and shows Sigrs of ancientress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiological ondition (CC) |  | Good-Nos Sigificant heath problems |  |  |  | ar-symploms of heath hat a an be eemendiated |  |  |  |  | Poor-s.sgificantill heath | Lestor removal are oneted in red edet. | Nores: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Strucural co | Idition (Sc) | Good - . osisinifanat detects |  |  |  | Frairs Sigifitand defects that can be erenediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sisificant defects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (m \mathrm{~mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc(m) | LB (m) | DiB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy (m2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| т095 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 10 | 750 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-4.4 \\ & E-6.5 \\ & S-8 \\ & W \end{aligned}$ | - |  | N | Mature | Sc- Fair | Tree has been managed as pollard bur now lapsed |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 254 | 9.00 | 108.4 |
| 6096 | Crack willow $\times 6$ <br> Elm <br> Common Ash x3 <br> (Salix fragilis x6 <br> Ulmus sp <br> Fraxinus excelsior x3) | 20 | 2700 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-50 } \\ & \text { E-11 } \\ & \text { S-48 } \\ & \text { W-11 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Group of trees straddling ditch, all bar one of the willows of which have been historically managed pollards but now lapsed and started to fail into field. Ash has innonotus at the base. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 1693.3 |
| т097 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 18 | 930 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-11 \\ & \mathrm{E}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-12 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-10 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% <br> Multiple habitat holes on scaffolds from main stem |  | $<10$ years | u | u | 387 | 11.10 | ${ }^{361.3}$ |
| 6098 |  | 16 | 1060 | 6 | N-7 N- E-25 S-8 W-25 | 4 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Fair } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Group of field boundary trees, all willows in need of pollarding |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 499 | 12.60 | 589.0 |
| но99 | Common Hawthorn Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Dogwood <br> Blackthorn <br> Common Ash <br> Goat Willow <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Corylus avellana <br> Acer campestre <br> Cornus sp. <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Fraxinus excelsior <br> Salix caprea) | 5 | 150 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 $\mathrm{W}-2$ | - |  | E | Mature | PC.-Fair | Field boundary hedge |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 10 | 1.80 | 12.6 |
| T100 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 20 | 1060 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-9.5 \\ \mathrm{E} .7 \\ \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 3 | N | Mature | SC-Good | Excellent example of open grown oak on field boundary Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy on eastern side |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 499 | 12.60 | 181.4 |
| T101 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 860 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{y} \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-8 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 4 | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { over } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | PC - Poor SC - Poor | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - $75 \%+$ <br> Stem/limb decay <br> Stem/Limb cavity <br> Stem cavity/hollowing on east side <br> Very good tree for habitat |  | $<10$ years | $\cup$ | $\cup$ | 327 | 10.20 | 141.4 |
| T102 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 15 | 650 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & S-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} .6 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | w | Mature | PC-Good SC-Good | Good example of open grown oak on field boundary |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 191 | 7.80 | 103.9 |



FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance (m) } \end{aligned}$ | $\|$Ground area <br> covered by <br> canopy $(\mathrm{m} 2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {T118 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 450 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 25-50\% | - | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ | 92 | 5.40 | 78.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T119 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 450 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{S-5} \\ & \text { W-5 } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback-Yes ADB Extent $-25-50 \%$ | - | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ | 92 | 5.40 | 78.5 |
| T120 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 450 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - $25-50 \%$ | . | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ | 92 | 5.40 | 78.5 |
| ${ }^{121}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 450 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | s | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 25-50\% | . | <10 years | u | $\cup$ | 92 | 5.40 | 78.5 |
| ${ }^{1122}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Common Ash } \\ \text { (Fraxinus excelsior) }\end{array}$ | 20 | 700 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Poor } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 25-50\% |  | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 222 | 8.40 | 78.5 |
| ${ }^{123}$ | Turkey Oak (Quercus cerris) | 25 | 1030 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-8 } \\ & \text { E-8 } \\ & S-11 \\ & \text { W-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC Goood } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to north and ditch to south. Stem diameter measured over ivy. Large and dominant tree |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 475 | 12.30 | 238.8 |
| ${ }^{124}$ | Turkey Oak (Quercus cerris) | 25 | 1150 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-8 } \\ & \text { E-10 } \\ & \text { S-11 } \\ & \text { W-10 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 3 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC Good } \\ & \text { Sc Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal to north and ditch to south. Stem diameter measured over ivy Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy to east |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 598 | 13.80 | 298.5 |
| w125 | Common Hawthorn Goat Willow Elm Common Ash Elder Sycamore Slackthorn Crack Willow Hazel Pedunculate Oak (Crataegus monogyna Salix caprea Ulmus sp. Fraxinus excelsior Sambucus nigra Acer pseudoplatanus Prunus spinosa Salix fagilis Corylus avellana Quercus robur) | 15 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Inaccessible woodland on northern end of field between rail line and canal. Very dense and overgrown, left unmanaged. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 3 | 113 | 6.00 | 78.5 |

FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Ase Class | IVoung |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Categor Cradiog }}$ Cotegry | Hith ouative vave |  | ${ }_{\text {atac }}^{\text {Erc }}$ | Sub categor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {2-Manily }}$ atb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ctic. |  | ders |  |  | ${ }^{\text {em }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{10+}^{20+}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Oirection of towest rameh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3-Mainly cutural |  |  |
|  | Estimaed Remaning Contil | bution (inv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ud the ifigurs oflif | and show signs of ancientress | u | Unsutitabe for eretention |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physioloes | condition (PC) | Good - No significant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair-smploms of heast that can be eremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-s.sgificantill heath | Tres for removal re onted in red text. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Structual co | Odition (Sc) | good - No | genifican defer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor-S Significant defects with or oemedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | H(m) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{\text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm})} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No of <br> stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DIB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA ( $\mathrm{m}^{\text {2 }}$ | RPA Radial <br> distance ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Ground area <br> covered by <br> canopy (m2) |
| ${ }^{\text {T126 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 20 | 800 | 1 | N-8 K-8 S-8 $\mathrm{W}-8$ |  | - | N | Mature | Sc-Good | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with railway to south Limb failures evident in canopy at 6 m north west side All dimensions estimated as tree inaccessible due to thick bramble and railway |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 290 | 9.60 | 201.1 |
| 6127 | Pedunculate Oak x2 (Quercus robur x2) | 7 | 640 | 2 | N-4.5 <br> E-4.5 <br> $\mathrm{E}-4.5$ <br> $\mathrm{~W}-4.5$ |  | . | N | Semi Mature | PC. Fair | Group of two self set trees in field Western tree has had union failure at 3 m north side. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 191 | 7.80 | 63.6 |
| 6128 | Goat Willow <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Elder <br> (Salix caprea <br> Crataegus monogyna Sambucus nigra) | 15 | 950 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-11 \\ & \mathrm{E}-35 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-11 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-35 \end{aligned}$ | . | - | N | Mature | PC- Fair | Field edge group of mixed species | - | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 408 | 11.40 | 1209.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T129 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 12 | 980 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-8.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-11 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-9.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC Good } \\ & \text { SC Good } \end{aligned}$ | Open grown field boundary tree with low squat stem and crown break at 2 m |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 430 | 11.70 | 268.6 |
| 6130 | Elder <br> Blackthorn <br> Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Common Hawthorn <br> (Sambucus nigra <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Corylus avellana <br> Acer campestre <br> Crataegus monogyna | ${ }^{6}$ | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-48 } \\ & \text { E-5 } \\ & \text { S-48 } \\ & W-5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with rail line to west Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy directly overhead |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 191 | 7.80 | 754.0 |
| 6131 | Common Ash Elder Elm Common Hawthorn Crack Willow (Fraxinus exelsior Samucus nigra Ulmus sp. Crategus monogyna Salix fragilis) | ${ }^{6}$ | 640 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-4.5 } \\ \text { E-110 } \\ \text { S-4.5 } \\ \text { W-110 } \end{gathered}$ |  | - | E | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Self set and unmanaged group of vegetation on boundary between fields.. |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 191 | 7.80 | 1555.1 |
| ${ }^{\text {T132 }}$ | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 7 | 2000 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & S-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-12 \end{aligned}$ | . | . | 5 | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Old lapsed pollard that has failed to west and notable phoenix growth. Regrowth from main stem has been removed for power line clearance Possible second tree on west side but not possible to determine due to jumble of failed limbs and regrowth |  | $20+$ years | в | 3 | 707 | 15.00 | 170.8 |
| ${ }^{\text {T133 }}$ | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 15 | 360 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-9 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ |  | . | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Multi stemmed field boundary tree with over head lines to west. Elder growing through stems at base. stems at base. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 55 | 4.20 | 203.4 |

FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS

| Kerto Notions ${ }^{\text {K }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: Stem diameter (mmat 1.5 m above eroundevel |  |  |  |  | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Ase class }}$ | Voung |  | Trees hat have on eve ereared 1/3 ofthere enected matur height |  |  | lerexpected mature height | ${ }_{\text {Categor Grating }}^{\text {Category }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{40+}^{\text {Eft }}$ | 1-Mainly Aroric | Sub categor |  |
|  | Heibito of cown clearance above ground level |  |  |  | Em | ${ }_{\text {loung }}^{\text {Early Matue }}$ |  |  |  |  | The |  |  |  | A | High uavily v value |  | ${ }^{20}$ | - Mainl landsane |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Li. }}{\text { Le. }}$ | Lowest branch height in meers |  |  |  | m | Natue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{\text { c }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{10+}$ |  |  |  |
| ereme | Estimated Remaining Contribution (in vears) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Atre that |  |  |  | u | Unsutubibe tor cretention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pehsiological condition (PC) |  | Good- Nos significant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair- Symptoms of health that an be eremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sgisificantill heath | Trees or eemoval re enoted ir ed text. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Good - . os ignificant defects |  |  |  | Far- Siginificant defects that can beremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-S.Sigificand deeces with no remedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{\text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm})} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | B (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | commendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\text { m } 2) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {T153 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crack Willow } \\ & \text { (Salix fragilis) } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 1500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | ature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Failed Pollard in hedgerow with very large tear out wound on south side. Deep ditch between tree and road. |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 707 | 15.00 | 12.6 |  |  |  |
| T154 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 520 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{S-6.5} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | N | ature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes/No <br> ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ <br> Roadside tree in field boundary hedge with dense ivy up stem. Deep ditch between tree and road. <br> Tree has been heavily pruned over road |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 125 | 6.30 | 103.1 |  |  |  |
| T155 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 4 | 1500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | - | . | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Failed pollard in hedgerow with very large tear out wound on south side. Deep ditch between tree and road. |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 707 | 15.00 | 12.6 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {T156 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 490 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6 } \\ & \text { EE-5 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | N | Mature | SC- Poor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ash Dieback- - Yes/No } \\ & \text { ADD Extent - } 55-50 \% \\ & \text { Roadside tree in field boundary hedge with dense ivy up stem. } \\ & \text { Deep ditch between tree and road. } \end{aligned}$ |  | <10 years | u | $u$ | 113 | 6.00 | 112.3 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} 157$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelior) | 16 | 480 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | w | Mature | SC-Fair | ```Ash Dieback - Yes/No ADB Extent - 0-25% Roadside tree in field boundary hedge with dense ivy up stem.``` |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 102 | 5.70 | 97.0 |  |  |  |
| 6158 | Dogwood <br> Hazel x2 <br> Elder <br> Field Maple x3 <br> (Cornus sp. <br> Corylus avellana x2 <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Acer campestre x3) | 10 | 860 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \text { E-8 } \\ & \mathrm{S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Group of trees beside rail crossing with hazel/dogwood/elder understorey BT line on west side. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 327 | 10.20 | 150.8 |  |  |  |
| 6159 | Goat Willow <br> Common Ash <br> Turkey Oak <br> Pedunculate Oak <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Hazel <br> Blackthorn <br> (Salix caprea <br> Fraxinus excelsior <br> Quercus cerris <br> Quercus robur <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Corylus avellana <br> Prunus spinosa) | ${ }_{6}$ | 480 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-52 \\ & \mathrm{E}-22 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-52 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Semi } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Self set group of trees and shrubs in comer of field. |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 102 | 5.70 | 3594.0 |  |  |  |
| T160 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 5 | 970 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  | . | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Pollarded tree on rail side |  | $20+$ years | в | 2 | 430 | 11.70 | 28.3 |  |  |  |

FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No $\begin{gathered}\text { No of } \\ \text { stems }\end{gathered}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA ( m ) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6161 | Field Maple x2 <br> (Acer campestre x2) | 15 | 530 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6-6 } \\ & \text { S-4 } \\ & \text { W-4 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Two mult stemmed boundary trees in dense area of vegetation |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 125 | 6.30 | 78.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T162 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 18 | 960 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W-10 } \\ & \text { E-9 } \\ & \text { S.9 } \\ & \text { W-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Good } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary tree in cluster of dense vegetation. Field to south cropped to within 2 m of base. <br> Sparse canopy retrenching. Basal cavity on north side in area of old wound. Notable Tree |  | $20+$ Years | в | 3 | 408 | 11.40 | 253.7 |
| 6163 | Elder <br> Field Maple <br> Haze <br> (Sambucus nigra <br> Acer campestre <br> Corylus avellana) | 10 | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-32 \\ & S-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-32 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary understorey group |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 191 | 7.80 | 703.7 |
| T164 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 880 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-6.5 \\ \mathrm{E} .6 \\ 5 \mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{~S}-9 \\ \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ <br> Boundary tree with power lines on north side. Elder growing at base. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 346 | 10.50 | 133.9 |
| 6165 | Hazel <br> Elder <br> Crack Willow <br> Common Hawthorn <br> (Corylus avellana <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Salix fragilis <br> Crataegus monogyna) | 8 | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-15 \\ & \mathrm{E}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Boundary group screening neighbouring field | - | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 191 | 7.80 | 160.2 |
| 6166 | Common Ash Goat Willow (Fraxinus excelsior Salix caprea) | 5 | 160 | 3 | N-6 E-5 S-6 W-5 | . | - | N | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Semi } \\ \text { Mature }}}^{\substack{\text { S }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- - air } \end{aligned}$ | Small seff set group with power lines to east |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 10 | 1.80 | 94.2 |
| T167 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 20 | 1400 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6.5 \\ & \text { E-11 } \\ & \text { S.9 } \\ & \mathrm{W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | N | Matu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary tree which has partially failed to north into area of dense vegetation. Maiden tree that would benefit from being pollarded |  | $20+$ Years | в | 3 | 707 | 15.00 | 182.6 |
| H168 | Common Hawthorn <br> Blackthorn <br> Dogwood <br> Grey Willow <br> Elder <br> Field Maple <br> (Crataegus monogyna <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Cornus sp. <br> Salix cinerea <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Acer campestre) | 2 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary hedge maintained by flaliling |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 3.1 |

FRONTIERS

|  |  |  | Aseclass |  | Defintion |  | Categry Grading |  |  | ERC |  | Subategor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: | Stem diamete | mabove eround level |  | Young | Treesthat have not ver erea | Ier epeeted mature heig | Categor |  |  |  | 1 1-Minily Araboricutur |  |
| c.ic. | Heifh of coun | bove ground level | Em | ${ }^{\text {Eaty Matue }}$ | The stage in thilif eclie of | en vouth and maturiv | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | Hikh hality | Value | ${ }_{\text {20+ }}^{20+}$ | ${ }^{\text {2-Manily landsape }}$ |  |
| Li.te. | Lowestianct |  | om |  | Cose eto tur heieght and cow | minstem diameer inceases more solowl | c |  |  | ${ }_{10}$ |  |  |
| ER.C | Istimated den | Iution (in veas) |  |  |  |  |  | Unsutabie for ereaterion |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologic | condition (PC) | Good - No signif |  | Fair-Symptoms of health hat ta b be ereediated |  | Poor-Sisififantill heath | estor emonval are oneded in red eet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| structural | dition (Sc) | nt defects |  |  |  | Poor- -iginfifand defects with no remedy |  | Nors: |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial <br> distance ( m ) | Ground area covered by canopy (m2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T169 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 10 | 1180 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6-6 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { W-9 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed field boundary tree in hedgerow <br> Tree has historically been managed as pollard but has failed parallel to hedge and now forms part of hedge |  | $20+$ Years | B | 1 | 625 | 14.10 | 117.8 |
| ${ }_{\text {T170 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 16 | 790 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-9 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-9 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4 | sw | Mature | PC-Good | Field boundary tree growing in hedgerow. Main stem bifurcates at 2 m . Small volume of deadwood in canopy |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 290 | 9.60 | 226.2 |
| T171 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 560 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | E | Mature | PC- Poor | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% <br> Field boundary tree in hedgerow. Small basal cavity on west side |  | 10+Years | c | 1 | 137 | 6.60 | 116.6 |
| T172 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 600 | 1 | N-5 K-5 S-5 W-5 | 6 | 6 | N | ${ }^{\text {Early }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary tree growing in ditch and subordinate to neighbouring willow |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 163 | 7.20 | 78.5 |
| T173 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 15 | 920 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Stem diameter measured over ivy Prolific ivy monster and in tree |  | $20+$ years | в | 1 | 387 | 11.10 | 219.1 |
| 6174 | Common Ash x4 <br> Crack Willow <br> Bay Willow <br> (Fraxinus excelsior x4 <br> Salix fragilis <br> Salix pentandra) | 20 | 1350 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-21 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-21 \end{aligned}$ | . | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary group of trees on east side of ditch. Willow dominates and would benefit from being pollarded. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 461.8 |
| ${ }^{\text {T175 }}$ | Goat Willow (Salix caprea) | 5 | 80 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | . | . | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Semi } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multistemmed self set tree on edge 9f ditch |  | $10+$ Years | c | 3 | 3 | 0.90 | 12.6 |
| 6176 | Common Ash <br> Goat Willow <br> Elder <br> Blackthorn <br> Crack Willow <br> Common Hawthorn <br> (Fraxinus excelsior <br> Salix caprea <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Salix fragilis <br> Crataegus monogyna) | 20 | 1350 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-35 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-35 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary group straddling ditch. <br> Many willows are maidens which would benefit from being managed as pollards, while some are now lapsed pollards |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 742.2 |

FRONTIERS

| Stem Oia: |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Ase cass }}$ | voung |  | Defintion ${ }_{\text {Treest }}$ |  | Itreathed 1/3/ of there exeected mature height |  | ${ }_{\text {Categor Gradios }}^{\text {Category }}$ | $\square$ |  |  | 1 1-Mainly Aroboriculural Sub categor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stem diameer (mma a 1.5 Sm move e found level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {atr }}^{\text {erc }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 迷 | Heiehto f cown cearance above eround level |  |  |  | Em |  |  |  |  | The stage in the ilfe crle of tre ebeween vout and maturiv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Li.le. | Lowest branch height meet |  |  |  | m |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{ }$ | tow quality value |  |  | 3-Mainly cutural |  |  |
| ER.C | Estimated Remaning Contril | oniny |  |  |  |  |  | A tree that has survived the igigurs of fife and show s sins of on anientress |  |  |  | $u$ | sutabie for rete | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologica | condition (PC) | 4 - No sigifificant heath problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sisgificantill heath | Sstor emomal are noted in red tet. | Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural co | dition (Sc) | sood - No | significan deferem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor-SSignificant defects with horemedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | H(m) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { RPA Radial } \\ \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{array}$ | $\|$Ground area <br> covered by <br> canopy (m2) |
| T177 | Common Ash | 18 | 1300 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 4 | E | Vetara | PC-Fair |  |  | 40+ Years | A | 3 | 1195 | 19.50 | 37.7 |
| 6178 | ( ${ }_{\text {Common Ash } \times 2}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (Fraxius excelsior } \times 2)\end{array}\right.$ | 14 | 850 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{E-5.5} \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 5 | N | Mat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with ditch on west side Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 327 | 10.20 | 95.0 |
| T179 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 720 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5 } \\ & E-7 \\ & S-6 \\ & W-4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | N | Matur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ <br> Old boundary coppice with ditch on west side |  | 20+ Years | B | 1 | 238 | 8.70 | 95.0 |
| ${ }^{\text {T180 }}$ |  | 18 | 1170 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{y} \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | N | teran | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Pooor } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 40+ Years | A | 3 | 976 | 17.55 | 102.1 |
| 6181 | Pedunculate Oak Crack Willow Common Ash $\times 2$ Quercus robur Salix fragilis Fraxinus excelsior $\times 2$ ) | 22 | 1480 | 3 | N-8 E-8 S-8 W-8 | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Good } \end{aligned}$ | Group of boundary trees with power lines to north. Southern ash and willow have been pollarded |  | $20+$ years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 201.1 |
| T182 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Pedunculate oak } \\ \text { Quercus robur) } \end{array}$ | 14 | 1400 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6 \\ & S-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | N | Veteran | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Large tear out wound on south side Veteran Tree iveteran features: Cavities Deadwood squat form/large stem Decay Habitat holes Delaminated bark Declining canor Declining canopy |  | 40+ Years | A | 3 | 1385 | 21.00 | 95.0 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | Age class |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Categro Crading }}^{\substack{\text { cateory }}}$ | Hien |  | ${ }_{40+}^{\text {erc }}$ | 1-Mainly Arororicutural Suberateor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stee Dia: Stem diameter (mm)at 1.5 m above groundevel | em diameer ( Immat 1.5 m above groundevel |  |  |  | ¢m | (tand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lic. | Heieht of coun clearane above ground level |  |  |  |  |  |  | The sage in thelife ercie of at ere beween vout and maturity |  |  |  |  | Henh inalit kvalue |  |  | 2-Mainly Landscape |  |  |
|  | Direction of towest franch |  |  |  |  | Over Matue |  |  |  |  |  | c | Lew |  |  |  |  |  |
| E.R.C | Stimated Remaning Sontribu | ution (iny |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiological endition (PC) |  | Good. -Wos significan heath problems |  |  |  | Fair- Symptoms of health that can be eemeediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Significantill heath | Treestor emoual are onted in red ext. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Stuturual con | Ondition (SC) | Good- No siginficant defects |  |  |  | frai-s Significand defects that can be eremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sispificant de |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) |  |  |  |  | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance ( $m$ ) | Ground area covered by canopy (m2) |
| H192 | Common Ash <br> Crab Aple <br> Dogwood <br> Hazel <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Elder <br> (Fraxinu excelsior <br> Malus sylvestris <br> Cornus sp. <br> Corylus avellana <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Sambucus nigra) | 8 | 200 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 | . | . | E | Early | PC- Fair | Boundary hedge that varies in height along length and managed according to neighbouring residents needs. Overhead power lines directly over hedge. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 18 | 2.40 | 12.6 |
| 6193\# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lime } \\ & \text { Common Ash } \\ & \text { CTilisp. } \\ & \text { fraxinus excelsior) } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 1350 | 5 | ¢ $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-16 } \\ & \text { E-9 } \\ & \text { S-16 } \\ & \text { W-9 }\end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | PC- fair | Offste group of tre in neighbouring garden. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 452.4 |
| н194 | Common Ash <br> Blackthorrn <br> Elder <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Field Maple <br> (Fraxinus excelsior <br> Prunus sinosa <br> Sambuusus nigra <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Acer campestre) | 2 | 120 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-F Fair } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Roadside field boundary hedge maintained through flaling |  | $20+$ years | B | 2 | 7 | 1.50 | 7.1 |
| T195 | Field Maple (Acer campestre) | 7 | 250 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | . | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Offsite tree in residential garden |  | $20+$ years | B | 1 | 28 | 3.00 | 19.6 |
| T196 | Leyland Cypress (Cupressocyparis leylandii X) | 12 | 450 | 1 | N-3 E-3 S-3 W-3 |  | 8 | E | Mature | SC-Fair | Offsite tree in private garden. Top has been removed. |  | $20+$ Years | B | 3 | 92 | 5.40 | 28.3 |
| 6197 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Common Ash x2 } \\ (\text { Fraxinus excelsior } \times 2) \end{array}\right\|$ | 18 | 460 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% <br> Boundary trees which have historically been managed as coppice |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | u | 92 | 5.40 | 132.7 |
| H198 | Elder <br> Blackthorn <br> Elm <br> Common Ash <br> Field Maple <br> Common Hawthorn <br> (Sambucus nigra <br> Prunus sinosa <br> Ulmus sp. <br> Fraxinus excelsior <br> Acer campestre <br> Crataegus monogyna) | 8 | 250 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 |  | - |  | Matu | PC. Poor | Boundary hedge which has been main at northern end by residential garden but southern end dominated by dead and dying elm. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 28 | 3.00 | 12.6 |
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|  |  |  | Ase class | 1 | Defintion |  | Categor Grading |  |  | Erc |  | Subategor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: | Stem diameer | mabove grond leve |  | Young | Trest hat have not evereac | ere expected maure height | Categoy |  |  |  | 1-Mainly Aroricicutral |  |
| c. | Height of cow | above ground level | EM | farly Matue | The stage in thilie eclie of | en vouth and maturity | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | Hieh haviti C |  | ${ }^{20+}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Lbe }}{\text { Le.E. }}$ | Oirestion ofto |  | ¢ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{\text { c }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {dot }}$ |  |  |
| ER.C | Estimated dem | Iution (in veas) |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Unsutubbele for reteention |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologit | condition (PC) | Good- - No sigific |  | fair-smptoms of health that can be eremediaed |  | Poor-Sigififieatit health | Trees for removal re enoted ir ed text |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strutura | adition (Sc) | Good - Nosisifitiant defects |  |  |  | Poor-S Significand deecets with or eremedy |  | nots: |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { No of } \\ \text { stems }\end{gathered}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB(m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA ( m ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy (m2) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} 27$ | ${ }_{\text {Common Walhut }}^{\text {Cugin }}$ | 8 | 340 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{E} .5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | NE | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-FFair } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ornamental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 55 | 4.20 | 53.4 |
| T228 | Field Maple (Acer campestre) | 7 | 290 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | PC-Far | Twin stemmed tree from base Stem diameter measured over ivy Small limb on northern side has failed. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | ${ }^{41}$ | 3.60 | 28.3 |
| T229 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Indian bean tree } \\ & \text { (Catalpa } \\ & \text { bignonioides) } \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ornamental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 113 | 6.00 | 82.5 |
| T230 | Common Walnut (Juglans regia) | 7 | 450 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \# \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ornamental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 92 | 5.40 | \#value! |
| ${ }^{2} 231$ | Common Walnut | 8 | 380 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-5.5 \\ & E-6.5 \\ & S-6 \\ & \text { S-6.5 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | w | Mature | PC-Fair | Orramental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 64 | 4.50 | 108.4 |
| 6232 | Common Hawthorn <br> x2 <br> (Crataegus monogyna <br> x2) | ${ }^{6}$ | 290 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-.25 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | $\pm$Early <br> Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Two trees planted at end of avenue screening footpath |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | ${ }^{41}$ | 3.60 | 19.6 |
| H233 | Field Maple Common Hawthorn (Acer campestre Crataegus monogyna) | 1.5 | 80 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-0.5 \\ & E-0.5 \\ & S-0.5 \\ & S-0.5 \\ & W-0.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Early <br> Mature | PC. Poor | Very intermittent hedge on access road, dominated by ivy at northerm end |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 3 | 0.90 | 0.8 |
| H234 | Field Maple <br> Elm <br> Common Hawthorn <br> English Yew <br> Hazel <br> (Acer campestre <br> Ulmus sp. <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Taxus baccata <br> Corylus avellana) | 1.5 | 80 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-0.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-0.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-0.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-0.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | $cEarly Mature$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC-fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary hedge on access raad |  | 10+ Years | c | 3 | 3 | 0.90 | 0.8 |
| ${ }^{2} 235$ | (swedish Whitebeam | 10 | 480 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | nw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- - Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ornamental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 102 | 5.70 | 63.4 |
| ${ }^{2} 236$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Apple } \\ \text { (Malus sp.) } \end{array}$ | 6 | 200 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-0.5 \\ \mathrm{E}-3 \\ \mathrm{~S}-2.5 \\ \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Very asymmetrical canopy with north side shaded out by neighbouring tree. Small basal cavity on east side. |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 18 | 2.40 | 13.0 |
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| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance (m) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {T248 }}$ | Common Walnut | 7 | 350 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-5.5 \\ \mathrm{E}-4 \\ S-5 \\ \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | SE | Mature | PC- Fair | Orramental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | B | 1 | 55 | 4.20 | 70.1 |
| T249 | Common Walnut (Juglans regia) | 6 | 460 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | sw | Dead | SC- Dead | Dead avenue tree |  | Dead | u | u | 92 | 5.40 | 63.6 |
| T250 | Common Walnut (Juglans regia) | 8 | 370 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | Mature | PC- Fair | Orramental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 64 | 4.50 | 70.7 |
| T251 | Cockspur Thorn (Crateegus crus-galii) | 5 | 150 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W} .3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | SE | Mature | PC-Poor | Ornamental tree in avenue |  | <10 years | $\checkmark$ | $u$ | 10 | 1.80 | 14.1 |
| T252 | Common Holly (Ilex aquifolium) | 6 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | SE | Mature | PC-Fair | Orramental tree in avenue, slighty shaded by neighburing tree |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | 28.3 |
| T253 | Common Walnut (Juglans regia) | 9 | 550 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | NE | Mature | PC-Fair | Orramental tree in avenue |  | $20+$ Years | ${ }^{8}$ | 1 | 137 | 6.60 | 122.7 |
| 6254 | Elder <br> Portuguese Laurel (Sambucus nigra Prunus Iusitanica) | 6 | 350 | 3 | N-6 E-4 S-6 W-4 |  |  | N | Mature | ( PC-Poor | Small shrub group at southern end of access in poor condition |  | <10 years | u | $u$ | 55 | 4.20 | 75.4 |
| T255 | Lawson Cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana | 10 | 390 | 2 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 |  | . | N | Early | PC-Fair | Orramental tree at entrance with self set wal inut rowing at base |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 72 | 4.80 | 12.6 |

FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Asecass | $\frac{1}{\text { venese }}$ |  | Tofinion |  |  |  |  |  |  | Erc |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {em }}$ |  |  | ve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Semer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor. Sginfeatil heath |  | Noris: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $6^{6}$ | signtiandedect |  |  | Fat-s.spificien | frandeest | esstatenbere | deememated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | ${ }^{(m)}$ | ${ }_{(m)}^{\text {stem Dia. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc(m) | ${ }^{18}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{OLB}_{\text {( } ~(~}^{\text {a }}$ A8 | Age | Condition | Obserations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub cat | RPa (m) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canonv }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| H256 |  | 2.5 | 150 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} N-1 \\ E-1 \\ s=1 \\ W-1 \end{gathered}$ | . | . | E | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Eaty }}}^{\substack{\text { Eaty } \\ \text { mature }}}$ | Sc. Frir | Soundar hedge betweer road and fotpath |  | $10+$ vears | c | 2 | 10 | 1.80 | ${ }_{3.1}$ |
| 6257 | Field Maple Hazel Laurel Cherry Wayfaring Tree Blackthorn Black Hybrid Poplar Elm Leyland Cypress Common Walnut Italian Cypress Sycamore Common Hawthorn (Acer campestre Corylus avellana Prunus laurocerasus Viburnum lantana Prunus spinosa Populus x canadensis Ulmus sp. Cupressocyparis leylandii X Juglans regia Cupressus sempervirens Acer pseudoplatanus Crataegus monogyna) | ${ }^{3}$ | 480 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-60 \\ & \mathrm{E}-20 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Pc- Poor } \\ \text { Sc- Fair }}]{ }$ | Sunday hedge divergig at nothem end with majority of sock in poor condition. |  | $10+$ vears | c | 2 | 102 | 5.70 | 754.0 |
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| Stem Dia: Stem diameer (mmat 1.5 m abore eroundevel |  |  |  |  | Aec cass |  |  |  |  |  |  | Category Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Hiph ouative }}$ | ${ }_{40+}^{\text {erc }}$ | 1 -Mainly Aroboricutual Sub cateory |  |  |
| c.e. | Heient fo fown clearance abe |  |  |  | Em |  |  |  |  |  |  | The stage in the life evcle of ta tee beween vouth and maturiy |  |  |  | $\stackrel{20+}{10+}$ | $\underbrace{\text { 3-Manly }}_{\text {2-Mainly landsarae }}$ |  |  |
| Li.t. | Oirection of towest rameh |  |  |  | ¢ | Over Masure |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{c}$ |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | 3-Mainly cutural |  |  |
|  | Estimated Remaining Contribution (invears) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | u | Usutrabe for reten | tention |  |  |  |  |
| Physiological condition (PC) |  | Good -No significant heath problems |  |  |  | Fairs Smptoms of health that a ca be e emediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Siginficantil health | Trees for removal ar enoted in red ext. |  | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Good - . osisifificant defects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor-5ignificant defects with or oemedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub Cat | RPA ( m ) | RPA Radial distance (m) | Ground area covered by canopy (m2) |
| H258 | Wayfaring Tree Hazel Haild Maple Common Holly Common Hawthorn Comunculate Oak Pedina Blackthorn Dogwood (Viburnum lantana Corylus avellana Acrer campestre Ilex aquifolium Crateagus monogna Quercus robur Prunus spinosa Cornus sp.) | ${ }^{6}$ | 150 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | Early <br> Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Planted boundary hedge in need of management |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 10 | 1.80 | 7.1 |
| 6259 | Leyland Cypress (Cupressocyparis leylandii X) | 10 | 1050 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-35 \\ \mathrm{E}-6 \\ \mathrm{~S}-35 \\ \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | N | Eary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- -air } \end{aligned}$ | Offsite screening hedge on boundary with nursery carpark with intermittent black thorn understorey hedge |  | $20+$ Years | B | 2 | 499 | 12.60 | 659.7 |
| н260 | Blackthorn Common Ash Field Maple Pedunculate Oak (Prunus spinosa raxinus excelsio Quercus robur) | 3 | 150 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | . | . | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | PC-Fair | Offsite understorey hedge |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 10 | 1.80 | 12.6 |
| 6261 | Leyland Cypress Pedunculate Oak (Cupressocyparis leylandii X Quercus robur | 10 | 1050 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-35 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-35 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  | . | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Offsite screening group on boundary |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 499 | 12.60 | 329.9 |
| H262 | Common Hawthorn Wayfaring Tree Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Viburnum lantana <br> Corylus avellana <br> Acer campestre | 1.5 | 90 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Early Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary hedge on site access |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 7.1 |

FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Ase class | Voung |  |  |  |  |  | Cateory Gratiog | $\square$ |  | erc | ${ }_{\text {1- Mainly Arboricutural }}$ Sub categor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Heith for cown (learane al | ders |  |  | m |  |  |  |  |  |  | The stape in the life ercie of tre be beween yout and maturit |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { B }}$ | High avalit Q value |  | ${ }_{10+}^{20+}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Oirection of towest rameh |  |  |  |  | Over Matue |  | Cose toful | milleigh rand | drown sie while | mainstem diameere inceases more slowy | $\stackrel{\square}{c}$ | Uon Quative value |  |  | 3-Mainly cutural |  |  |
|  | Estimated Remaming Contrit | bution inv |  |  |  | At eee that has survived the ig igurs offife and stows sibns of ancientress |  |  |  |  |  | u |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiological | condition (PC) | Good - .os siginifant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair-Symptoms of healt that tan be e enediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-s.sgificantill heath | Tres for removal re onted in red text. | Nots: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strucural co | Odition (Sc) | Good | significan defect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor-S Significant defects with or oemedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA ( 2) | RPA Radial distance (m) | Ground area <br> covered by |
| H277 | Blackthorn <br> Common Ash <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Silver Birch <br> Pedunculate Oak <br> Elm <br> (Prunus spinosa <br> Fraxinus excelsior <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Betula pendula <br> Quercus robur <br> Ulmus sp.) | 7 | 180 | 1 | N-3 E-3 S-3 W-3 | . | . | N | Early <br> Mature | PC. Poor | Boundary rroup dominated by elm, screening field from road |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 14 | 2.10 | 28.3 |
| T278 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 560 | 3 | N-6 E-6 S- W-7 - | . | 2 | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with dual carriageway to west and house to north east <br> Building in proximity to canopy <br> Ash Dieback - No <br> Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy <br> Grass cutting at base on east side |  | $20+$ years | в | 1 | 137 | 6.60 | 112.3 |
| T279\# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Willow } \\ & (\text { Salix sp. }) \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 150 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | E | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | PC-Poor | Offsite tree in residential garden Contorted willow |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 10 | 1.80 | 19.6 |
| H280\# | Buddleia Cotoneaster Leyland Cypress (Buddleia sp. Cotoneaster sp. leylandii X) Cupressocy | 1.5 | 100 | 1 | N-1 E-1 S-1 W-1 | . | . | N | Early | PC-Fair | All dimensions estimated Offsite hedge in residential garden |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 3.1 |
| T281 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 8 | 380 | 1 | N-4 E-3 S-4 W-4 | - |  | N | Matur | SC- Poor | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 25-50\% Boundary tree by allotments |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 64 | 4.50 | 44.0 |
| 6282 | Apple <br> Elder <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Field Maple <br> Elm <br> Blackthorn <br> (Malus sp. <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Acer campestre <br> Ulmus sp <br> Prunus spinosa) | 5 | 320 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-75 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-75 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | . |  | N | Early <br> Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Poor quality understorey group on boundary with allotments |  | <10 years | u | $\checkmark$ | 48 | 3.90 | 706.9 |
| 6283 | Horse Chestnut <br> Common Ash x3 <br> (Aesculus <br> hippocastanum <br> Fraxinus excelsior $\times 3$ ) | 10 | 540 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-25 } \\ & \text { E-4 } \\ & 5-25 \\ & \text { W-4 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ Boundary group on edge of allotments |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 137 | 6.60 | 314.2 |



| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { RPA Radial } \\ \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T284 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelior) | 8 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | $\underset{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Tree has been managed as high coppice/low pollard, growing on allotment boundary <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | 95.0 |
| 6285 | Common Ash Pedunnulate Oak Common Hawthorn Field Maple Hazel (Fraxinus excelsior Quercus robur Crataegus monogyna Acer campestre Corylus avellana) | 8 | 460 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-44 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-44 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | $\underset{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC Good } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary group that has not been managed and of s simiar height along length |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 92 | 5.40 | 552.9 |
| H286 | Dogwood <br> Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Elder <br> Common Ash <br> Blackthorn <br> Plum <br> Elm <br> (Cornus sp. <br> Corylus avellana <br> Acer campestre <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Prunus domestica <br> Ulmus sp.) | 3 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1 \end{aligned}$ |  | . | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC F Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary hedge around allotments managed by flaliling to maintain height |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 3.1 |
| H287 | Wayfaring Tree Hazel <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Dogwood <br> Blackthorn <br> (Viburnum lantana Corylus avellana Crataegus monogyna Cornus sp. <br> Prunus spinosa) | 1.5 | 110 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-1 \\ & E-1 \\ & S-1 \\ & \text { W-1 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary hedge maintained by flaliling |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 3.1 |
| 6288 | Crab Apple <br> Blackthorn Common Ash x3 Elm Hazel (Malus sylvestris Prunus spinosa Fraxinus excelsior x3 Ulmus sp. Corylus avellana) | 8 | 860 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-20 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-20 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Mixed species group on side of railway. Ash shows signs of dieback. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 327 | 10.20 | 188.5 |

FRONTIERS


|  |  |  |  |  | Ase class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cateor Geading | - |  | ${ }_{\text {ant }}^{\text {erc }}$ | ${ }_{\text {1-Mailly } \text { Abororicutural }}^{\text {Sub categor }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The stage in the iffe crice of tee beween vouth and maturiv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ 2-Mainly Lantsarae |  |  |
| di.b. | Direction of towest 8 Prach |  |  |  | m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low Quative vave Onutibele |  |  |  |  |  |
| ER.C | Estimated Remanining Contit | buood - .osigitificant heath problems |  |  |  |  |  | u | 3-Mainly cutural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologial condition (PC) |  |  |  |  |  | Fair-symploms of health that an be e emediaited |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sgifificantill heath | Eefor emomal are oneded in ededet. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Good- Mos sigificant defects |  |  |  | Fair SSignificant defeets that can beremediated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | H(m) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{\text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm})} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) |  |  |  |  | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance ( m ) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 6297 | Scots Pine $x 5$ <br> Norway Spruce <br> Italian Alder x7 <br> Sycamore <br> (Pinus sylvestris x5 <br> Picea abies <br> Alnus cordata x7 <br> Acer pseudoplatanus) | 10 | 1590 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-10 \\ & \mathrm{E}-18 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-18 \end{aligned}$ | . | . | 5 | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with old farm barn and hardstanding to south |  | 20+ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 565.5 |
| 6298 | Elder <br> Common Ash x2 <br> Crack Willow x 3 <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Hazel <br> Dogwood <br> (Sambucus nigra <br> Fraxinus excelsior $\times 2$ <br> Salix fragilis x3 <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Cornus sp.) | 20 | 1460 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-14 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-14 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Hanging branches from failed limbs on willows. All maidens which would benefit from being managed as pollards |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 329.9 |
| T299 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 9 | 350 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Asymmetrical Canopy to north <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with stream on north side. Tree is growing out of bank. <br> Ash Dieback - No |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 55 | 4.20 | 62.8 |
| T300 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 790 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{6} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Asymmetrical Canopy to south. Major scaffolds on south east side have been removed at 4 m <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with stream on north side <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ |  | $20+$ Years | B | 1 | 290 | 9.60 | 108.4 |
| 6301 | Common Hawthorn <br> Buckthorn <br> Elder <br> Common Ash <br> Goat Willow <br> Dogwood <br> (Crataegus monogyna <br> Rhamnus cathartica <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Fraxinus excelsior <br> Salix caprea <br> Cornus sp.) | 7 | 800 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-70 } \\ & \text { E-4 } \\ & S-70 \\ & W-4 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC-Good } \end{aligned}$ | Offsite group that crosses into site at southern end, but parallel to railway line. Unmanaged. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 290 | 9.60 | 879.6 |
| 6302 | Pedunculate Oak Turkey Oak (Quercus robur Quercus cerris) | 20 | 1360 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7 } \\ & \text { E-10 } \\ & \text { S-9 } \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal and towpath to east Stem measured over ivy <br> Turkey oak is taller than neighbouring pedunculate oak |  | 40+ Years | A | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 213.6 |

FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}, . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB(m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition |  | Observations | Recommendations | ErC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy (m2) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6303 | Common Ash x7 Turkey Oak x2 (Fraxinus excelsior x7 Quercus cerris x2) | 20 | 1050 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-10 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { S-10 } \\ & \text { W-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 4 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ |  | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with canal and Northern ash have been reduced near powerlines to low pollards <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy on west side |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 499 | 12.60 | 188.5 |
| н304 | Hazel <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Elder <br> Corylus avellana <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Sambucus nigra) | 6 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | - | . | . | Mature | ¢c. Fair |  | Understorey grup alongside towpath. | - | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 12.6 |
| 6305 | Crack Willow $\times 3$ Hazel Common Hawthorn Common Ash $\times 3$ Elder (Salix fragilis $\times 3$ Corylus avellana Crataegus monogyna Fraxinus excelsior $\times 3$ Sambucus nigra) | 18 | 1560 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-21 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-21 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ |  | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with stream running through group. Most stems are on west side of stream. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 461.8 |
| 6306 | Hazel <br> Crack Willow <br> Sycamore Field Maple Common Ash Common Hawthorn Elder Elm (Corylus avellana Salix fragilis Acer pseudoplatanus Acer campestre Fraxinus excelsior Crataegus monogyna Ulmus sp.) | 20 | 1220 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N- } 35 \\ & \mathrm{E}-78 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ |  | 3oundary group on west side of stream. Mature broadleaves dominated by ash and willow, with most willows historic pollards but now lapsed. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 679 | 14.70 | 2511.7 |
| т307 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 18 | 820 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-0.5 \\ \mathrm{E}-6 \\ \mathrm{~S}-10 \\ \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 5 | w | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { over } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ |  | Poor quality tree on boundary with multiple breakout wounds. Delfina concentrica on east side of stem. Good habitat potential |  | $<10$ years | u | $\checkmark$ | 308 | 9.90 | 107.2 |
| т308 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 18 | 750 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{S-5} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | w | $\begin{gathered} \text { Over } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ |  | Poor quality tree on boundary with multiple breakout wounds and habitat holes in stem. |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | $\checkmark$ | 254 | 9.00 | 78.5 |
| T309 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 12 | 380 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 6 | w | ( over | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ |  | Poor quality tree on bundary with notable dectine in canopy |  | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ | 64 | 4.50 | 33.0 |

FRONTIERS

| Stem Dia: |  |  | A Ase class |  | Definition \| |  | Category Grading |  |  | ERC | Sub category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stem diameer ( mm ) t 1.5 Sm move eround level |  |  | roung | Trees bhat have not yereached $1 / 3$ of there expected mature heis |  | Categor |  |  |  | 1-Mainly Afororicutur |
|  | Heihit of cown dearare above round level |  | ${ }^{\text {em }}$ | $\frac{\text { Early Matre }}{\text { Matue }}$ | The stagein the lifie crie fo f tee beween vout and maturity |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ |  | Value | ${ }_{\text {20+ }}^{20+}$ | $\frac{2-\text { mainly landsape }}{3-\text { Mainly untural }}$ |
| O.L.e. | Oirection of Lowest franh |  | om |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | tow uatilise |  | 10 |  |
| ER.C EStimated Remaminiz Contribution (in vears) |  |  |  |  |  |  | u | Sutabe to | tent |  |  |
| Physiologic | condition (PC) | 6 ood - Nos signifiant heath problems |  | Fair- Sumploms of fealth that an beremediated |  | Poor-Sigifiticatill heath | Tres for removal are noted ired text. | notes. |  |  |  |
| Stuctural condition (Sc) |  | Good- Mos sigificant defects |  | Farr-Siginita | ets that can be eremediated | Poor-Significant defects with no remedy |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems }\end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommen | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance ( m ) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T310 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 18 | 400 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 8 | $s$ | Mature | PC. Poor SC-Poor | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 50-75\% |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | $\cup$ | 72 | 4.80 | 56.5 |
| T311 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 18 | 660 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{S-7} \\ & \text { W-4 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 7 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 50-75\% |  | <10 years | u | $u$ | 191 | 7.80 | 66.0 |
| ${ }_{\text {T312 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 14 | 530 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 6 | E | Mature | PC. Poor SC-Poor | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 50-75\% | - | $<10$ years | $u$ | $\cup$ | 125 | 6.30 | 86.4 |
| ${ }^{\text {T313 }}$ | Common Ash <br> (Fraxinus excelsior) | 25 | 680 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & S-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P- Good } \\ & \text { SC Go God } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath on east side Very good quality tree for species growing in boundary hedge. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 206 | 8.10 | 108.0 |
| T314 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 24 | 540 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 6 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { CC- Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath on east side Ivy growing up stem Very good quality tree for species growing in boundary hedge. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 137 | 6.60 | 74.6 |
| 6315 | Turkey Oak $\times 2$ (Quercus cerris $\times 2$ ) | 23 | 1420 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-10 } \\ \text { E.8 } \\ S-8 \\ \text { W- } 10 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 5 | Nw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC Good } \\ & \text { SC- Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to east Stem diameter measured over ivy <br> Prolific ivy <br> Two trees with shared canopy on boundary |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 254.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T316 }}$ | Turkey Oak (Quercus cerris) | 22 | 1050 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-8 } \\ & \text { S-8 } \\ & \text { W-10 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 5 | s | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC Good } \\ & \text { SC- Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to east Stem diameter measured over ivy Prolific ivy |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 499 | 12.60 | 240.3 |
| ${ }^{\text {T317 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 820 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8 \\ & \mathrm{E}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-8 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | s | Matur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to east <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Old coppice tree growing in hedgerow |  | $20+$ years | B | 1 | 308 | 9.90 | 201.1 |
| н318 | Elm <br> Elder <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Oak <br> Field Maple <br> Ash <br> Hazel <br> (Ulmus sp. <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Crataegus monogyna <br> Quercus sp. <br> Acer campestre <br> Fraxinus sp. <br> Corylus avellana) | 3 | 100 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1 \end{aligned}$ |  | - | N | Mat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Field boundary hedge that varies in height and management. Offers good screening of footpath from field |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 3.1 |


| Kerto Notations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: Stem diameter (mmat 1.5 m above eroundevel |  |  |  |  | $\left.\right\|_{\text {ase Class }} ^{\text {r }}$ | roung |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Categor Cradiog }}^{\text {Categry }}$ | , |  | ${ }_{\text {erot }}^{\text {erc }}$ | 1-Mainly Arororucutural |  |  |
| c. c. |  |  |  |  | Em | ${ }_{\text {Young }}^{\text {Earry }}$ Sutue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2-Mainl Landsape |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\text { Om }}$ | Matue |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{\text { c }}$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{10+}$ |  |  |  |
| ER | Dinection flowest ranch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | u | Unsutabil tor eremention |  |  |  |  |  |
| condition |  | Good - No siginiticant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair-symptoms of health that an be erenediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sigifitiantill heath | removal are noted in red text | notes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strucural condition (Sc) |  | Good - .os significant defects |  |  |  | Fari- Significant defeects that can beremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-significant defects with or oemedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{\text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm})} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No of stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | B (m) | LB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | ecommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA ( m ) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy (m2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6319 | Common Ash $\times 4$ <br> (Fraxius excelsior x4) | ${ }^{12}$ | 1000 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5 } \\ & \text { E-16 } \\ & \text { S-5 } \\ & \text { W-16 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | N | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Mature }}}_{\text {Early }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to north Stem diameter measured over ivy Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 452 | 12.00 | 251.3 |
| T320 | Turkey Oak (Quercus cerris) | 15 | 600 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-10 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC-Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to north Stem diameter measured over ivy |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 163 | 7.20 | 160.2 |
| ${ }^{\text {T321 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 690 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-5 \\ \mathrm{E}-5 \\ \mathrm{~S}-4 \\ \mathrm{~W}-6.5 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 4 | w | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { cature }}}_{\text {Early }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to north Ash Dieback - No <br> Stem diameter measured over ivy |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 222 | 8.40 | 81.3 |
| 6322 | Common Hawthorn Common Ash Lilac Crataegus monogyna Fraxinus excelsior Syringa sp.) | 8 | 700 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | . | N | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to east and carpark to west <br> Overhead lines above and partially through canopy |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 222 | 8.40 | 15.7 |
| 6323 | Common Hawthorn x2 (Crataegus monogyna x2) | ${ }^{6}$ | 320 | 2 | N-2.5 E-1.5 S-2.5 W-2.5 |  | . | N | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with footpath to east and carpark to west <br> Overhead lines above and partially through canopy |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 48 | 3.90 | 15.7 |
| 6324 | Elder Sycamore Common Ash Dogwood Common Hawthorn Hazel (Sambucus nigra Acer pseudoplatanus Fraxinus excelsior Cornus sp. Crategus monogyna Corylus avellana) | ${ }^{8}$ | 800 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-30 \\ & E-3 \\ & S-30 \\ & \mathrm{~F}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary group directly below power lines with footpath to east. Ash canopies at southern end are entangled in power line. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 290 | 9.60 | 282.7 |

FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS

|  |  |  | Ase class | 1 | Defintion |  | Categor Grading |  |  | Erc |  | Subategor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: | Stem diameer | mabove grond leve |  | Young | Trest hat have not evereac | ere expected maure height | Categoy |  |  |  | 1-Mainly Aroricicutral |  |
| c. | Height of cow | above ground level | EM | farly Matue | The stage in thilie eclie of | en vouth and maturity | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | Hieh haviti C |  | ${ }^{20+}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Lbe }}{\text { Le.E. }}$ | Oirestion ofto |  | ¢ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{\text { c }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {dot }}$ |  |  |
| ER.C | Estimated dem | Iution (in veas) |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Unsutubbele for reteention |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologit | condition (PC) | Good- - No sigific |  | fair-smptoms of health that can be eremediaed |  | Poor-Sigififieatit health | Trees for removal re enoted ir ed text |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strutura | adition (Sc) | Good - Nosisifitiant defects |  |  |  | Poor-S Significand deecets with or eremedy |  | nots: |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial <br> distance ( m ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopv (m2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6327 | Sycamore <br> Field Maple <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Blackthorn <br> Hazel <br> Grey Willow <br> Common Ash <br> Elm <br> Goat Willow <br> (Acer pseudoplatanus <br> Acer campestre Crataegus monogyna Prunus spinosa Corylus avellana Salix cinerea Fraxinus excelsior Ulmus sp. Salix caprea) | 18 | 1000 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-135 \\ \mathrm{E}-9 \\ \mathrm{~S}-135 \\ \mathrm{~W}-9 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - } 2 \text { air } \end{aligned}$ | Wooded group of trees straddling stream. Unmanaged high canopy and understorey |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 452 | 12.00 | 3817.0 |
| T328 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 780 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6 } \\ & \text { E-3 } \\ & S-5 \\ & \text { W-5 } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 6 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with stream to east <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 25-50\% |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | $u$ | 272 | 9.30 | 69.1 |
| ${ }^{\text {T329 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 730 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5 } \\ & \text { E-7 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 5 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - 25-50\% |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | $u$ | 238 | 8.70 | 131.9 |
| т330 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 650 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6 } \\ & \text { E-6 } \\ & \text { S-6 } \\ & \text { W-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 1 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ash Dieback - Yes } \\ & \text { ADB Extent - } 25-50 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $<10$ years | u | $u$ | 191 | 7.80 | 113.1 |
| ${ }^{\text {T331 }}$ | Common Ash <br> (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 900 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7 } \\ & \text { E-7 } \\ & \text { S-7 } \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 1 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Ash Dieback - Yes } \\ & \text { ADB Extent - } 25-50 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $<10$ years | $u$ | $u$ | 366 | 10.80 | 153.9 |
| T332 | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 660 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 1 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC- - fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent-25-50\% |  | <10 years | $u$ | $u$ | 191 | 7.80 | 71.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T333 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 12 | 1050 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-7.5 \\ \mathrm{E}-7 \\ \mathrm{~s}-9.5 \\ \mathrm{~W}-7.5 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 4 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC - Good } \end{aligned}$ | Stem diameter measured over ivy Prolific ivy up stem and into canopy. Hedgerow tree between fields, canopy of which has been maintained for agricultural access. <br> Main stem break at 7 m into multiple codominant limbs. |  | 40+ Years | A | 1 | 499 | 12.60 | 193.6 |
| ${ }^{\text {T334 }}$ | Field Maple (Acer campestre) | 8 | 390 | 1 | N-3 $\mathrm{E}-3$ $\mathrm{~S}-3$ $\mathrm{~W}-3$ | 4 | 4 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Stem diameter measured over ivy <br> Field boundary tree in hedge with declining canopy. Stem covered in ivy which is extending into canopy. |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 72 | 4.80 | 28.3 |



FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Aseclass | $I_{\text {voong }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Category Grading } \\ \hline \text { Category } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High ounity V valu |  | ${ }_{40+}^{6}$ | Subategor |  |  |  |
|  | Sem dameer mmorism aove roundevel |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {em }}$ |  |  |  | The stage in the life ecrie of f tre ebeween vouth and maturity |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { om }}{ }$ | Over Mature |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{c}$ |  |  |  | ¢0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated Remaning Contil | bution (inv |  |  |  |  |  | Atreet tra | thas survied | d the figurs offit | and show signs of ancientress | u | Cow ounivy value 40 |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Physiologica | condition (PC) | 6ood- No siginficant heath problems |  |  |  | Fair- Sympooms of health hat can be eemedialed |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sigifiticantil heath | Tres for removal re onted in red text. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |
| Structural | Sdition (Sc) | Good - No | significant defe |  |  | Farr-Significant defects that can be emeneiated |  |  |  |  | Poor- Siginificant defects with or remedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{\text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm})} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat |  |  |  |  | RPA (m2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy (m2) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {T345 }}$ | Common Holly (Ilex aquifolium) | 3 | 270 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Hedgerow tree in boundary |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 |  | 34 | 3.30 | 16.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T346 }}$ | Field Maple <br> (Acer campestre) | 8 | 240 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-3.5 \\ & E-1.5 \\ & S-2.5 \\ & \text { W-. } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Hedgerow tree in boundary |  | 10+Years | c | 1 |  | 28 | 3.00 | 21.2 |
| ${ }^{\text {T347 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 6 | 180 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ \mathrm{E} .5 \\ \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Semi $\begin{gathered}\text { Semi } \\ \text { Mature }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Hedgerow tree in boundary |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 |  | 14 | 2.10 | 23.8 |
| ${ }^{\text {T348 }}$ | Sycamore <br> (Acer <br> pseudoplatanus) | 6 | 340 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{S-3.5} \\ & \mathrm{~W} .3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | $s$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Early }}}^{\substack{\text { Eature }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed hedgerow tree in boundary |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 |  | 55 | 4.20 | 32.4 |
| ${ }^{\text {T34 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 8 | 630 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-6.5 \\ \text { E.5 } \\ \text { E-5 } \\ \text { W-5 } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | $s$ | Matu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- - fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed tree to west of field boundary hedge <br> Field has been ploughed and cropped to within 3 m . Canopy on west side 1 m AGL and flailed back for access |  | $20+$ years | B | 1 |  | 177 | 7.50 | 90.3 |
| ${ }^{\text {T350 }}$ | Field Maple (Acer campestre) | 8 | 330 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3.5 \\ & \text { E-3 } \\ & \text { S-3 } \\ & \mathrm{W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | E | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed hedgerow tree in boundary with canopy lifted for access. Mains water supply inspection chamber 2 m to north east. |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 |  | 48 | 3.90 | 30.6 |
| ${ }^{\text {T351 }}$ | Common Ash <br> (Fraxinus excelsior) | 6 | 270 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | $s$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed hedgerow tree in boundary with canopy in decline |  | $<10$ years | u | $\cup$ |  | 34 | 3.30 | 19.4 |
| 6352 | Field Maple x3 (Acer campestre $\times 3$ ) | 6 | 210 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-2.5 } \\ & \text { E-1.5 } \\ & S-2.5 \\ & \text { W-2 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Small group of hedgerow trees that have been left to outgrow hedge |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 |  | 18 | 2.40 | 13.7 |
| 6353 | Sycamore Elm x36 (Acer pseudoplatanus Ulmus sp. x $\mathbf{x}$ ) | , | 480 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-26 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-26 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Dead | PC - Dead SC - Dead | Group of dead trees that form hedge. All can be removed and new hedge planted |  | Dead | u | $u$ |  | 102 | 5.70 | 163.4 |
| ${ }^{\text {T354 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelior) | 8 | 170 | 1 | N-2.5 N-2.5 E-2.5 S-2.5 $\mathrm{W}-2.5$ | 3 | 3 | E | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Ash Dieback - Yes ADB Extent - $0-25 \%$ field boundary tree |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 |  | 14 | 2.10 | 19.6 |

FRONTIERS

| Stememe |  |  |  |  | Age class | Voung |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  | erac | ${ }_{\text {1- Mainly Arboricutural }}$ Sub categor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {ct }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { B }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{10+}^{20+}$ | hly untural |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Over Matue |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{c}$ | $\frac{10+}{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated Remaming Contrit | bution inv |  |  |  |  |  | Atree that | thas survived | d the figurs oflit | and show signs of ancientress | u |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| siological condition (PC) |  | Good- -Nos significant heath problems |  |  |  | fair-symploms of heatht that ana be e emediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-s.sgificantill heath | Trees for removal re enoed in red text. | notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stuctural condition (Sc) |  | Good- Nos isifificand defects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Significandefects with noremedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy (m) | cc(m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| H355 | Elm <br> Elder <br> Common Holly Common Ash Common Hawthorn Pedunculate Oak (Ulmus sp. Sambucus nigra llex aquifolium Fraxinus excelsior Crataegus monogyna Quercus robur) | 2.5 | 100 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 | - |  | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Field boundary hedge maintained by flaliling, gappy towards eastern end |  | 20+Years | в | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 12.6 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {T356 }}$ | Common Holly (Ilex aquifolium) | 8 | 210 | 1 | N-3 K-3 S-3 W-3 | 3 | 3 | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Hedgerow tree |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 18 | 2.40 | 28.3 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1357}$ | Common Holly (Ilex aquifolium) | 8 | 400 | 3 | N-4 E-4 S-2 W-3 | 3 | 3 | E | Mature | PC- Fair | Hedgerow tree |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 72 | 4.80 | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| 6358 | Common Holly Common Ash (Ilex aquifolium Fraxinus excelsior) | 6 | 270 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ \mathrm{E} .5 \\ \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ \mathrm{~W}-5 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | E | Early Mature | PC-Fair | Hedgerow group under power lines |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | ${ }^{34}$ | 3.30 | 35.3 |  |  |  |
| T359 | Common Holly <br> (Ilex aquifolium) | 9 | 290 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | E | Mature | PC-Fair | Hedgerow tree |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | ${ }^{41}$ | 3.60 | 30.6 |  |  |  |
| H360 | Elder <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Common Ash <br> (Sambucus nigra <br> Crataegus monogyna Fraxinus excelsior) <br> Fraxinus excelsior | 2 | 100 | 1 | N-1 E-1 S-1 W-1 | - | - | 5 | Mature | SC-Poor | Poor condition field boundary hedge under power line. |  | 10+ Years | c | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 3.1 |  |  |  |
| T361 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 15 | 1200 | 1 | N-7 E-7 S.7 W-5 | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access track to east Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy on eastern side Tree has been managed as a pollard Stem diameter estimated over ivy |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 651 | 14.40 | 131.9 |  |  |  |
| T362 | Crack Willow (Salix fragilis) | 14 | 660 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5.5 \\ & S-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access toast and stream to north Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy to west Stem diameter estimated over ivy Tree has been pollarded at 8 m |  | $20+$ Years | B | 1 | 191 | 7.80 | 78.3 |  |  |  |



| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No of stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB(m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { RPA Radial } \\ \text { distance (m) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W363 | Elder <br> Norway Maple <br> Crack Willow <br> Common Ash <br> Blackthorn <br> Sycamore <br> Tree of heaven <br> Goat Willow <br> Sumac <br> Buddleia <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Dogwood <br> Norway Spruce <br> Elm <br> Pedunculate Oak <br> Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Horse Chestnut <br> Spindle <br> (Sambucus nigra <br> Acer platanoides <br> Salix fragilis <br> Fraxinus excelsior <br> Prunus spinosa <br> Acer pseudoplatanus <br> Ailanthus altissima <br> Salix caprea <br> Rhus sp. <br> Buddleia sp. $\qquad$ | 20 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-7 } \\ & \text { E-7 } \\ & S-7 \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | - | . | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC - Good } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary woodland group that straddles stream and provides screening to residential properties to north. <br> Residents have encroached woodland on north side and are making use of area within woodland for access, recreation, waste and storage. <br> Woodland has recently had some canopy management to open to light Eastern section of woodland is in poorer condition than western end due to encroachment by and use of woodland by residents |  | в | 2 | 113 | 6.00 | 153.9 |
| 6364 | Common Ash x2 <br> Crack Willow x4 <br> Sycamore <br> (Fraxinus excelsior x2 <br> Salix fragilis x4 <br> Acer pseudoplatanus) | 20 | 1130 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9 \\ & \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-9 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 5 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC F Fair } \\ & \text { SC - } \text { Coir } \end{aligned}$ | Overhead lines through or in close proximity to canopy to east and south Hedgerow group of trees on boundary. Willows are multi stemmed maidens that would benefit from being pollarded |  | в | 2 | 573 | 13.50 | 176.7 |
| 6365 | Blackthorn <br> Elder <br> Elm <br> Crab Apple <br> Goat Willow <br> Field Maple <br> Hazel <br> Crack Willow <br> (Prunus spinosa <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Ulmus sp. <br> Malus sylvestris <br> Salix caprea <br> Acer campestre <br> Salix fragilis) | ${ }_{5}$ | 320 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-40 } \\ \text { E-5 } \\ \text { S-40 } \\ \text { W-5 } \end{gathered}$ | - | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Poor } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary hedge in mixed condition with elm dead/dying but hazel in reasonable condition. Would benefit from elm being removed and new hedge planted. |  | c | 2 | 48 | 3.90 | 628.3 |
| ${ }^{\text {T366 }}$ | Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 880 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4 | SE | Mature | PC - Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with A44 and footpath to west and farm track access to north. <br> lvy on stem extending into canopy <br> Ash Dieback - Yes <br> ADB Extent - 0-25\% <br> Possibly offsite tree. |  | c | 1 | 346 | 10.50 | 103.7 |

FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS


FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  | Asecass | $\frac{1}{\text { reane }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Cateot Cosains | $\xrightarrow{\text { Hibhamative value }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {atr }}^{\text {enc }}$ | subacteor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | m |  |  | ${ }_{\text {chereor }}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| erectereme | Oiretiono I wese tranh |  |  |  |  | ver emere |  | Comer |  |  |  | u | Unumbere oreeer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phasiosegar | conation (PC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poor. Sgenficantitheath | Teest oremonol sereneded ined et | Noors: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Strucural (tondition (5c) |  | Good. Nos sigitionsmeters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | ${ }^{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\substack{\text { Noof } \\ \text { stems }}}$ | Canopy (m) | cc(m) | 18 (m) | ${ }^{\text {OLB }}$ | Ase |  |  |  | Condition | Obserations | Recommendations | ${ }_{\text {erc }}$ | cat. | sub cat | RPA (m2) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {r386 }}$ | (eadunculte oak | 4 | ${ }^{9}$ | 1 | N-1 E-1 S-1 $\mathrm{W}-1$ | 1 | 1 | N | SemiSent <br> Matue | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pc- Fair } \\ \text { SC- Fair } \end{gathered}$ | Offsite roassidet tre in verge |  | $10+\mathrm{e}$ ears | c | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | ${ }^{3.1}$ |
| T387 |  | 20 | 650 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | E | Sture | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pc- F- Fir } \\ \text { SC- Fair } \end{gathered}$ | Offsite tree within influencing distance of site, growing within verge. Not recorded on topo so location indicative |  | $20+$ ears | B | 1 | 191 | 7.80 | 9.0 |
| ז388 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\text { common Hawthom } \\ \text { (rataegus } \\ \text { monogna) }} \end{array}$ | 8 | 420 | 4 |  |  |  | E | Watue | $\begin{gathered} \text { PCC-Fiar } \\ \text { SC- Fair } \end{gathered}$ | Offsite tree within influencing distance of site, growing within verge. Top of tree previously failed <br> Not recorded on topo so location indicative |  | $20+$ ears | B | 1 | 82 | 5.10 | ${ }^{14.1}$ |
| T389 | ${ }^{\text {anelanchier }}$ (Amelanchiesp.) | 6 | ${ }^{90}$ | 1 | ¢-2. |  | - | N | Semi | Sc. Frar | Offiste trei in vere Not poteded on toposolocation indicative |  | $10+$ Years | c | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | 12.6 |
| ז390 | ${ }^{\text {anelanchier }}$ Ameanchiesp.) | 8 | 180 | 1 | N-3 E.3 $5-3$ $\mathrm{~W}-3$ |  | . | N | Semi | Sc. Frar | Offsite tree in verge. Canopy pruned on west side for pedestrian access Not plotted on topo so location indicative |  | $10+$ vears | c | 1 | ${ }^{14}$ | 2.10 | 28.3 |
| ז391 | Bird Cherry (Prunus padus) | 6 | 150 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | N | Semi | Sc. Frar | Offsite tree in verge, with canopy pruned on east side for pedestrian access Not plotted on topo so location indicative |  | $10+$ ears | c | 1 | 10 | 1.80 | 23.8 |
| ז392 | Bird Cherry <br> (Prunus padu | 6 | 250 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & N-2.5 \\ & E-3 \\ & 5-4 \\ & W W \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | s | SemiSent <br> Matue | $\begin{gathered} \text { PC- F- Fir } \\ \text { SC- Fair } \end{gathered}$ | Offsite tree in verge with canopy pruned on east side for pedestrian access Not plotted on topo so location indicative |  | $10+$ vears | c | 1 | 28 | 3.00 | 35.7 |
| 6393 |  | 15 | 950 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} N-50 \\ E-7 \\ =-50 \\ W-7 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pc- fair } \\ & \text { SC- far } \end{aligned}$ | Boundar group screening westem boundary f s sience are |  | $20+$ ears | B | 2 | 408 | ${ }^{11.40}$ | 10996 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem Dia: | Stem diameter (mm) at 1.5 m above fround level |  | Ase class | Voung | ${ }^{\text {Definition] }}$ Trest | Ierexected mature eeght | ${ }_{\text {Categor Cratiogs }}^{\text {Cateray }}$ | $\square$ |  | ${ }_{\text {ator }}^{\text {erc }}$ | ${ }^{1 \text {-Mainly } \text { Aroforicutural }}$ Sub category |
|  |  |  | Em | Early Matue |  |  |  | Hish auality V Value |  | ${ }^{20+}$ | 2-Manly landsape |
| Li.te. | Lowest tranct |  | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{ }$ | Matere | Cose fotul heigh and ${ }_{\text {cow }}$ | ministem diameter increseses more siowly | ${ }_{c}^{8}$ | Moderate Cualiv 8 Value |  | $\frac{10+}{10}$ |  |
| E.R.C EStimate demaning Sontibution (in vers) |  |  |  | Atree that tas surived the ig igurs ofitife and show simbro of ancientess |  |  | u | Unsutabie to oreteention |  |  |  |
| Physiological condition (PC) |  | Good - Nosiginitic |  | Fari-Sympooms of health that can be eemediated |  | Poor-Significatill heath | enoted in red text | nors | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |
| structural | ndition (Sc) | Good - Nos sigifican |  | Fair-Siginifanat defectst hat can be e emediated |  | Poor-Siginficand defects with horemeay |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | cat. | Sub cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance (m) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6394 | Wayfaring Tree <br> Common Ash <br> Common Birch <br> Black Walnut <br> Goat Willow <br> Haze <br> Alder <br> Cotoneaster <br> Pedunculate Oak <br> Aspen <br> Common Hawthorn <br> Black Hybrid Poplar <br> (Viburnum lantana <br> Fraxinus exc Betula alba <br> Juglans nigra <br> Salix caprea <br> Corylus avellana <br> Alnus sp. <br> Cotoneaster sp. <br> Quercus robur <br> Populus tremula <br> Crataegus monogyna Populus x canadensis) | 15 | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-7 \\ & \mathrm{~N}-75 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-75 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary group screening south aspect of science area. Narrows towards eastern end |  | в | 2 | 191 | 7.80 | 1649.3 |
| 6395 | Hazel <br> Field Maple <br> Osier <br> Lime <br> Norway Maple <br> Wild Cherry <br> Common Ash <br> Aspen <br> Pedunculate Oak <br> Elder <br> Blackthorn <br> Dogwood <br> (Corylus avellana <br> Acer campestre Salix viminalis <br> Tilia sp. <br> Acer platanoides <br> Prunus avium <br> Fraxinus excelsior Populus tremula <br> Quercus robur <br> Sambucus nigra <br> Cornus sp.) | 12 | 640 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & N-7 \\ & E-62 \\ & S-7 \\ & W-62 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { barly } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Boundary group screening science area |  | в | 2 | 191 | 7.80 | 1363.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T396 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 12 | 410 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-4.5 \\ & E-6.5 \\ & S-5.5 \\ & W-4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | E | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Maturu } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pC- } \\ & \text { cc } \end{aligned}$ | Physiological Condition - Good <br> Structural Condition - Fair <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with hardstanding of car park to both east and south <br> Asymmetrical canopy at upper levels on west side due to shading from neighbouring shelterbelt <br> Canopy has been pruned to lift over car parking bays |  | в | 1 | 72 | 4.80 | 82.5 |


| Stem Dia: |  |  |  |  | def |  | ${ }_{\text {Categoro Gratiogs }}^{\text {Categry }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {erc }}$ | Subategor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stem diameter (mm) at 1.5 m a abve groundevel |  | ${ }^{\text {ase cass }}$ | Young |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| c. c. | Selem |  | tm | Early Mature |  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | High पuality |  | ${ }^{20+}$ | 2-Manily lanssape |  |
| Li. |  |  |  | Matue | Coise tofulneigh and coiv | mainstem diameerer icceases more sowly | $\stackrel{8}{c}$ |  | Vita Value | $\frac{10+}{10}$ | 3-Mainl Cutural |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trees for emomal are onted in red eet. | Lew Quairy value |  |  |  |  |
| Physiologicial ondition (PC) |  | Good. No siginficant heath problems |  |  |  | Poor-Sigifiticatill health |  | Nors | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |
| Structural | adition (Sc) | Good Nos significan |  |  |  | Poor- -iginfifent defects with no remedy | Tres for removal re enoted in red text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No of <br> stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { RPA Radial } \\ \text { distance (m) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H397 | Common Beech (Fagus sylvatica) | 3 | 70 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | Pc. | Beech hedge between shelterbelt and car parking area. Maintained by clipping |  | $20+$ years | B | 2 | 3 | 0.90 | 3.1 |
| 6398 | Silver Birch $\times 3$ (Betula pendula $\times 3$ ) | 14 | 560 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W} .6 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | N | Mature | PC. sc. | Physiological Condition - Fair <br> Structural Condition - Fair <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with hardstanding to east and storage containers to north. <br> Trees share mutual canopy and blend into shelterbelt to west. |  | $20+$ years | B | 2 | 137 | 6.60 | 125.3 |
| ${ }^{\text {T399 }}$ | Weeping Ash <br> (Fraxinus excelsio <br> 'Pendula') | 7 | 300 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-.5 \\ & S .5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 4 | $s$ | Mature | SC-Fair | Asymmetrical Canopy on east side due to shading from neighbouring tree Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with hardstanding car park to north Building in proximity to canopy on northern side |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 41 | 3.60 | 30.0 |
| ${ }^{\text {T400 }}$ | Leyland Cypress (Cupressocyparis leylandii X) | 20 | 920 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $s$ | Mature | SC-Fair | Low branches ( 3 m ) obstruct pedestrian access. <br> Building in proximity to canopy on northern side <br> Triple stemmed tree from base with northern side bare from ground to 3 m |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 387 | 11.10 | 103.9 |
| ${ }^{\text {T401 }}$ | Silver Birch <br> (Betula pendula) | 10 | 230 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-0.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 1 | nw | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Early } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - } 2 \text { air } \end{aligned}$ | Asymmetrical Canopy on east side due to shading from neighbouring trees Minor bark damage on stem at 1 m . <br> Cotoneaster shrub at base |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 23 | 2.70 | 23.6 |
| 6402 | Lawson Cypress $\times 3$Chamaecyparis <br> lawsoniana $\times 3$ ) | 18 | 630 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-6.5 \\ & S-6 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 5 | Mature | Sc-Fair | Building in proximity to canopy on north side <br> Group of three trees with northern side of canopy heavily pruned to maintain clearance from building <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem on north side with rain water drained from building and extraction fan/chimney |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 177 | 7.50 | 82.5 |
| 6403 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Hormbeam x3 } \\ & \text { (Carpinus betulus x3) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 10 | 750 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9.5 \\ & \text { E.9 } \\ & \mathrm{S}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-6 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 2 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC Good } \end{aligned}$ | Building in proximity to canopy with sub station to north and storage containers to east. <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem (distance and direction) with inspection chamber on western side approx 4 m from base Level changes with trees growing on raised mound on edge of open space. Crown spreads measured from southern stem |  | $20+$ Years | B | 2 | 254 | 9.00 | 188.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {T404 }}$ | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 7 | 210 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | w | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Earry } \\ \text { Mature } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC-Good } \end{aligned}$ | Commemorative tree <br> Open grown, planted in 1993 with plaque on north side detailing commemoration. |  | $20+$ Years | B | 1 | 18 | 2.40 | 28.3 |
| 6405 |  | 20 | 1570 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-9.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-13 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-11 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Low branches ( 3 m ) obstruct pedestrian access. <br> Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east Underground services in close proximity to stem approx 4 m on west side Built structure on edge of canopy on south side Canopy spread measured from second tree to north Enriched topsoil has been spread around the base of each tree Damage to surface roots from mowing, especially on northern tree |  | $20+$ Years | B | 2 | 707 | 15.00 | 330.1 |
| ${ }^{6406}$ | Small-leaved Lime x2 (Tilia cordata $\times 2$ ) | 0 | 450 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-4 \\ \mathrm{E}-4 \\ \mathrm{~S}-9 \\ \mathrm{~W}-4.5 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 2 | E | $\pm$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC-Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east Building in proximity to canopy on west side Underground services in close proximity to stem on east side approx 1.5 m from base of southern tree |  | $20+$ Years | B | 2 | 92 | 5.40 | 86.8 |

FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem |  |  | Aes Class | Voung |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Categoro Grading }}^{\text {Cateory }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {erac }}^{\text {ere }}$ | 1-Mainly Arboricutural $_{\text {Sub category }}$ |
|  |  |  | tm | Early Matue |  |  | , | High nuality |  | ${ }^{20+}$ | 2 -Mainly landscape |
| eiter |  |  |  |  | Coses toful heibht nds crown site |  | $\stackrel{B}{c}$ | Moderate Ou, | yevalue | $\frac{10+}{10}$ | 3-Mailly cutural |
|  | Estitanaed Remamining oontribution (iv veas) |  |  | Atree that has suruvived the ig igurs of fife and show signs of ancieintess |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Unsutabie to oreteenention |  |  |  |
| Physiologicicl condition (PC) $\quad$ Good. Nos siginitica |  |  |  | fair-symploms of health hat an be e eemediated |  | Poor-Sigifificantill health | esfor femoval are noted in red text. | notes. |  |  | an, the PPA catuabioion is deeermined as 5 Sx the stem diameter |
| structural | nodition (Sc) | Good- Nos significand defects |  | frir-s Sigificant defects that an ber eremediated |  | Poor-significant defects with or oemedy |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia. } \\ & (m m) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { Stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub cat | RPA ( m ) | RPA Radial distance (m) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canop (m2) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6407 |  | 8 | 200 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Early | PC.-Fair | Mixed species group on spur from boundary shelter belt <br> Ash showing indications of die back and is the dominant species in the group |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 18 | 2.40 | 28.3 |
| T408 | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road Tree has been tipped at 10 m with some regrowth |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| T409 | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road <br> Tree has been tipped at 10 m with some regrowth |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| T410 | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road <br> Tree has been tipped at 10 m with some regrowth |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| T411 | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Dead } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road <br> Tree has been topped at 10 m with no regrowth |  | Dead | $\cup$ | $\cup$ | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| T412 | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Dead } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road <br> Tree has been topped at 10 m with no regrowth |  | Dead | $u$ | $u$ | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| T413 | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Dead } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east <br> Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road <br> Tree has been topped at 10 m with no regrowth |  | Dead | $\cup$ | $\checkmark$ | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| ${ }^{\text {T414 }}$ | Western Balsam <br> Poplar <br> (Populus trichocarpa) | 10 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Dead } \\ & \text { SC - Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to east Underground services in close proximity to stem with inspection chamber to east in verge between trees and road Tree has been topped at 10 m with no regrowth |  | Dead | u | u | 113 | 6.00 | 12.6 |
| H415 | Common Beech (Fagus sylvatica) | 2 | 70 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-1 \\ & \mathrm{E}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-1 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | PC-Fair | Screening hedge between road and small shelter block. Mini buses parked on verge next to hedge, and de-icing salt bin in verge. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 3 | 0.90 | 3.1 |

FRONTIERS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | roung |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cater }}$ | High ouatity Q value |  | Perc | 1. Mainly Aromicutual Sub categ |  |  |
| c. |  |  |  |  | Em |  |  | The stage in in elife erce of fotre bewwen vouth and mauturiy |  |  | een vouth and maturity | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lowest banch heieght metes |  |  |  | om |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hithavilit \% viue |  |  |  |  |  |
| E.R.C | Estimeed Remaning Contr | ution finy | veas) |  |  |  |  | Atree that | has surved | d the igours oflit | Eand sow sibis ofancienness | $\checkmark$ | Tow Qualitr vavue |  |  | teran, the RPA calculation is determined as $15 x$ the stem diameter or |  |  |
| Physiologica | Eondition (PC) | Good - No significant heath problem |  |  |  | Fair-Smplotoms of healt hat can beremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Sigificantill heath | Treestor emomal are noted in red tet. | notes: | If a tree is designated as veteran, the RPA calculation is determined as 15 x the stem diameter or 5 m beyond the canopy (whichever is the larger) for greater protection |  |  |  |  |
| Strucural oo | dition (Sc) | Good - No | significan defer |  |  | Frir-S Significant defects that can beremediated |  |  |  |  | Poor-Significand defects with or remedy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No of } \\ \text { stems } \end{array}$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {T416 }}$ | Scots Pine <br> (Pinus sylvestris) | 8 | 400 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4.5 \\ & S-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with tree growing in planting bed in the middle of the access road. <br> Very asymmetrical canopy with majority towards north east over road <br> Surface damage to road caused by tree roots |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 72 | 4.80 | 38.3 |
| T417 | Scots Pine (Pinus sylvestris) | 12 | 380 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-.2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | sw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with tree growing in planting bed in the middle of the access road. <br> Tall upright tree. <br> Underground services to east approx 4.5 m from base <br> Surface damage to road caused by tree roots |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 64 | 4.50 | 19.4 |
| T418 | Leyland Cypress (Cupressocyparis leylandii X) | 7 | 370 | 2 | $\mathrm{N}-2$ $\mathrm{E}-2$ $\mathrm{~S}-2$ $\mathrm{~W}-2$ | . | - | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to south and carpark to north. <br> Tree growing in planting bed between road and car park offering some screening |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 64 | 4.50 | 12.6 |
| T419 | Hinoki Cypress (Chamaecyparis obtusa) | 7 | 270 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-2.5 \\ & E-2.5 \\ & S-2.5 \\ & W-2.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | - | N | Mature | (tC.-Poor | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to south and carpark to north. <br> Tree growing in planting bed between road and car park offering some screening |  | $<10$ years | $\checkmark$ | u | 34 | 3.30 | 19.6 |
| 6420 | Black Hybrid Poplar <br> $\times 8$ <br> (Populus $x$ canadensis <br> ×8) | 25 | 960 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | sw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with access road to north and south. Surface damage to road and car parking area evident with one prominent root running parallel to wall in car parking area approx 20 m from trees. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 2 | 408 | 11.40 | 153.9 |
| ${ }^{\text {T421 }}$ | Box (Buxus sp.) | 7 | 220 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | PC - Poor SC - Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with historic wall adjacent to south. Tree in planting bed with shrubs preventing access so all dimensions estimated Canopy of tree very sparse for species |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 23 | 2.70 | 37.7 |
| T422 | Black Pine (Pinus nigra) | 25 | 940 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-7 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{S-6} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 8 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC -Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with low garden wall to south and building to west. <br> Building in proximity to canopy with access road to south which has surface damage from roots. <br> Bird box attached to tree at 6 m south east side |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 408 | 11.40 | 122.5 |
| T423 | Black Pine (Pinus nigra) | 25 | 860 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-8 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-9.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 2 | sw | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good d } \\ & \text { SC - Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with low garden wall to south and building to west. <br> Building in proximity to canopy with access road to south which has surface damage from roots. |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 327 | 10.20 | 170.8 |
| T424 | English Yew (Taxus baccata) | 12 | 520 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | - | . | NE | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC - Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with tree growing against garden boundary wall |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 125 | 6.30 | 70.7 |
| ${ }^{\text {T425 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { English Yew } \\ & \text { (Taxus baccata) }\end{aligned}$ | 7 | 90 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | . | - | NE | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC -Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with tree growing against garden boundary wall <br> Tree is multi-stemmed from base |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | 44.0 |

FRONTIERS


| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stem Dia. } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance ( } \mathbf{m} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Ground area covered by canopy (m2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {T426 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black Pine } \\ & (\text { Pinus nigra) } \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 650 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5 } \\ & E-6.5 \\ & S-7 \\ & \text { W-7 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 8 | SE | Mature | $\begin{gathered} \text { PC- Fair } \\ \text { CC- Good } \end{gathered}$ | Buill structure effecting rooting area with low garden wall and access road to south |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 191 | 7.80 | 127.2 |
| ${ }^{\text {T427 }}$ | Western Red Cedar (Thuja plicata) | 10 | 420 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-God } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ornamental garden tree twin stemmed from base |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 82 | 5.10 | 12.6 |
| T428 | English Yew (Taxus baccata) | 7 | 90 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | NE | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Good } \\ & \text { SC - Good } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with tree growing against garden boundary wall <br> Tree is multi-stemmed from base |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | 44.0 |
| 6429 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { English Yew } \times 2 \\ & \text { (TTaxus baccata } \times 2 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 90 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-4 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | PC-Good SC-Good | Building in proximity to canopy on western side Group of two trees beside house with share canopy. Multi stemmed from base |  | $40+$ Years | в | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | 50.3 |
| 6430 | Hinoki Cypress x2 <br> (Chamaecyparis <br> obtusa x2) | 8 | 230 | 1 | $\mathrm{N}-2$ $\mathrm{E}-2$ S-2 $\mathrm{W}-2$ | 2 | 2 | $s$ | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC - Poor } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Pair of ornamental tres in garden with dieback in canopy and in poor condition |  | <10 years | u | $\cup$ | 23 | 2.70 | 12.6 |
| T431 | Common Beech (Fagus sylvatica) | 20 | 620 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & N-9 \\ & \text { N-8.5 } \\ & \text { S-8.5 } \\ & \text { W-7.5 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | E | Mature | PC-Good SC-Good | Open grown garden tree with good evenly balanced canopy Co-dominant stem at 4 m on west side but good union. |  | $40+$ Years | A | 1 | 177 | 7.50 | 219.9 |
| T432 | Western Red Cedar (Thuja plicata) | 12 | 340 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & \mathrm{S-3} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | - | 2 | $s$ | ${ }_{\text {Early }}^{\substack{\text { Eaty } \\ \text { Mature }}}$ | PC- Fair | Low level, boundary wall to south. Tight union at 0.5 m where stem bifurcates |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 55 | 4.20 | 28.3 |
| T433 | Western Red Cedar (Thuja plicata) | ${ }^{12}$ | 290 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-4 \end{aligned}$ | . | 2 | $s$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{\text { Early } \\ \text { Mature }}}$ | PC- Fair | Garden tree offering some screening into lawn |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | ${ }^{41}$ | 3.60 | 40.8 |
| T434 | Scots Pine <br> (Pinus sylvestris) | 12 | 530 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-6 \\ & \mathrm{E}-5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-6.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | $s$ | Mature | PC- Fair | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with brick boundary wall 4 m to east. Bottle bulge and fibre buckling at base indicates possible internal structural flaws |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 125 | 6.30 | 103.1 |
| T435 | English Yew <br> (Taxus baccata) | 7 | 90 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & \mathrm{S-5} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-God } \\ & \text { SC- - Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with brick boundary wall to east, Tree has been maintained to have flat linear growth along wall rather than natural form |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 5 | 1.20 | 31.4 |
| ${ }^{\text {T436 }}$ | Prunus <br> (Prunus sp.) | 6 | 190 | 2 | N-3 $\mathrm{E}-2$ $\mathrm{~S}-2$ $\mathrm{~W}-2$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Ormamental tree in planting bed |  | 10+ Years | c | 1 | 18 | 2.40 | 15.7 |

RREE
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| Tree No. | Species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No of stems | Canopy (m) | cc (m) | LB (m) | DLB (m) | Age | Condition | Observations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | Sub Cat | RPA (m2) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { RPA Radial } \\ \text { distance }(\mathbf{m}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ground area } \\ & \text { covered by } \\ & \text { canopy }(\mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T437 | Lawson Cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana | 16 | 500 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | Mature | PC-Good SC-Good | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with boundary wall to north and east Slightly suppressed lower canopy on eastern side during to boundary wall. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 113 | 6.00 | 19.6 |
| T438 | Lawson Cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana | 16 | 460 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & S-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-2.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | E | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with boundary wall to north. Multi-stemmed tree from base with good wide unions. Lower canopy lifted to give light into planting bed. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 92 | 5.40 | 19.6 |
| T439 | Spindle (Euonymus europaeus) | 6 | 120 | 1 | N-2 E-2 S-2 W-2 | 1 | 2 | w | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Godd } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with boundary wall to north and slightly suppressed canopy on east side due to neighbouring tree. Not plotted on topo |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 7 | 1.50 | 12.6 |
| T440 | Silver Birch (Betula pendula) | 10 | 550 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-6 } \\ & E-6.5 \\ & \text { E-5.5 } \\ & \text { W-6.5 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | 5 | Mature | $\begin{gathered} \text { PC - Good } \\ \text { SC - -air } \end{gathered}$ | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with paving paths on all sides Building in proximity to canopy with refectory on east side and bike shelter to south. Canopy of tree has been reduced on lower limbs probably for clearance, but pruning cuts are poor. |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 137 | 6.60 | 117.4 |
| T441 | Bird Cherry (Prunus padus) | 7 | 280 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} N-3 \\ E-3.5 \\ S-4 \\ W-3.5 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | w | Mature | $\begin{gathered} \text { PC - Good } \\ \text { SC- - fair } \end{gathered}$ | Open grown tree that trifurates at 1.5 m with good union |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 34 | 3.30 | 38.5 |
| T442 | Japanese Maple (Acer palmatum) | 9 | 190 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-3.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-2.5 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | N | Mature | PC-Good | Open grown ornamental tree. Canopy on south side brushes against garden boundary wall |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 18 | 2.40 | 25.9 |
| T443 | Bird Cherry (Prunus padus) | 7 | 280 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \cdot 3.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-4.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{~W}-3.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | $s$ | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Open grown tree but in proximity to garden boundary wall. <br> Slightly suppressed on south west side due to shading from neighbouring cypress |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 34 | 3.30 | 47.1 |
| T444 | Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur) | 17 | 710 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-8.5 \\ \mathrm{E}-8.5 \\ \mathrm{~S}-9 \\ \mathrm{~W}-9.5 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 4 | $s$ | Mature | PC-Good | Built or natural structure affecting rooting area with car parking 2 m to north and access road 3 m to south. No indications of root damage to surfaces. |  | 40+ Years | A | 1 | 222 | 8.40 | 247.4 |
| T445 | Norway Maple (Acer platanoides) | 10 | 900 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-8.5 \\ & \mathrm{E}-9.5 \\ & \mathrm{~S}-.7 \\ & \mathrm{~W}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- Fair } \\ & \text { SC- Fair } \end{aligned}$ | Multi stemmed tree from base. Leader on western stem has been removed.at 1.5 m Northern stem has delaminated bark on north side from base to 1.5 m . <br> Car parking to north approx 2 m from base but no indications of surface damage |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 366 | 10.80 | 207.3 |
| T446 | Japanese Maple (Acer palmatum) | ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ | 220 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-5 } \\ & \text { E-24 } \\ & S-3.5 \\ & \text { W-34 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | N | Mature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC-Good } \\ & \text { SC-Good } \end{aligned}$ | Open grown ornamental tree. Canopy on north side brushes against building |  | $20+$ Years | в | 1 | 23 | 2.70 | 387.2 |


|  | Stemdioneer mmatism |  |  |  | Anectass | $\frac{1}{1 \text { roune }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{400}^{\text {eme }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {en }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | lowet | oirection of tees |  |  | ${ }_{\text {on }}^{\text {m }}$ | Early Mature <br> Mature <br> Over Mature |  |  |  |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | e 40 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ster |  | 3-Manhy cutural |  |  |  |  |
| Pmatiobe | Sodition ( PCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poors Sefiticatit heath | Estoremonal arenoeder inestext |  | (tater ind |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| structurato | dition (50) | Good Nos | Sighicand def |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree No. | species | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \substack{\text { stem Dia. } \\ (\mathrm{mm})} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \substack{\text { sems }} \end{array}$ | canopy (m) | cc(m) | L8(m) | O18 (m) | Age | Condition | Obserations | Recommendations | ERC | cat. | sub cat | RPa (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA Radial } \\ & \text { distance ( } \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Ground area } \\ \text { covered by } \\ \text { canopy (m2) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 6447 |  | 6 | 90 | 1 | ¢-1 |  |  | N | seni Mature | Pc. Good | ewly planted understorey and tree roup between buildings |  | $10+$ vears | c | 2 | 5 | 1.20 | ${ }^{3.1}$ |  |  |




[^0]:    1 https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ancient-woodland-ancient-trees-and-veteran-trees-advice-for-making-planning-decisions

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html?

