| From: | Chris Wentworth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | 17 July $202309: 27$ |
| To: | DC Support |
| Subject: | FW: $23 / 01503 /$ OUT - OS Parcel 1570 Adjoining And West Of Chilgrove Drive And |
|  | Adjoining And North Of, Camp Road, Upper Heyford |

From: Tim Screen [Tim.Screen@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk](mailto:Tim.Screen@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk)
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Chris Wentworth [Chris.Wentworth@cherwell-dc.gov.uk](mailto:Chris.Wentworth@cherwell-dc.gov.uk)
Subject: 23/01503/OUT - OS Parcel 1570 Adjoining And West Of Chilgrove Drive And Adjoining And North Of, Camp Road, Upper Heyford

Hi Chris

## LVIA

Having read through the comprehensive and propor• onate LVIA 23 Dec 20221 I am in general agreement with its • ndings and conclusions.

## Illustrated masterplan

The illustrated M asterplan indicates the NEAP posi• on to be very close to a waterbody which is a poten • al risk to children from drowning. The NEAP Should be moved away from this waterbody and combined with the LEAP (LEAP/NEAP).

A single LAP should be located closer to the homes (as indicated in the DAS Landscape Strategy) to allow for parents and their 2-6 year old children to access the LAP by walking within 400 lm from the extremity of the development.

The narrow bu•er plan • ng between the north boundary and the building plots does not provide su• cient space for POS with footpath. The wider the POS in these loca• ons will encourage people to walk through and provide surveillance without feeling that the are trespassing on private land. With limited access/surveillance residents may be encouraged to encroach on land to increase the size of their gardens. The other solu•on is the inclusion of a street between the rear plot boundary to the northern boundary. The landscape bu•er is generally acceptable otherwise.

For the streets and open space the 'right tree in the right place' is crucial for successful establishment of urban GI . In this regard the landscape consultant should consider h•ps://www.tdag.org.uk/treespecies-selec•on-for-green-infrastructure.html (Trees Design Ac•on Group's tree species selec•on). The county should con•rm that they are able to adopt street trees for the bene•t of the environment/amenity.

## Play Provision

Policy BSC 11: Local Standards of Provision- Outdoor Recrea• on, Table 7: Local Standards of Provision Outdoor Recrea•on

At 230 units:

10 units triggers a LAP;

50 units a LEAP;
and 100 units a NEAP
With a trigger of 10 dwelling this requires a LAP, 50 dwelling requires a LEAP and 100 dwellings a NEAP. The LAP should be located in the central space within the eastern development parcel and a Combined NEAP/LEAP located in the space south for the built form in the western development parcel.

LAP - M inimum 100 sq. m equipped ac• vity zone set within a landscaped area designed to provide a safe area for alterna•ve play for children aged 2 to 6 . The size of the landscaped area (incorpora•ng the equipped ac•vity zone) will be informed by the development context (acknowledging ac• vity zone bu•er requirements).

The equipped ac• vity zone should be located a minimum of 5 m from the nearest dwelling boundary. The landscaped area - minimum 300 sq . m around the equipped ac• vity zone could be used to incorporate this bu•er.

A minimum of 3 individual items of play equipment for a range of di• erent play experiences and/or a number of mul•-func•onal play units, depending on the design layout of the play space

LEAP/ NEAP Combined - M inimum 1400 sq. m equipped ac $\bullet$ vity zone comprising an area of play equipment and structures and a hard surfaced area of at least $465 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$, set within a landscaped area designed to provide a safe area for alterna• ve play for children aged 4 to 12 . The size of the equipped ac•vity zone should be a minimum of 400 m 2 in respect of the LEAP element and 1000 m 2 in respect of the NEAP element. The size of the landscaped area (incorpora•ng the equipped ac• vity zone) will be informed by the development context (acknowledging ac• vity zone bu• er requirements) and local design guidance.

The equipped ac• vity zone within the landscaped area should be located a minimum of 10 m from the nearest dwelling boundary AND 20 m from the nearest habitable room façade in respect of the LEAP element and a minimum of 30 meters from the nearest dwelling boundary in respect of the NEAP element. The landscaped area - minimum 10700 sq. m around the equipped ac• vity zone could be used to incorporate this bu•er.

A minimum of 13 individual items of play equipment for a range of di• erent play experiences and/or a number of mul•-func•onal play units, depending on the design layout of the play space ( 5 items of play equipment for the LEAP and 8 items for the NEAP.

## The Criteria:

- Play areas are to be well overlooked. They should be located within the 400 m walking distance of all new homes within the development and close to pedestrian and cycling routes.
- In respect of Health and Safety public play space and play equipment are to be designed to the most current safest, standards possible, to minimise the risks for children. Refer to Play Safety Forum:

M anaging Risk in Play and RoSPA.

- The loca• on and design of play areas is to consider the risks to children's safety in rela• on to any areas of water including features forming part of the SuDS system
- All play surfaces, gate openings are to be accessible for disabled children, parents and carers with limited mobility. Each public play space should accommodate play equipment speci• cally designed for disabled children.
Furthermore:

1. Play areas are to be constructed from robust and durable materials to last into the future. Full construc•on details are required for planning approval under reserved ma• ers. Valid suppliers' guarantees for play equipment, furniture and safer surfaces should be provided.
2. There is to be no underground or above ground $u \bullet l i \cdot$ es for play areas given the poten $\bullet$ al disrup $\bullet$ on to children's physical and social development when a play area has to be closed for essen• al maintenance and refurbishment of such $u \cdot l \cdot e \mathrm{es}$.
3. The public play space loca• ons are not to be used for constructor's compounds, contractor parking, or storage of building materials. This is to prevent the contamina• on and compac• on of topsoil and subsoil, resul• ng in a health risk for children.
The developer must con•rm agreement to Items 2 and 3 and ensure this is followed through at (detailed design) reserved ma• ers and the construc• on phase.

The quan• ty of informal open space should be clari•ed to ensure it meets the requirements of the of Policy BSC 11: Local Standards of Provision- Outdoor Recrea• on, Table 7: Local Standards of Provision Outdoor Recrea•on

## Commuted Sums

Commuted sum rates and amounts cover 15 years of landscape maintenance. The CSs are currently subject to indexa•on upli• ing and will be reported soon. Indexa• on •uctua• on in accordance BCIS All In One Tender Price index by RICS

Typologies:
M ature Tree
Hedgerow
Woodland
Ponds
A• enua• on Basin (Illustra•ve M asterplan)
New Woodland (Illustra• ve M asterplan)
Informal Open Space (Illustra•ve M asterplan)
LAP
LEAP/NEAP Combined
Site/LEM P monitoring x 2 visits per year x 15 years
Landscape Services' management of site/LEM P monitoring consultants at 10\%
Best regards
Tim
Tim Screen CM LI
Landscape Architect
Environmental Services
Environment \& Place
Cherwell District Council
01295221862
mailto:tim.screen@cherwell-dc.gov.uk
www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk
www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil
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