

# Comment for planning application 23/01316/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="23/01316/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land South of Faraday House Woodway Road Sibford Ferris"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 5 two storey age restricted dwellings (55 years) for older people with access, landscaping and associated infrastructure"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Saffron Loasby"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Andrew Meyler"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="West Town Cottage, Woodway Road, Sibford Ferris, Banbury, OX15 5RF"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I write strongly to object to the latest and current planning application (23/01316/F).</p> <p>This is the latest in a series of residential development proposals in this part of Sibford Ferris, beyond the built-up limits of the small village and in open countryside, that is threatening the character of the village and its beautiful surroundings. Indeed an appeal on this site for 6 no. dwellings was only dismissed in March 2023, yet two months later a further scheme is submitted.</p> <p>Each proposal needs to be considered on its own planning merits. Importantly, in this case, the Development Plan policy situation has changed - there is now a 5-year housing land supply in the district - which is significant as it strengthens the policy objections to this proposal. The proposal is clearly contrary to the statutory Development Plan and other material considerations do not override or outweigh the primacy of the Development Plan in this case.</p> <p>The proposal involves a cramped and uncharacteristic form of development, which fails to respond to local character and the attractive qualities of this part of Sibford Ferris. Its access road, siting of dwellings in close proximity to existing properties and quiet, private gardens would have a detrimental impact upon the residential amenities and outlook of neighbouring properties, which ought in the public interest to be protected.</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposal as it is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Contrary to Policies BSC1 and Policy Villages 1 and 2 of the Local Plan Part 1 and harmful to the district's housing strategy in the Local Plan Part 1;</li><li>2. Harmful to the character and appearance of the area including the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, contrary to Policy ESD 15 of the Local Plan Part 1 and Policy C28 of the Local Plan 1996; and</li><li>3. Damaging to the residential amenities of adjacent properties contrary to Policy C31 of the Local Plan 1996, Policy ESD15 of the Local Plan Part 1, advice in the NPPF and the National Design Guide, 2021.</li></ol> <p>I therefore request that the application be refused.</p> <p>Andrew Meyler</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="02/06/2023 10:37:16"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	