


Fig. 11. XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 3 (1:1250 @ A3)

0 50m



PROJECT ID: 6915_NOT18
SECTOR BOUNDARY

| TYPE OF ANOMALY | | INTERPRETATION |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| • | DIPOLAR ISOLATED | FERROUS MATERIAL |
| ■ | MAGNETIC DISTURBANCE | FERROUS MATERIAL |
| — | DIPOLAR LINEAR | SERVICE PIPE |
| — | LINEAR TREND | OLD FIELD BOUNDARY |
| — | LINEAR TREND | AGRICULTURAL |
| - - - | LINEAR TREND | RIDGE AND FURROW |
| ••••• | LINEAR TREND | FIELD DRAIN |
| — | LINEAR TREND | GEOLOGY |
| ⊕ | MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | GEOLOGY |
| — | LINEAR TREND | ARCHAEOLOGY? |
| ● | MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | ARCHAEOLOGY? - DITCH |
| ● | MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | ARCHAEOLOGY - DITCH |

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Digital data supplied by the client, EDP, 2018.

© ASWYAS 2018. Archaeological Services W Y A S. Nephaw Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ. Tel: 0113 535 0163

Fig. 12. Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 3 (1:1250 @ A3)

0 50m




Fig. 13. Processed greyscale magnetometer data; Sector 4 (1:1250 @ A4)






Fig. 14. XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 4 (1:1250 @ A4)





Fig. 15. Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 4 (1:1250 @ A4)

0 50m




Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
 © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
 Digital data supplied by the client, EDP, 2018.

© ASWAS 2018
 Archaeological Services WY AS
 Nephaw Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ
 Tel: 0113 536 0163

Fig. 16. Processed greyscale magnetometer data; Sector 5 (1:1250 @A3)

0 50m



PROJECT ID: 6815_NOT18

SECTOR BOUNDARY

15.0 nT/cm

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Digital data supplied by the client, EDP, 2018.

© ASWAS 2018
 Archaeological Services W & A S
 Nephew Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ
 Tel: 0113 535 0163

450600


450800

451000

210800

Fig. 17. XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 5 (1:1250 @ A3)

0 50m



PROJECT ID: 6915_NOT18

SECTOR BOUNDARY

A3

| TYPE OF ANOMALY | INTERPRETATION |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| DIPOLAR ISOLATED | FERROUS MATERIAL |
| MAGNETIC DISTURBANCE | FERROUS MATERIAL |
| LINEAR TREND | AGRICULTURAL |
| LINEAR TREND | RIDGE AND FURROW |
| LINEAR TREND | GEOLOGY |
| MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | GEOLOGY |
| LINEAR TREND | ARCHAEOLOGY? |
| MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | ARCHAEOLOGY? - DITCH |
| MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | ARCHAEOLOGY - DITCH |

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Digital data supplied by the client, EDP, 2018.

© ASWYAS 2018.
 Archaeological Services W Y A S
 Nephshaw Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ
 Tel: 0113 535 0163

Fig. 18. Processed greyscale magnetometer data; Sector 5 (1:1250 @ A3)





Fig. 19. Processed greyscale magnetometer data; Sector 6 (1:1250 @ A4)

PROJECT ID: 6915_NOT18

SECTOR BOUNDARY

N
211000

15.0 nT/cm



Area 6


Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Digital data supplied by the client, EDP, 2018.

© ASWYAS 2018.
Archaeological Services W Y A S
Nepshaw Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ
Tel: 0113 535 0163

451200

Fig. 20. XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 6 (1:1250 @ A4)

0 50m



Area 6

| TYPE OF ANOMALY | | INTERPRETATION |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| • | DIPOLAR ISOLATED | FERROUS MATERIAL |
| ■ | MAGNETIC DISTURBANCE | FERROUS MATERIAL |
| — | LINEAR TREND | AGRICULTURAL |
| — | LINEAR TREND | GEOLOGY |
| ■ | MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | GEOLOGY |
| — | LINEAR TREND | ARCHAEOLOGY? |
| ■ | MAGNETIC ENHANCEMENT | ARCHAEOLOGY - DITCH |

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Digital data supplied by the client, EDP, 2018.

© ASWYAS 2018.
 Archaeological Services W Y A S
 Nepshaw Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ
 Tel: 0113 535 0163

Fig. 21. Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 6 (1:1250 @ A4)

0 50m

Appendix 1: Magnetic survey - technical information

Magnetic Susceptibility and Soil Magnetism

Iron makes up about 6% of the Earth's crust and is mostly present in soils and rocks as minerals such as maghaemite and haemetite. These minerals have a weak, measurable magnetic property termed magnetic susceptibility. Human activities can redistribute these minerals and change (enhance) others into more magnetic forms. Areas of human occupation or settlement can then be identified by measuring the magnetic susceptibility of the topsoil because of the attendant increase (enhancement) in magnetic susceptibility. If the enhanced material subsequently comes to fill features, such as ditches or pits, localised isolated and linear magnetic anomalies can result whose presence can be detected by a magnetometer (fluxgate gradiometer).

In general, it is the contrast between the magnetic susceptibility of deposits filling cut features, such as ditches or pits, and the magnetic susceptibility of topsoils, subsoils and rocks into which these features have been cut, which causes the most recognisable responses. This is primarily because there is a tendency for magnetic ferrous compounds to become concentrated in the topsoil, thereby making it more magnetic than the subsoil or the bedrock. Linear features cut into the subsoil or geology, such as ditches, that have been silted up or have been backfilled with topsoil will therefore usually produce a positive magnetic response relative to the background soil levels. Discrete feature, such as pits, can also be detected. The magnetic susceptibility of a soil can also be enhanced by the application of heat and the fermentation and bacterial effects associated with rubbish decomposition. The area of enhancement is usually quite large, mainly due to the tendency of discard areas to extend beyond the limit of the occupation site itself, and spreading by the plough.

Types of Magnetic Anomaly

In the majority of instances anomalies are termed 'positive'. This means that they have a positive magnetic value relative to the magnetic background on any given site. However some features can manifest themselves as 'negative' anomalies that, conversely, means that the response is negative relative to the mean magnetic background.

Where it is not possible to give a probable cause of an observed anomaly a '?' is appended.

It should be noted that anomalies interpreted as modern in origin might be caused by features that are present in the topsoil or upper layers of the subsoil. Removal of soil to an archaeological or natural layer can therefore remove the feature causing the anomaly.

The types of response mentioned above can be divided into five main categories that are used in the graphical interpretation of the magnetic data:

Isolated dipolar anomalies (iron spikes)

These responses are typically caused by ferrous material either on the surface or in the topsoil. They cause a rapid variation in the magnetic response giving a characteristic 'spiky' trace. Although ferrous archaeological artefacts could produce this type of response, unless there is supporting evidence for an archaeological interpretation, little emphasis is normally given to such anomalies, as modern ferrous objects are common on rural sites, often being present as a consequence of manuring.

Areas of magnetic disturbance

These responses can have several causes often being associated with burnt material, such as slag waste or brick rubble or other strongly magnetised/fired material. Ferrous structures such as pylons, mesh or barbed wire fencing and buried pipes can also cause the same disturbed response. A modern origin is usually assumed unless there is other supporting information.

Linear trend

This is usually a weak or broad linear anomaly of unknown cause or date. These anomalies are often caused by agricultural activity, either ploughing or land drains being a common cause.

Areas of magnetic enhancement/positive isolated anomalies

Areas of enhanced response are characterised by a general increase in the magnetic background over a localised area whilst discrete anomalies are manifest by an increased response on two or three successive traverses. In neither instance is there the intense dipolar response characteristic exhibited by an area of magnetic disturbance or of an 'iron spike' anomaly (see above). These anomalies can be caused by infilled discrete archaeological features such as pits or post-holes or by kilns. They can also be caused by pedological variations or by natural infilled features on certain geologies. Ferrous material in the subsoil can also give a similar response. It can often therefore be very difficult to establish an anthropogenic origin without intrusive investigation or other supporting information.

Linear and curvilinear anomalies

Such anomalies have a variety of origins. They may be caused by agricultural practice (recent ploughing trends, earlier ridge and furrow regimes or land drains), natural geomorphological features such as palaeochannels or by infilled archaeological ditches.

Methodology: Gradiometer Survey

The main method of using the fluxgate gradiometer for commercial evaluations is referred to as *detailed survey* and requires the surveyor to walk at an even pace carrying the instrument within a grid system. A sample trigger automatically takes readings at predetermined points, typically at 0.25m intervals, on traverses 1m apart. These readings are stored in the memory of the instrument and are later dumped to computer for processing and interpretation.

During this survey a Bartington Grad601 magnetic gradiometer was used taking readings on the 0.1nT range, at 0.25m intervals on zig-zag traverses 0.5m apart within 30m by 30m square grids. The instrument was checked for electronic and mechanical drift at a common point and calibrated as necessary. The drift from zero was not logged.

The gradiometer data have been presented in this report in processed greyscale format. The data in the greyscale images have been interpolated and selectively filtered to remove the effects of drift in instrument calibration and other artificial data constructs and to maximise the clarity and interpretability of the archaeological anomalies.

The results and subsequent interpretation of data from geophysical surveys should not be treated as an absolute representation of the underlying archaeological and non-archaeological remains. Confirmation of the presence or absence of archaeological remains can only be achieved by direct investigation of sub-surface deposits

Appendix 2: Survey location information

An initial survey station was established using a Trimble VRS differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R6 model). The data was geo-referenced using the geo-referenced survey station with a Trimble RTK differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R6 model). The accuracy of this equipment is better than 0.01m. The survey grids were then super-imposed onto a base map provided by the client to produce the displayed block locations. However, it should be noted that Ordnance Survey positional accuracy for digital map data has an error of 0.5m for urban and floodplain areas, 1.0m for rural areas and 2.5m for mountain and moorland areas. This potential error must be considered if co-ordinates are measured off hard copies of the mapping rather than using the digital co-ordinates.

Archaeological Services WYAS cannot accept responsibility for errors of fact or opinion resulting from data supplied by a third party.

Appendix 3: Geophysical archive

The geophysical archive comprises:-

- an archive disk containing compressed (WinZip 8) files of the raw data, report text (Microsoft Word 2000), and graphics files (Adobe Illustrator CS6 and AutoCAD 2008) files; and
- a full copy of the report.

At present the archive is held by Archaeological Services WYAS although it is anticipated that it may eventually be lodged with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS). Brief details may also be forwarded for inclusion on the English Heritage Geophysical Survey Database after the contents of the report are deemed to be in the public domain (i.e. available for consultation in the Oxfordshire Historic Environment Record).

Appendix 4: Oasis form

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

[Printable version](#)

OASIS ID: archaeol11-321167

Project details

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Project name | The North Oxford Site (East) |
| Short description of the project | A geophysical (magnetometer) survey, covering approximately 50 hectares (out of a total of 80) was undertaken on agricultural land to the east of Oxford Road, north of Cutteslowe, Oxfordshire. Anomalies of archaeological origin have been identified including round barrows, and a 'D' shaped anomaly. Responses associated with a possible archaeological origin have also been recorded such as a linear ditch which may indicate a prehistoric route way. Former field boundaries have been recorded which correspond to historical mapping. Agricultural trends of both modern ploughing and medieval ridge and furrow can be seen throughout, along with modern services and areas of disturbance. Therefore based on this survey the archaeological potential of the southern part of the survey area is deemed to be high and low elsewhere. |
| Project dates | Start: 01-01-2018 End: 29-06-2018 |
| Previous/future work | Not known / Not known |
| Type of project | Field evaluation |
| Site status | None |
| Current Land use | Cultivated Land 4 - Character Undetermined |
| Monument type | ENCLOSURE Late Prehistoric |
| Significant Finds | NONE None |
| Methods & techniques | "Geophysical Survey" |
| Development type | Not recorded |
| Prompt | National Planning Policy Framework - NPPF |
| Position in the planning process | Not known / Not recorded |
| Solid geology | OXFORD CLAY AND KELLAWAYS BEDS |
| Drift geology (other) | Wolvercote sand and gravel member |
| Techniques | Magnetometry |

Project location

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Country | England |
| Site location | OXFORDSHIRE OXFORD OXFORD The North Oxford Site (East) Cutteslowe |
| Postcode | OX5 1DA |
| Study area | 50 Hectares |
| Site coordinates | SP 505 112 51.796716588801 -1.26762771181 51 47 48 N 001 16 03 W Point |

Height OD / Depth Min: 55m Max: 67m

Project creators

Name of Organisation Archaeological Services WYAS

Project brief originator Environmental Dimension Partnership

Project design originator Environmental Dimension Partnership

Project director/manager E Brunning

Project supervisor C. Sykes

Type of sponsor/funding body Landowner

Project archives

Physical Archive Exists? No

Digital Archive recipient ASWYAS

Digital Contents "none"

Digital Media available "Geophysics","Images raster / digital photography","Images vector","Text"

Paper Archive Exists? No

Project bibliography 1

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Title The North Oxford Site (East) Cutteslowe Oxfordshire

Author(s)/Editor(s) Brunning. E.

Other bibliographic details Report no 3153

Date 2018

Issuer or publisher ASWYAS

Place of issue or publication Leeds

Description Single bound reprot

Entered by D Williams (david.williams@aswyas.com)

Entered on 29 June 2018

Please e-mail [Historic England](#) for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by [Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham](#), [email](#) Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page

[Cookies](#) [Privacy Policy](#)

Bibliography

- BGS, 2018. <http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html> British Geological Survey (viewed May 2018)
- Cameron, K., 1996. English Place Names. London: BT Batsford Ltd.
- CIfA, 2014. Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists
- CSAI, 2018. <http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes/index.cfm> Cranfield Soil and Agrifood Institute, Soilscapes map viewer (viewed May 2018)
- David, A., N. Linford, P. Linford and L. Martin, 2008. Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation: Research and Professional Services Guidelines (2nd edition) English Heritage
- DCLG, 2012. National Planning Policy Framework. Department of Communities and Local Government
- Gaffney, C. and Gater, J., 2003. Revealing the Buried Past: Geophysics for Archaeologists Tempus Publishing Ltd
- OM, 2018. <http://www.old-maps.co.uk> (viewed May 2018)
- SSEW, 1983 Soils of Northern England, Sheet 1. Soil Survey of England and Wales