

Designers' Risk Assessment

Site or Scheme Reference:

23-0462

Project:

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester

Scope of project

New Toucan Crossing

Location

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester

Expected Start and duration of works:

TBD

Designers' Risk Assessment Prepared

By:
Principal Designer(s):
Local Highway Authority:

Chris Kennett

SWARCO UK & Ireland
Oxfordshire County Council

All Designers		
Name	Role	Contact
Chris Kennett	Traffic Signals Designer	chris@chriskennett.consulting

Revisions			
Author	Version	Date	Changes
Chris Kennett	1	23/04/2023	First Issue



Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester



Hazard

Initial Risk

Residual Risk

Collision between road users (general).	<table border="1" style="margin: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px;">L</th> <th style="padding: 2px;">S</th> <th style="padding: 2px;">R</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px;">16</td> </tr> </table>	L	S	R	4	4	16	<table border="1" style="margin: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px;">L</th> <th style="padding: 2px;">S</th> <th style="padding: 2px;">R</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px;">10</td> </tr> </table>	L	S	R	2	5	10
L	S	R												
4	4	16												
L	S	R												
2	5	10												

Site Specific Detail	Significant or Unusual Risk	Design Controls		
Road alignment	Heavy tree line on one side and further back on approaches.	Crossing design as clear as possible and suitable. All conflicting movements are identified and separately controlled with appropriate intergreens. Closely associated secondary signals are used wherever they reduce see-through and confusion. Signal times and configuration reduces congestion and delay as much as possible to limit driver frustration. Road surface with suitable polished stone rating for skid resistance, laid in line with Local Highway Authority policy - 68+ PSV / HFS is required due to road speeds.. Geometric design in accordance with requirements of TSM Chapter 6. Adaptive signal control method used to minimise max-changes and delay. Speed of road has been adequately assessed and design is appropriate.		
Visibility				
Potential for confusion				
Lane widths and track paths				
Lines and lane markings				
Conflicting movement				
Control of right turns				
Road Speed	High vehicles speeds.	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="background-color: #800000; color: white; padding: 2px;">Other Options Considered</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Inductive loops. Dismissed due to cost and risk of installation and maintenance compared to above ground.</td> </tr> </table>	Other Options Considered	Inductive loops. Dismissed due to cost and risk of installation and maintenance compared to above ground.
Other Options Considered				
Inductive loops. Dismissed due to cost and risk of installation and maintenance compared to above ground.				
Road surface condition and skid resistance	Road surface requires planing out and relay with 68+PSV / HFS	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="background-color: #800000; color: white; padding: 2px;">Remaining Risk</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Road is very high speed, although actual speeds not as high as posted limit.</td> </tr> </table>	Remaining Risk	Road is very high speed, although actual speeds not as high as posted limit.
Remaining Risk				
Road is very high speed, although actual speeds not as high as posted limit.				
Proposed method of control	MOVA with above ground detection	Road is very high speed, although actual speeds not as high as posted limit.		
Proposed type(s) of detection	Above Ground			

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester



Hazard	Initial Risk			Residual Risk		
Vehicle collision with non-motorised user (NMU)	L	S	R	L	S	R
	4	5	20	2	5	10
Site Specific Detail	Significant or Unusual Risk			Design Controls		
Accessibility of NMU facilities				NMU facilities appropriate and close enough to desire lines to encourage proper use. Specific provision made for NMUs with physical disabilities, including tactile paving, flush kerbs, audible and tactile signals, to make crossings accessible. Footway widths and gradients suitable for all users and free of unnecessary obstructions. NMU time in carriageway minimised and conflicts controlled. NMUs not encouraged to enter or wait in areas overrun by vehicles. No obstructions to visibility to or from NMUs waiting to cross carriageway. Use of barrier rail is proportional to risk and avoided where possible. Barrier railings, islands and other features do not form pinch points or otherwise trap NMUs in carriageway. Kerbside and on-crossing detection only provided where NMUs are likely to wait or walk within areas of detection.		
Proposed NMU facilities						
Separation of NMUs from traffic						
Island and refuge sizes						
Crossing lengths and widths						
Obstructions to visibility of NMUs						
NMU Desire lines						
Crossing times and intergreens						
On-crossing or kerbside detection						
Footway widths and gradients						
Barrier railing	Indicated by Preliminary design but it not required.			Other Options Considered		
				Barrier railing considered but not required - no clear need for it.		
				Remaining Hazard		

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester



Hazard

Vehicle collision leaving carriageway

Initial Risk

L	S	R
4	4	16

Residual Risk

L	S	R
4	3	12

Site Specific Detail

Street furniture location

Street furniture construction & materials

Hazardous / immovable objects

Watercourses, ditches or drains

Vehicle restraint systems

Road speed and alignment

Electrical safety (following collision)

Secondary risks (debris etc)

Significant or Unusual Risk

Design Controls

Road alignment, radii and lane widths suitable for expected vehicle speeds. Structures and immovable objects located in areas unlikely to be entered by vehicles (including where driver has lost control), otherwise protected or speeds adequately mitigated. Passively safe materials for exposed street furniture considered and appropriate class chosen (70:NE:NR:NR;NRMD:0). All electrical connections are extra-low voltage where possible. Isolation systems not used on ELV circuits as risk from false-trigger exceeds electrical risk. Physical disconnection systems not used in any circumstance as risk from fault / early life failure exceeds electrical risk.

Other Options Considered

Other passive safety classes considered, but low numbers of pedestrians make this class appropriate. Vehicle speed class suitable for typical driving speeds.

Remaining Hazard

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester



Hazard

Injuries sustained during construction activities

Initial Risk

L	S	R
3	4	12

Residual Risk

L	S	R
2	3	6

Site Specific Detail

Significant or Unusual Risk

Design Controls

Electrical

Chemical

Biological

Fall from Height

Working in live carriageway

Manual Handling

Design minimises work required and avoids statutory undertakers plant and overhead cables, as far as possible. All new street furniture is ELV, reducing electrocution risk. Pot joints used on loop feeders and signal cables not jointed. Use of chemicals limited to need for base seal to controller cabinet and feeder pillar only.

Other Options Considered

Remaining Hazard

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester



Hazard	Initial Risk			Residual Risk		
Injuries sustained during maintenance activities	L	S	R	L	S	R
	3	4	12	2	3	6
Site Specific Detail	Significant or Unusual Risk			Design Controls		
Electrical				Terminations in cabinets with locking doors and compression bolts, or otherwise out of reach of public. Site is E.L.V. L.V. Cables insulated and terminated under fixed covers. Signal lanterns are long life LED, reducing requirement for maintenance. Vehicle signals monitored and reported remotely, reducing need for routine on-site inspection. Height of poles limited to minimum necessary. Use of swan neck poles and D-brackets likewise minimised. Solid bases provided around poles in loose soil. Over-height heads accessible from MEWP. Chambers of sufficient size to allow easy access to work. Street furniture is resistant to ingress by animals and water. Street furniture is galvanised and painted to reduce need for protective oils.		
Chemical						
Biological						
Falls from height						
Working in live carriageway						
Manual Handling						
				Other Options Considered		
				Remaining Hazard		

Howes Lane north of Middleton Soney Road, Bicester



Hazard

Initial Risk

Residual Risk

Injuries sustained during decommissioning or refurbishment

L	S	R
4	4	16

L	S	R
2	3	6

Site Specific Detail

Significant or Unusual Risk

Design Controls

Electrical

Chemical

Biological

Falls from height

Working in live carriageway

Manual Handling

Site is ELV, reducing likelihood of exposure to harmful electric shock. All cabling fully ducted and poles in pole sockets, reducing need for civil engineering works. Chambers of sufficient size to allow easy access to work.

Other Options Considered

Remaining Hazard