

# Comment for planning application 23/00853/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="23/00853/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land East Of Warwick Road Drayton Warwick Road Banbury"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline application for up to 170 dwellings (Use Class C3) with associated open space and vehicular access off Warwick Road, Banbury; All matters reserved except for access"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Richard Greig"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Abi Morris"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Park Farm,Stratford Road A422,Drayton,Banbury,OX15 6EG"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application by Vistry Homes to develop land adjacent to Hanwell village.</p> <p>The application is for houses to be built on grade 2 farmland which is a valuable resource to the country. In particular this should not be allowed in a Conservation Area and given that the field is not in the Local Development plan. Development should not be allowed to cross the mature shelter belt planted some 25 years ago to protect the integrity of the village.</p> <p>The infrastructure of Banbury is not sufficient to support ever growing people and car numbers. The development will attract increasing traffic flows that even now are not satisfactorily accommodated by the road system.</p> <p>The nature and character of Hanwell as a village remains largely agricultural. This proposal will drive housing right up to the only remaining active farmyard in the village. Everything should be done to retain the important activities in the village and not see them driven away. They will never return.</p> <p>Hanwell PCC have highlighted the restricted nature of the existing road access through the village. It is a single track road in places. Inevitably the village road will be used as an alternative means of access to Banbury and the motorway. This is a Health and Safety matter and must be taken into account.</p> <p>I note CDC have a sufficiency of land for development amounting to 5.4 years. This meets the obligations placed on CDC and in this light I see no reason for increasing the supply that may just swell development land banks.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="17/05/2023 10:41:33"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	