## Comment for planning application 23/00853/OUT

Application Number	23/00853/OUT
Location	Land East Of Warwick Road Drayton Warwick Road Banbury
Proposal	Outline application for up to 170 dwellings (Use Class C3) with associated open space and vehicular access off Warwick Road, Banbury; All matters reserved except for access
Case Officer	Richard Greig
Organisation Name	Catriona Reid
Address	Dunlea, Main Street, Hanwell, Banbury, OX17 1HL
Type of Comment	Objection
Туре	neighbour
Comments	I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to this despicable proposal which would obliterate the remaining gap between the stunning village of Hanwell and the outskirts of Banbury. At it's nearest it is a mere 11 paces from a garden in Hanwell to the edge of the field in question. There is no possible justification for the wholesale destruction of the existing landscape and ecology. Cherwell can clearly demonstrate the arbitrary five-year housing land supply and this field is not within the current approved local plan, nor the emerging plan and indeed has been previously identified by QDC as unsuitable for development. Even in the absence of a five year land supply this proposal would be too damaging to consider. Approval of this site would make a mockery of the whole local plan process and instead open to doors to a free-for-all land grab by prospective developers. This alongside the fact that the development would represent coalescence between Banbury and Hanwell is my primary objection. Moreover this location is clearly unsustainable with minimal local amenities and private transport required to access schools, medical facilities, significant grocery outlets and transport hous. All the above would put additional strain on the road network which is poorly care and dental facilities within Banbury as it is. As a local primary care provider I can state this beyond shadow of a doubt. It is also an issue that no amount of section 106 reparation could address. Wistry seek to address some of these issues in their frankly farcical and biased supporting documents. The simple fact remains though that if this proposed development were to enhance the local area it wouldn't require the 'mitigating' planting or 'pulling back' that is suggested by their own landscape evaluation, which also notes that it is evident that the field as a whole contributes to the avoidance of coalescence between the wildage and the town. The only possible may to mitigate this development would be harmful and do nothing to enhance t

	nation, by providing valuable Best Most Versatile land. This development is neither wanted nor needed and it is beholden upon our elected councillors to do the right thing and quash this application.
	I attach photographs of views from hanwell including one from the boundary of the nearest garden as well as the current view from my own garden highlighting how intrusive this development would be.
<b>Received Date</b>	02/05/2023 14:00:40
Attachments	The following files have been uploaded:
	<ul> <li>IMG-1004.pdf</li> <li>IMG-1024.pdf</li> </ul>

IMG-1024.pdfIMG-0998.pdf