## Comment for planning application 23/00853/OUT

**Application Number** 23/00853/OUT

Location Land East Of Warwick Road Drayton Warwick Road Banbury

**Proposal** Outline application for up to 170 dwellings (Use Class C3) with associated open space and

vehicular access off Warwick Road, Banbury; All matters reserved except for access

**Case Officer** Richard Greig

**Organisation** 

Name

**Address** 

Comments

Heron Cottage, Main Street, Hanwell, Banbury, OX17 1HW

**Type of Comment** 

neighbour

Objection

Amanda Wray-Mitchell

**Type** 

I object to the proposed development because it is not legally acceptable. It is not supported from either a planning, legal or heritage perspective and will destroy prime agricultural land which is needed to make our country more sustainable.

It is not part of the current development plan, nor is it part of any new proposed plan The site has been assessed previously as not suitable and would destroy the gap between Hanwell village and Banbury which is protected under current policy

From a societal and policy perspective:

It results in the destruction of valuable high quality agricultural land, a green site land mitigate the effects of pollution and can reduce a phenomenon known as the urban heat island effect.

It harms both the landscape and bio diversity. Resilience can be gained by having open habitat and green space as it has a greater ability to adapt and change to external forces such as climate change, than set infrastructure

Conservation of green space is vital to preserving the local ecosystem, increasing environmental resilience

Most importantly, it massively impacts in a negative way on what should be a protected conservation area. To maximize the effectiveness of conservation efforts, key parcels of land that are adjacent to preexisting

conserved land, must be prioritized

When does "conservation" not mean Conservation?

There are further detrimental impacts on valuable heritage assets. Those assets have been around for centuries and are not something that should be dismissed. Why would Vistry would put forward a proposal that so obviously does not fit.

**Received Date** 

27/04/2023 19:36:07

**Attachments**