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## 1 Introduction

1.1 West Waddy ADP have been instructed by Dorchester Group to prepare an addendum to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement submitted in support of Application 16/02446/F following revisions to the proposed Planning Layout.
1.2 This addendum provides an assessment of the potential impacts of the revised layout on the sites arboricultural resource and should be read in conjunction with the previously submitted Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement Report that was prepared by Pegasus Group dated 01.11.16.
1.3 This report uses tree survey data and information set out within the previous arboricultural report prepared by Pegasus Group.

## 2 Documents and Information Received

2.1 For the purposes of preparing this addendum to the AIA/AMS Report prepared by Pegasus Group (dated 01.11.16), West Waddy ADP were provided with the following information:

1. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement Report, Pegasus Group, 01.11.16.
2. Phase 9 Tree Retention/Removal Plan, Pegasus Group, Drawing D.0358_21B Rev B, dated 20.09.16.
3. Phase 9 Tree Protection Plan Demolition, Pegasus Group, Drawing D.0358_24A, dated 10.10.16.
4. Phase 9 Tree Protection Plan Construction, Pegasus Group, Drawing D.0358_25, dated 20.09.2016.
5. Planning Layout, Focus, drawing 0521-PH9-102, Rev A, dated 23.01.2018

## 3 Description of Proposals and Background

3.1 The development proposals are for:
"A 296 residential dwelling development (Use Class C3) comprising a mix of market and affordable housing with improved vehicle and pedestrian access, public open space, landscaping and associated utilities and infrastructure, with site preparation involving the demolition of all existing structures and site clearance."
3.2 As identified within Section 8 of the previously submitted Arboricultural Report (prepared by Pegasus Group, dated 01.11.16) a design team site walkover took place with Cherwell District Council to agree an acceptable level of tree retention and removal across the Phase 9 site area. Tree removals were agreed with the Arboricultural Officer prior to submission of the application with the resulting Phase 9 layout reflecting the outcomes of this pro-active collaboration between Dorchester Group and Cherwell District Council.
3.3 The Phase 9 Application (Reference 16.02446/F) was submitted to Cherwell District Council on 5th December 2016 along with the previous Arboricultural Report (Pegasus Group dated 01.11.16). Consultation comments received on 16th January 2017 from the Councils Arboricultural Officer, following review of the submitted arboricultural information, did not raise any objection to proposals as follows:
"No adverse comments - the scheme appears to have a good consideration of the tree population of the site and has addressed the tree/development process sympathetically".
3.4 In light of the above it is considered that proposals were agreed to be acceptable from an arboriculture perspective.
3.5 Following the submission of the Phase 9 Application, in response to other consultation comments and ongoing discussions with Cherwell District Council, it has been necessary to amend the proposed Planning Layout.
3.6 Minor changes to the proposed planning layout include:

- Amendments to the public open space to the west of the site;
- Provision of a bridleway to the west of the site;
- Amendments to the alignment of the internal road on the western side of the site;
- Removal of footpath from between T1154 and T1155; and
- Various minor adjustments to internal plots, parking areas and road junctions.


## 4 Arboricultural Impact Assessment

4.1 With reference to BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction', this addendum evaluates the direct and indirect effects of the revised Planning Layout on the site's arboricultural resource. This should be read in conjunction with the previous Arboricultural Assessment (prepared by Pegasus 01.11.16).
4.2 This addendum includes a revised Tree Retention/Removal Plan, which supersedes the previous plan prepared by Pegasus Group (Drawing D.0358_21B Rev B, dated 20.09.16).
4.3 This identifies the anticipated extent of tree removals that will be required in order to enable revised proposals.

## APPENDIX 1 - TREE RETENTION/REMOVAL PLAN

4.4 A revised AIA schedule is attached that relates to the trees affected by the proposals.

## APPENDIX 2 - ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

## Tree Retention/Loss

4.5 The previous submitted Arboricultural Report (prepared by Pegasus Group dated 01.11.16) identifies that 55 survey items would need to be removed as part of proposals.
4.6 The proposed Planning Layout has now been revised to include a grassed bridleway to the western side of the site as a result of ongoing discussion with Cherwell District Council. Inclusion of the bridleway has resulted in the alignment of the internal access road in this location to also be amended further eastwards to ensure the bridleway can be accommodated. As a result of these changes it will be necessary to remove an additional tree (T1156), a Category C mature Silver Birch, and the southern end of H1159.
4.7 T1156 is one of five existing mature Silver Birch trees that were to be retained as part of proposals as agreed during discussions with the Arboricultural Officer. However, the loss of T 1156 is unavoidable should provision of a new bridleway be required. It should also be noted that through ongoing correspondence between Dorchester Group and Cherwell District Council (email dated 17.05.17) it has been confirmed that the loss of T1156 to allow for inclusion of a grassed bridleway would be acceptable provided two equivalents are replanted as mitigation for the loss.
4.8 It is proposed that the new bridleway will connect to the existing footpath link to the north of the site and to Kirtlington Road to the south-west corner of the site. There is a small gap in the hedgerow in the south-west corner of the site that separates the main hedgerow from the detached end section. The bridleway connection to Kirtlington Road will require the southern most of the hedgerow $(\mathrm{H} 1159)$ to be removed. It is considered that this would be acceptable given that this small section is already detached from the main hedgerow and the vast majority of H 1159 can be retained.
4.9 A review of the revised Planning Layout shows that other than T1156 and the southernmost section of H1159, no further removals are required to implement proposals beyond those identified in the previous Arboricultural Report.

## Impacts to Retained Trees

4.10 A review of the revised Planning Layout shows that proposals have not significantly altered in relation to retained trees. The main alteration to proposals noted that are relevant to retained trees is the realignment of the western internal road and inclusion of the grassed bridleway.
4.11 Inclusion of the grassed bridleway has resulted in the alignment of the western interior road being move further eastwards away from T1154 and T1155. Although the road did not encroach into the default RPA of these trees in the previous revision, works were shown to be required to the edge of the default RPA. The increase in distance between the new road and these trees will reduce the potential risk of direct and indirect
impacts spilling over into the default RPA when it comes to construction work on the ground. It is also noted that proposed play equipment has now been removed from the RPA of T1155 along with a previously proposed footpath between T1155 and T1154. Both the increase in distance of the road and the removal of play equipment from the RPA of T1155 is considered to be a positive revision to the proposed layout.
4.12 It is further noted that the previous iteration of the Planning Layout showed the western interior road encroached slightly into the outer RPA of T1161. The revised Planning Layout now shows the western road to be outside of the default RPA. Although a gravel footpath is now proposed in its place, this is considered to be more favourable as it is a less destructive type of development within the outer RPA. In this context it is considered that these changes are a positive revision to the Planning Layout compared to the previous iteration.
4.13 The previous Planning Layout showed a fenced play area within the default RPA of T1160 along with gravel surfacing, to be installed as part of the landscaping works. This would require works within the RPA as well as the canopy to be lifted to at least 2.5 m to ensure clearance underneath. The revised Planning Layout has slightly amended the location of proposed play equipment, from the outer default RPA on the north-eastern side to the outer RPA on the south-eastern side. However, proposals now specify the surfacing beneath and around T 1160 will remain as grass rather than gravel.
4.14 No other proposed alterations to the proposed Planning Layout are noted in relation to retained trees.

Overall it is considered that the revisions to the proposed Planning Layout represent a positive improvement compared to the previous iteration.

## 5 Tree Protection

5.1 The previous Arboricultural Report (Pegasus Group dated 01.11.16) set out a requirement for tree protection in relation to both demolition of existing structures on site and for the construction phase in relation to proposed residential development. The following sections review protection requirements in relation to the revised Planning Layout.

## Demolition Phase

5.2 Revisions to the proposed Planning Layout have not altered the requirements for tree protection during the demolition phase of existing structures on site. However, as part of the demolition phase trees identified to be removed on the Tree Retention/Removal Plan will be felled. Therefore, the Tree Protection Demolition has been revised to omit T1156 and southern part of H1159.

## APPENDIX 3 - TREE PROTECTION PLAN (DEMOLITION)

5.3 This revised Protection Plan (Demolition) supersedes the previous Protection Plan prepared by Pegasus Group (drawing D.0358_24A dated 10.10.16).

## Construction Phase

5.4 The Tree Protection Plan (Construction) has been updated with the proposed revised Planning Layout.

APPENDIX 4 - TREE PROTECTION PLAN (CONSTRUCTION)
5.5 This revised Protection Plan (Construction) supersedes the previous Protection Plan prepared by Pegasus Group (drawing D.0358_25 dated 20.09.16).
5.6 Proposals as set out in the revised Planning Layout do not significantly alter the requirements for tree protection during the construction phase as set out in the previous Arboricultural Report (dated 01.11.16).
5.7 Some minor adjustments have been made to the position of temporary tree protection fencing in relation to $\mathrm{T} 1154, \mathrm{~T} 1155, \mathrm{~T} 1161, \mathrm{G} 82$ and H 1159 , along with the removal
of a no dig footpath between T1155 and T1154 in response to amendments to the layout. However, overall it is noted that the revised Planning Layout does not introduce any requirement for additional protection measures beyond what is already prescribed.

## 6 Arboricultural Method Statement

6.1 The previous Arboricultural Report (Pegasus Group 01.11.16) set out a Method Statement in Section 11 for works on site in relation to retained trees. Revised proposals have been reviewed against the submitted Method Statement as set out below.

## Demolition Phase

6.2 The previous Arboricultural Report (dated 01.11.16) set out a Method Statement for the demolition phase in paragraphs 11.22-11.40. The prescribed methods in relation to the demolition phase and retained trees remain unchanged. In this context, demolition works should be carried out in accordance with Section 11 (specifically 11.22-11.40) of the previously submitted Arboricultural Report (dated 01.11.16) taking note of the revised Tree Retention/Removal Plan in Appendix 1 and revision to the Tree Protection Plan (Demolition) in Appendix 3.

## Construction Phase

6.3 The previous Arboricultural Report (dated 01.11.16) set out a Method Statement for the construction phase in paragraphs 11.41-11.66. The prescribed methods in relation to the construction phase and retained trees remain relevant. In this context, construction works should be carried out in accordance with Section 11 (specifically 11.41-11.66) of the previously submitted Arboricultural Report (dated 01.11.16) taking note of the revised Tree Protection Plan (Construction) in Appendix 4 and the following amendments/additions:

- T1160 - Crown lift to ensure at least 2.5 m ground clearance of proposed pay space. Pruning works to be carried out by a suitably qualified competent contractor in accordance with BS.3998:2010.
- Construction of play equipment adjacent to T1160 is to be carried out using hand tools only. No machinery/plant is to enter the RPA unless ground protection measures are installed first and agreed with the LPA.
- Installation of new fencing (as part of the area of play) within the RPA of T1160 is to be carried out by hand. Post holes are to be excavated using hand tool. Where roots below 25 mm are discovered these are to be pruned back using a sharp cutting tool. Where roots over 25 mm (or large fibrous mass) are discovered these are to be retained and an alternative post hole location excavated. All post holes are to be lined with an impermeable membrane should concrete footing be required.


## APPENDIX 1: Tree Retention/Removal Plan
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| Significance of effect definitions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Major | Removal/acute damage to structural integrity/vitality/appearance of a high quality arboricultural feature. Depending on circumstances, may result in the loss of all/greater majority of public visual amenity value. Mitigation planting unlikely to be effective except in the long term ( $40+$ years). |
| Moderate | In the case of damage: unlikely to give rise to tree death but likely to noticably reduce vitality and deterioration of appearance in the short and medium term, with corresponding reduction in public visual amenity value where relevant. Tree removals that can be effectively mitigated in the medium term (20-40 years). For example notable crown dieback, foliage discolouration, low leaf density, or tree management works unacceptable in terms of BS3998:2010. |
| Minor | Short-term damage with limited distribution that can be reasonably compensated for by new growth. Unlikely to result in observable symptoms of damage in relation to structural integrity/vitality/appearance. No obvious impact on public visual amenity. Tree removals that can be mitigated in the short-term (10-20 years) |
| Insignificant | Minimal damage in very small amounts. No obvious impact on public visual amenity. |
| None | No impact to above or below ground components of tree reasonably anticipated. |


| Arboricultural Impact Schedule |  |  |  | Site: Heyford Phase 9 |  |  |  | Ref:740 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Species | Quality | Arboricultural effects (direct and indirect) of proposed design - description | Unadjusted scale of effect |  | Recommended mitigation | Adjusted scale of effect following mitigation | Adjusted significance of effect (adj .scale effects $x$ quality) | Tree removal required |
| T61 | Maple | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Footpath to be constructed within RPA. | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology in accordance with an Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/12012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T62 | Whitebeam | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T63 | Sycamore | B1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Moderate | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T64 | Ash (Common) | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to $\mathrm{BS} .5837 / 12012$ to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T65 | Whitebeam | B1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Major | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Moderate | Remove |
| T66 | Whitebeam | B1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Major | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Moderate | Remove |
| T67 | Ash (Common) | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to $\mathrm{BS} .5837 / \mathrm{I2012}$ to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T68 | Ash (Common) | B1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Moderate | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to $\mathrm{BS} .5837 / \mathrm{I} 2012$ to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T69 | Chestnut (Horse) | B1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Moderate | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T70 | Ash (Common) | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T74 | Lawson cypress | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T75 | Maple | B1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Moderate | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to $\mathrm{BS} .5837 / 12012$ to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T76 | Sycamore | B1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Moderate | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T77 | Maple | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T78 | Maple | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T79 | Maple | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |


| Arboricultural Impact Schedule |  |  |  | Site: Heyford Phase 9 |  | Ref:740 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Species | Quality | Arboricultural effects (direct and indirect) of proposed design - description | Unadjusted scale of effect | Unadjusted significance of effect (scale effects $x$ quality | Recommended mitigation | Adjusted scale of effect following mitigation | Adjusted significance of effect (adj .scale effects $x$ quality) | Tree removal required |
| T80 | Sorbus, whitebeam | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T81 | Maple | C1 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| G82 | Whitebeam | C2 | Direct impacts from construction activities. Concrete footpath to be removed and replaced within the RPA | Medium | Minor | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| G83 | Whitebeam | B2 | Partial removal to facilitate access. Concrete footpath to be demolition and replaced within RPA. Potential direct contact from general construction activities. | High | Major | Footpath to be demolition and replaced in accordance with a detailed Arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837/I2012 to be installed during demolition and construction. Removal works to be carried out in accordance with BS.3998:2010. New tree planting to compensate for tree loss. | Medium | Moderate | Partial removal |
| G362 | Maple (Norway) | C2 | Partial removal to facilitate access. Potential direct contact from construction activities. | Medium | Minor | Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837:2012. Removal works to be carried out in accordance with BS.3998:2010. New tree planting to compensate for tree loss | Low | Insignificant | Partial removal |
| G363 | Maple (Norway) | C2 | Partial removal to facilitate access. Potential direct contact from construction activities. | Medium | Minor | Temporary tree protection fencing to BS.5837:2012. Removal works to be carried out in accordance with BS.3998:2010. New tree planting to compensate for tree loss | Low | Insignificant | Partial removal |
| G390 | Mixed maples | C2 | Located away from main development location. No significant impacts envisaged | None | None | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | None | None | Retain |
| G392 | Maple (Norway) | C2 | Located away from main development location. No significant impacts envisaged | None | None | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | None | None | Retain |
| T393 | Maple (Norway) | B1 | Located away from main development location. No significant impacts envisaged | None | None | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | None | None | Retain |
| G394 | Maple (Norway) | B1 | Potential direct impacts from footpath construction activities. | Low | Minor | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. | Slight | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1121 | Lawson cypress | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1122 | Leyland Cypress | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1123 | Birch, cherry, elder | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1124 | Lawson cypress | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1125 | Weeping willow | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1126 | Swedish whitebeam | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1127 | Norway maple "crimson king" | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1128 | Lawson cypress | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1129 | Norway maple, cypress | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1130 | Leyland Cypress | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1131 | Norway spruce | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1132 | Fir | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1133 | Cherry | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1134 | Swedish whitebeam | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1135 | Cherry | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1136 | Cherry | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1138 | Elder, ash | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1139 | Norway maple "crimson king" | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1140 | Apple | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1141 | Leyland Cypress | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1142 | Cherry | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1143 | Lawson cypress, goat willow, elder | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |


| Arboricultural Impact Schedule |  |  |  | Site: Heyford Phase 9 |  |  |  | Ref:740 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Species | Quality | Arboricultural effects (direct and indirect) of proposed design - description | Unadjusted scale of effect | Unadjusted <br> significance of <br> effect (scale <br> effects $x$ quality) | Recommended mitigation | Adjusted scale of effect following mitigation | Adjusted significance of effect (adj .scale effects $x$ quality) | Tree removal required |
| T1144 | Elm | B1 | Potential direct impacts from construction and demolition activities. | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T1145 | Elder | C1 | Potential direct impacts from construction and demolition activities. | Medium | Minor | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1146 | Norway maple | B1 | Potential direct impacts from footpath construction activities. | Low | Minor | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. | Slight | Insignificant | Retain |
| G1149 | Birch, hawthorn | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1150 | Weeping willow | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1151 | Weeping willow, Cherry | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1152 | Ash, sycamore, willow, hawthorn, birch, elder | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1153 | Sycamore | B1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Major | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Moderate | Remove |
| T1154 | Birch | C1 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Footpath to be constructed in outer RPA | Medium | Minor | Demolition and construction activities to be carried out in accordance with the arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1155 | Birch | C1 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Footpath to be constructed in outer RPA | Medium | Minor | Demolition and construction activities to be carried out in accordance with the arboricultural method statement. Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1156 | Birch | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1157 | Birch | C1 | Potential direct impacts from demolition and construction activities. | Medium | Minor | Demolition and construction activities to be carried out in accordance with the arboricultural method statement. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1158 | Birch | C1 | Potential direct impacts from demolition and construction activities. | Medium | Minor | Demolition and construction activities to be carried out in accordance with the arboricultural method statement. | Low | Insignificant | Retain |
| H1159 | Hawthorn | B2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Southern section to be removed to enable Bridleway access to Kirtlington Road. | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Section to be removed to be undertaken in accordance with BS.3998:2010 | Low | Minor | Partial removal |
| T1160 | Swedish whitebeam | B1 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Canopy to be lifted to at least 2m. Play equipment to be constructed within RPA along with fenced area. | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Pruning works to be carried out in accordance with BS.3998:2010. Construction works to be carried out by hand. Fence post holes to be excavated by hand tools and lined with impermeable membrane where concrete footings are required. All works within RPA to be monitored by project arboriculturist. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T1161 | Horse chestnut | C2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. | Medium | Minor | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology. | Slight | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1162 | Ash | B2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. | Slight | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1163 | Swedish white beam | B2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. | Slight | Insignificant | Retain |
| T1164 | Horse chestnut | B2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Footpath to be constructed in outer RPA | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T1165 | Ash | B2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Footpath to be constructed in outer RPA | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T1166 | Swedish white beam | B2 | Potential impacts from general construction activities. Footpath to be constructed in outer RPA | Medium | Moderate | Temporary tree protection fencing to deter potential encroachment. Footpath to be constructed using a no dig methodology. | Low | Minor | Retain |
| T1167 | Birch | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1168 | Birch | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1169 | Birch, sycamore | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1170 | Weeping willow | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| G1171 | Rowan, oak, cypress, privet, cherry, hawthorn | C2 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |
| T1172 | Lawson cypress | C1 | - Remove as part of proposals | High | Moderate | New tree planting as part of landscaping proposals | Medium | Minor | Remove |



## APPENDIX 3: Tree Protection Plan (Demolition)



## APPENDIX 4: Tree Protection Plan (Construction)




[^0]:    This document has been prepared in accordance with West Waddy ADP LLP's quality control procedures and should be treated as a draft unless it has been signed and approved. The document should not be used for any other purpose than that for which it has been prepared without the written authority of West Waddy ADP LLP. If the document is used for another purpose without consent, then no responsibility or liability for the consequences arising for such action will be accepted by West Waddy ADP LLP.

