Comment for planning application 23/00173/OUT

Application Number	23/00173/OUT	
Location	Land South Of Green Lane Chesterton	
Proposal	Outline planning application for up to 147 homes, public open space, flexible recreational playing field area and sports pitches with associated car parking, alongside landscaping, ecological enhancements, SuDs, green/blue and hard infrastructure, with vehicular and pedestrian/cycle accesses, and all associated works (all matters reserved except for means of access)	
Case Officer	Andrew Thompson	
Organisation		
Name	Camilla Soper	
Address	45 Alchester Road, Chesterton, Bicester, OX26 1UN	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	My husband and I strongly oppose this development. Here's why:	
	will decrease, according to where we live. The school is popular and almost 300 or more (or la school within walking dis This will increase car traf Wildlife is already diminis forthcoming Great Wolf's proposed development si further away from Cheste If this development goes Bicester suburb, rather th community feel. The Gen and playgrounds which are part of the village. This m one-too-many steps furth Chesterton. This proposal should be m	he mess that is the Great Wolf is up and running. Water pressure to Thames Water, and we feel is already below satisfactory levels d already extended. With 147 new dwellings comes the potential for ess of course) children, of which very few will be able to attend tance of their homes since they won't be able to go to Chesterton. fic volumesat specific times, since there is no bus route here. shed here, courtesy of two previous developments and with the arrival things can only get worse. Deer are often seen on the te and it will be very sad to know they are being forced even erton. ahead then it will cement Chesterton's transmogrification into a nan remaining a reasonably peaceful village with a strong minus Way development does at least home the Community Centre nchor it as a village focus-point. Audley Gardens are located just off a frequent walk-through for most villagers and therefore feels like a ewly proposed development is stuck on the edge of the village, her away from the centre and will not feel like an integrated part of rejected. It would be the fourth development (fifth if the sports as than ten years and thus unbalancing the village as it was once
Received Date	22/03/2023 22:05:17	
Attachments		