

# Comment for planning application 23/00173/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	23/00173/OUT
<b>Location</b>	Land South Of Green Lane Chesterton
<b>Proposal</b>	Outline planning application for up to 147 homes, public open space, flexible recreational playing field area and sports pitches with associated car parking, alongside landscaping, ecological enhancements, SuDs, green/blue and hard infrastructure, with vehicular and pedestrian/cycle accesses, and all associated works (all matters reserved except for means of access)
<b>Case Officer</b>	Andrew Thompson
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Address</b>	P Wardell
<b>Type of Comment</b>	6 Banks Furlong, Chesterton, Bicester, OX26 1UG
<b>Type</b>	Objection
<b>Comments</b>	neighbour
<b>Received Date</b>	I object to this application on the following grounds. Cherwell policy has I believe changed recently and village status is that it now lies in an area to be conserved much as it is, and being in a category that only permits limited development. Building permissions therefore should be limited to 'infill' building on lots of land within the present physical boundaries of the village and minor development only. This development is a large addition on the edge of the village, that physical edge itself the result of a recent large development. It will increase the size of the village by nearly a third. That is not a 'minor' development. The transport infrastructure will not support increase in traffic volumes on green lane. 147 homes means 300 extra vehicles producing a minimum of 700 movements a day, together with movement by delivery/visitors. Add these to the expected increase in traffic from other recently approved developments, the traffic levels will not be sustainable. The last census shows that in ten years the population of the village has increased by 243%. This is due to infill, and two large developments, so I say enough. There is no infrastructure to service the village. There is no bus service, no shop, drainage is at capacity, we can't maintain the roads we have in the village so more traffic will make it worse, it will encourage more and more development and the village will become a part of Bicester and lose its identity. You may consider objections as 'not in my back yardism' but when your view was open countryside, and is now modern recently built houses you soon lose the feeling you are in a village, so there is that factor. I suspect this development is based on squeezing as much profit as possible, and is not what the village needs, wants, or is happy with. Being pragmatic what the village would grudgingly allow would be a small development of 20-25 dwellings, conservation areas, and a new road to take the load off green lane, all paid for by the developer. Whatever, the process is a money wasting flawed exercise, whatever local decision against is made, an appeal will invariably allow it because the views of residents are given little regard when non elected agencies get to make the final decision.
<b>Attachments</b>	27/02/2023 17:27:35