

# Comment for planning application 22/03877/F

Application Number	22/03877/F
Location	Hatch End Old Poultry Farm Steeple Aston Road Middle Aston OX25 5QL
Proposal	Erection of three industrial buildings, replacement of former scout hut building and associated works
Case Officer	Wayne Campbell
Organisation	
Name	Eleanor Fry
Address	Millbrook House, Steeple Aston Road, Middle Aston, Bicester, OX25 5PS
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>I wish to comment on planning application 22/03877/F.</p> <p>I believe that the proposed plans will lead to an unacceptable increase of traffic on Fir Lane/Steeple Aston Road. As many respondents have pointed out, the stretch of road in front of the Hatch End entrance is narrow, unlit and subject to a national speed limit. There is no pavement meaning that all pedestrians are required to use the road.</p> <p>The 'three industrial buildings' that the applicants are seeking to construct will lead to additional movements from large vehicles such as lorries and vans. There is very little turning space on site and I have witnessed HGVs and low loaders blocking the site entrance, and the road as they try to manoeuvre onto site to make their deliveries.</p> <p>The applicant's Transport Statement (Section 4.2) states that a Manual Classified Turning Count survey undertaken in 2019 can be used for this current application as 'any changes in vehicle movements associated with the site between 2019 and now are negligible'. The results of the survey have been factored up to take account of the fact that the site was only partially occupied at this time. I would contest the assumption that the original survey is still relevant as the tenants on site in 2019 were extremely low use and generated very little traffic compared to the current tenants. I feel that as a very minimum an updated traffic survey should be required as part of the planning approval process.</p> <p>Finally, the Application for Planning Permission, signed and dated on 23/12/2022, states that the work on site has NOT already started. By this date, the former scout hut had already been demolished and replaced with a new larger building. This should therefore be covered under a Retrospective planning application, not as part of the current application.</p> <p>For these reasons, I oppose the application as it stands.</p>
Received Date	15/03/2023 14:39:40
Attachments	