## Comment for planning application 22/03049/OUT

Application Number	22/03049/OUT
Location	Land West Of Oxford Close And North Of Corner Farm Station Road Kirtlington
Proposal	Provision of eight single-storey and two-storey detached and semi-detached dwellings with access, parking and amenity space
Case Officer	Rebekah Morgan
Organisation Name	Simon Berryman
Address	19 Hatch Way,Kirtlington,Kidlington,OX5 3JS
Type of Comment	Objection
Туре	neighbour
Comments	I wish to register my objection to this proposal for the following reasons:
	1. Outside village boundary.
	The proposed development makes no effort to integrate with the existing village.
	It will damage the historic linear form of the village.
	2. Access to the A4095.
	The proposed access point onto the A4095 is on a blind bend. Together with the camber changes
	at this point, it makes visibility of cars approaching the village difficult.
	It is worth noting that the Traffic monitoring referred to in the supporting documentation is from 2019. Traffic levels in Kirtlington have increased considerably in the intervening years.
	3. Claims in supporting documents.
	Some of the claims regarding local amenities noted in the supporting documentation are somewhat misleading:
	Public transport - After the withdrawal of the 250 bus service, there will be no public transport available in the village. The Railway station at Tackley is 3.5 km away. To access it one would
	need to traverse paths and fields, some of which can flood in winter after heavy rain.
	Section 2.11 of the Transport Statement states 'The local PRoWs provide connections to the local rail station and the villages of Tackley, Enslow, Bletchingdon and Weston-on-the-Green'
	While this correct, these PRoWs cross farmland, which can become muddy and difficult to traverse during wetter times of the year.
	The village shop and Post Office closed in 2021.
	Pedestrian Access to the Village will either be along a footpath through the field to Hatch Way, or along the A4095.
	4. A development in this location will undoubtedly lead to more applications for development here.
	5. Previous applications for this site have been rejected; nothing has changed except for increased levels of traffic.
	All the reasons for rejecting this application have been covered in the previous applications for this site:

14/01531/OUT
14/02139/OUT

6. The application would appear to contravene Policy PD1 from the Mid Cherwell neighbourhood plan.

Received Date Attachments

06/11/2022 17:25:41