

Comment for planning application 22/02866/OUT

Application Number	22/02866/OUT
Location	Land East Of Ploughley Road Ambrosden
Proposal	OUTLINE planning application for up to 120 dwellings, vehicular and pedestrian access off Ploughley Road, new pedestrian access to West Hawthorn Road, surface water drainage, foul water drainage, landscaping, public open space, biodiversity and associated infrastructure. Access off Ploughley Road is not reserved for future consideration
Case Officer	
Organisation	
Name	Linda Pigott
Address	39 West Hawthorn Road,Ambrosden,Bicester,OX25 2SA
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>Re: Planning application for 120 dwellings east of Ploughley Rd, Ambrosden. Having extensively studied the proposal to this application, I wish to lodge an objection to this proposal for the following reasons. There are already a number of new houses being or been built in Ambrosden already, ie. Briar Furlong, Springfield Farm, Church Leys & the one currently being built on the edge of the village on Merton Rd. It is my understanding that there will soon be more MOD houses being made available for public sale & that there will more houses & factories built on land between Launton village & A41. There is also the massive building of houses at Graven Hill where I understand there is plenty of room for many more - maybe reaching the edge of Ambrosden? All this building of homes is impacting on Five Acres school, a VILLAGE primary school & Children's Centre, the local roads & infrastructure. Our water pressure is already significantly less than when we moved here 19 yrs ago. There is a limited bus service from the village which will result in considerably more cars in the village, impacting on already congested roads. This will also impact on the wildlife, including Great Crested Newts, which we have on occasions found in our garden, along with badgers, hedgehogs, deer, dormice & a multitude of birds, butterflies & other insects. Why is part of the report on badgers redacted? Open it up & let the public read the whole report. There is the noise aspect to consider, both during construction & after. I moved to a village for peace & quiet. If I wanted noise & bustle, I would have moved into a town. The proposed play area could bring unacceptable noise to the area, plus there are plenty of play areas around the village already.</p> <p>Proposed footpath into West Hawthorn Road:-. I have to question the need for this & object to it most strongly. The residents of West & (some of) East Hawthorn, Ash Lane, Oak Lane, and (some of) Ploughley Rd own the roads & infrastructure within their area & pay an annual sum for the upkeep of said infrastructure, including the bridle/foot path at the back of the houses in West Hawthorn rd & including the cost of the annual surveys & upkeep of the trees along the bridle/footpath. Plus the houses either side of the proposed area - ie no's 33&31 purchased part of the land for the proposed footpath. Therefore a discussion with all of these people is needed. There will be extra noise from the use of this footpath. We are already disturbed by walkers & dog walkers not realizing how their voices carry when they are talking, but the path was already there when we moved in. We do not need MORE people walking past or worse still using the footpath as a cut through on bicycles, scooters & motorbikes, which WILL happen.</p> <p>Light Pollution:- We are already disturbed by the extra lighting used by the factories that have been or are being built on the A41. Extra houses means extra lighting, disturbing wildlife & residents.</p> <p>Finally why has there been no information or consultancy with the villagers & local people who will be impacted. We need a full & HONEST consultation with detailed (and easy to see & understand) plans & pictures displayed for EVERYONE to inspect & discuss. Where is the provision for the elderly, disabled & teenagers?</p>
Received Date	09/10/2022 15:07:20
Attachments	