## Comment for planning application 22/02866/OUT

| Application Number | 22/02866/OUT  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Location           | Land East Of Ploughley Road Ambrosden   |  |
| Proposal           | OUTLINE planning application for up to 120 dwellings, vehicular and pedestrian access off<br>Ploughley Road, new pedestrian access to West Hawthorn Road, surface water drainage, foul<br>water drainage, landscaping, public open space, biodiversity and associated infrastructure.<br>Access off Ploughley Road is not reserved for future consideration   |  |
| Case Officer       |   |  |
| Organisation       |   |  |
| Name               | Kathryn Chen  |  |
| Address            | 1 Jaspers Row,Ambrosden,Bicester,OX25 2AT   |  |
| Type of Comment    | Objection   |  |
| Туре               | neighbour   |  |
| Comments           | When added to the two other planning applications which have been submitted on nearby<br>land (22/01976/OUT & 22/02455/OUT), this application brings the total to 250 new<br>properties which developers want to build on farmland. Developers also have their eyes on<br>other fields in and around the village. How many properties will these take the total to: 350?<br>500?<br>Something needs to be done to prevent Ambrosden from being overwhelmed just to satisfy<br>the mutual greed of landowners and developers, both of which want to make a fast buck at<br>the expense of villagers who simply want to peacefully enjoy their homes and not to face<br>overdevelopment compounded by a lack of infrastructure which, in many cases, could never<br>be provided in sufficiency to mitigate the adverse impact these proposals represent.<br>This planning application in isolation does not meet the "minor development" criteria in the<br>local plan, which is considered the maximum acceptable development for a village the size of<br>Ambrosden. Add in the other applications which have been submitted, AND those which it is<br>strongly suspected will follow at some point, and it should be crystal clear (to all but the<br>landowners and developers concerned) that they are inappropriate both in isolation and in<br>combination and should therefore be rejected as entirely out of keeping with local planning<br>policy and common sense. |  |
| Received Date      | 23/09/2022 21:00:30   |  |
| Attachments        |   |  |