

Comment for planning application 22/01998/DISC

Application Number	<input type="text" value="22/01998/DISC"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land North East Of Oxford Road West Of Oxford Canal And East Of Bankside Banbury"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Discharge of condition 8 (revised landscaping scheme) of 14/01833/REM"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Kevin Hawkins"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="24 Longdon Crescent, Banbury, OX16 9FP"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<p>We note that the application suggests there are no associated neighbours. This is clearly incorrect and all residents of Longdon Crescent and other streets immediately adjacent to the park are associated neighbours. Neighbour notification is required for all applications for planning permission, planning permission in principle, and approval of matters specified in conditions.</p> <p>It is important to reiterate that we feel strongly that the changes to levels and the revised path layout were undertaken without any consultation or discussion with residents affected and the 'as-installed' solution for which Taylor Wimpey are seeking retrospective approval is not the optimum solution and is being delivered as a 'fait accompli'. Aesthetically the changes to levels have destroyed what was a significantly more aesthetically pleasing outlook for residents of Longdon Crescent and Hodges Close particularly. Notwithstanding potential challenges with pathway gradients, which appear not to be an issue in other areas of the park, the overriding objection is that the design should revert as closely as possible to the original plans submitted and which were shown to residents as part of the sales process.</p> <p>See attached PDF for images</p> <p>On the assumption that Taylor Wimpey and CDC have agreed in advance of this application that the amended levels and pathway are broadly acceptable and will not be required to revert to the original, we make the following comments:</p> <p>Whilst there are some potential benefits of the path being relocated further away from Longdon Crescent the revised route of the path also makes access to the community park by residents of the crescent considerably more difficult. In direct dialogue with Taylor Wimpey, we had requested the addition of a short Hoggin Path, in the approximate location shown on the image below, to reconnect the crescent to the revised path route but this has been rejected by Taylor Wimpey.</p> <p>See attached PDF for images</p> <p>In addition, due to roadway kerb heights in the crescent being no more than 30-40mm providing no protection against vehicles for access or parking from the crescent, we requested the installation of a knee rail timber fence similar to that installed in numerous other areas of the park. This request has also been rejected by Taylor Wimpey.</p> <p>Requests for additional trees and appropriately located vehicle bollards on the cycle path have been acknowledged but not incorporated into the plans submitted for approval.</p> <p>For these reasons we believe the plans should be rejected until such time as previous comments have been fully incorporated and the plans re-submitted, which should then be appropriately notified to the associated neighbours for comment.</p>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="22/07/2022 10:37:57"/>
Attachments	<p>The following files have been uploaded:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Comments.pdf