

Comment for planning application 22/01715/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="22/01715/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land South Of Perdiswell Farm Shipton Road Shipton On Cherwell"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of up to 500 dwellings with associated access, open space and infrastructure"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Samantha Taylor"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Alana Fogarty"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="25 Glyme Close, Woodstock ,OX20 1LB"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="For a town that is already over subscribed, I find this additional planning application from Blenheim appalling. The town's infrastructure can barely withstand the current pressure from the local community. GP appointments, parking and schools are bursting at the seams. The additional footfall from visitors to Blenheim already puts undue strain on the road system, the Oxford Road is often backed up with slow moving, if not stationary traffic. Not to mention the cost of homes - despite arguing the town needs more affordable housing, Blenheim's homes consistently favour the affluent. These properties cost far above the UK average, and demand a significant salary to secure a mortgage. My partner and I bought our property in Woodstock as first time buyers three years ago, and there is no way we could afford to cover the costs of one of Blenheim's Park View properties. Doubling the capacity in Woodstock will change the town forever. We moved here due to the surrounding nature, and boutique offering in the town. Having an additional 500 homes + the additional applications will have a hugely negative impact on Woodstock's famous heritage. The town will no longer be a rural beauty spot, but a monopoly owned by Blenheim and Pyre Homes. We want our town back."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="28/07/2022 12:11:26"/>
Attachments	