

Comment for planning application 22/01715/OUT

Application Number

Location

Proposal

Case Officer

Organisation

Name

Address

Type of Comment

Type

Comments

I object to the above application in particular because I am concerned about the impact on the town of Woodstock in terms of infrastructure.

The nursery, the schools, the doctors surgery, parking, the number of shops, sports and exercise facilities, traffic congestion etc., virtually all the elements that help a town thrive will be even more oversubscribed. These areas are all well covered by other resident comments.

I would add that sports facilities are very limited, there is no gym, the tennis club has no floodlights, nearly 400 members, yet the Lawn Tennis Association recommend full capacity is around 160 members, there are hardly any 'kick about' areas for teenagers, with goal posts etc., the swimming pool is part year only etc. I accept there are lovely walks in Blenheim, but the gate locks at 6.30pm so this is restricted to day-time, and in terms of children and grandchildren there is so much bird droppings that it isn't safe for them to play games that involve touching the grass areas.

I don't know if the local (Woodstock) demand for low cost and social housing is known; it would be helpful to have these figures, and some assurance that Woodstock 'locals' will get preference over the WODC and Cherwell waiting lists for housing, for both this proposal (if approved) and the other developments already being built.

I think an independent, simple to understand, document showing current population, projected population, with current and projected demands for all the services that a town requires, including parking and doctors surgery, and details of how the developer will ensure all these demands will be met, should be published before any more large scale development is approved. All existing and proposed 'green spaces' should be legally protected to prevent future development.

Received Date

Attachments