

Comment for planning application 22/01715/OUT

Application Number	22/01715/OUT
Location	Land South Of Perdiswell Farm Shipton Road Shipton On Cherwell
Proposal	Erection of up to 500 dwellings with associated access, open space and infrastructure
Case Officer	Samantha Taylor
Organisation Name	Christine Lea
Address	58 Manor Road, Woodstock, OX20 1XJ
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>Previous refusal</p> <p>Blenheim's plans to develop this site have already been turned down by the inspector and later turned down on appeal. One of the reasons being that the development is too far from the town centre to integrate.</p> <p>It was also ruled that that houses on this site adjacent to the green belt would constitute an incursion into the countryside. This would be unacceptably urbanising.</p> <p>I cannot believe that Blenheim now has the audacity to bring forward the plans again.</p> <p>Overdevelopment</p> <p>Woodstock until recently had 1500 properties. Blenheim plans to increase this by 720 homes (Park View, Hill Rise and Banbury Road developments). This is already far too many homes to impose on a small community. Now they propose a further 500. This is totally unsustainable for a small town.</p> <p>The homes are not needed to fulfil Cherwell's housing obligations.</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>With major building programmes underway including in Chipping Norton, Long Hanborough, and Bladon plus the upcoming Eynsham garden city and several thousand homes approved between Woodstock and the A34, traffic will be at a standstill. It would be totally wrong to permit Park View East to add to the problems. And while a Park and Ride is laudable it won't take anything like enough traffic off the A44 and connecting roads. The traffic build-up at the Bladon roundabout would be unsustainable.</p> <p>It should be remembered that Blenheim has more and more events such as car shows, flower show, Nocturne etc etc which regularly bring local traffic to a standstill and have a major impact on residents' day to day lives. We do not need yet more traffic from the proposed development.</p> <p>Pollution</p> <p>Blenheim constantly quotes its eco credentials and yet it is already adding to pollution in Woodstock with its current building programme. 500 homes would hugely add to the air and light pollution in the area. Building 500 new homes would mean adding 40,000 tonnes of CO2.</p> <p>Infrastructure</p> <p>The doctors' surgery is already much too small for the number of patients from Woodstock itself and the surrounding villages. Park View, Hill Rise and Banbury Road will add at least 2000 additional patients. How can the surgery possibly cope with a further 1500 from Park View East?</p> <p>New Woodstock town centre parking regulations are coming in but that won't add to the number of spaces available. Residents from Park View East would inevitably drive into the town for shops and services. It is no good pretending they will cycle (with children and shopping!) in and out or that they will take the bus.</p> <p>Water and waste, police and postal services would be amongst those seriously impacted.</p> <p>Loss of arable land</p> <p>It had never been more important to retain farmland. The Russia/Ukraine situation has demonstrated how we must be much more self-sufficient. Yet Blenheim plans to concrete over good productive farmland.</p>
Received Date	04/07/2022 14:04:22
Attachments	