OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

District: Cherwell Application no: 22/01643/F Proposal: Variation of Conditions 10 (access), 11 (revised energy strategy) & 12 (training and employment plan) of 18/01253/F Location: Bicester Heritage, Buckingham Road, Bicester, OX27 8AL

Response Date: 12th July 2022

This report sets out the officer views of Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) on the above proposal. These are set out by individual service area/technical discipline and include details of any planning conditions or Informatives that should be attached in the event that permission is granted and any obligations to be secured by way of a S106 agreement. Where considered appropriate, an overarching strategic commentary is also included. If the local County Council member has provided comments on the application these are provided as a separate attachment.

Transport Schedule

Recommendation:

Variation of conditions 11 & 12 - No comment Variation of condition 10 - Objection

Comments:

The general arrangement for the site access junction was approved as part of planning application ref 18/01253/F and the relevant highway works drawing has been included and referenced in the signed S106 agreement from that application. It is accepted that the in principal arrangement of the form of the access junction and associated facilities are unlikely to change significantly through the detailed design process.

However, the condition seeks to ensure that design details such as highway drainage, areas of land required to be dedicated as public highway, detailed construction layout, location of signage etc. are identified and resolved before commencement of the development. This is in order to ensure that any potential issues that are unknown at this stage are appropriately resolved before significant commencement of construction has been undertaken on site. This requirement is replicated in the S106 agreement.

The application provides no justification for why this condition should be amended and therefore I see no reason why the condition should be varied from its current wording, nor why it should differ from the requirement in the S106 agreement.

Officer's Name: Tim Peart Officer's Title: Senior Transport Planner Date: 12 July 2022

Lead Local Flood Authority

Recommendation:

No comments required.

Detailed comments:

No LLFA comments required in regard to the variation of conditions 10,11 and 12 of 18/01253/F.

Officer's Name: Kabier Salam Officer's Title: LLFA Engineer Date: 04/07/2022