

Consultee Comment for planning application 22/01643/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="22/01643/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Bicester Heritage Buckingham Road Bicester OX27 8AL"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Variation of Conditions 10 (access), 11 (revised energy strategy) & 12 (training and employment plan) of 18/01253/F"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Rebekah Morgan"/>
Organisation	<input type="text" value="Bicester Bike Users Group"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Paul Troop"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Garden Court Chambers 57-60 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London, WC2A 3LJ"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Object"/>
Type	<input type="text"/>
Comments	<p>Bicester Bike Users' Group objects to this application, specifically the attempt to vary condition 10.</p> <p>The applicant is seeking to alter condition 10, which specifically states that a detailed access plan must be submitted and approved prior to the commencement of construction and that this information is fundamental to the acceptability of the scheme. Contrary to the applicant's assertion, starting construction (even below ground level) without a detailed plan will begin to determine and narrow down the potential access arrangements, reducing the ability of the relevant authorities to exercise their responsibility to evaluate and approve (or require modification to) the plan. The Bicester Motion site is the subject of several planning applications relating to different parts of its perimeter and it is essential that local planners have maximum scope to influence each element with regard to the whole. An approach of, 'let's get started and sort out the detail later', is rarely helpful unless there are unknowns which cannot be determined until work has begun. However the application makes no attempt to explain why getting started without a detailed plan is necessary in this case. The applicant cites no recent change in planning policy to support or justify their proposal. BBUG sees no good reason why the planning authorities should waive their usual scrutiny of this major development. To reiterate, the provision of a detailed plan and its approval are explicitly stated to be fundamental to the acceptability of the plan. Nothing has changed.</p>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="11/07/2022 12:03:20"/>
Attachments	