Comment for planning application 22/01340/OUT

Application Number 22/01340/OUT

Location

Os Parcel 6124 East Of Baynards Green Farm Street To Horwell Farm Baynards Green

Proposal

Application for outline planning permission (all matters reserved except means of access (not internal roads) from b4100) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (use class b8) and ancillary offices (use class e(g)(i)) floorspace; energy centre, hgv parking, construction of new site access from the b4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping; the construction of parking and servicing areas; substations and other associated infrastructure.

Case Officer

David Lowin

Organisation

Name

Address

Type of Comment

Comments

Type

Mr Humphries

The Rickyard, Glebe Farm, Street Heading North West To Stoke Lyne From A4421, Fringford, Bicester, OX27 8RJ

Objection

neighbour

I would like to add my objection to the proposed application and can not understand how the planing system can be used by major land developers to initiate and implement major industrial developments and avoid the glare of a public enquiry by using he current floored planning system.

The proposal which has to be taken in context of the other current planning applications adjoining this site to the east and west of the A43 under planning ref 21/03267 and 21/03268, represents a total area of agricultural land of some 150 hectares. This is without the nearby Oxford Rail Freight Interchange to the south east of Ardley.

Under Policy SLE4 of the Cherwell Local Plan Part 1; this proposed development would put undue pressure on the B4100 creating not one but two bottlenecks on this busy artery servicing Bicester. If this development is to be considered with that of Planning ref 21/03267 and the proposed highway improvement works of the OXSRFI, this development ref 22/01340 together with planning ref 21/03267 and 21/03268 should be deferred until the proposed works associated with the OXSRFI have been finalised. I can not believe that Cherwell, Highways England, and Network Rail would allow incremental development that could jeopardise a part of the Governments policy on strategic National Networks.

For the very same reason the NPPF is similarly compromised if a safe and suitable access is not achievable for all parties involved.

Despite the developers and their professional consultants reports, I believe that the proposed development falls short of Policy ESD15 of the Cherwell Local Plan, Part 1.

Cherwell saved Policy ENV1; this proposal as well as the adjacent development proposals can only be detrimental to the local residents and wild life. How can we in this day and age justify additional pollution to the environment by constructing hard surfaced developments of this scale on agricultural land. If you can satisfy that there would be no more pollution cased by the development when measured with what is currently there now, then and only then would this development achieve the aims of ENV1.

The proposed development will be visible both day and night and does not add any value to to the natural landscape which again is contrary to Cherwell saved Policy C8; which is to resist sporadic new development in the open countryside which is consistent with the NPPF which seeks to ensure that planning decisions recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the open countryside.

Received Date

10/06/2022 23:33:02

Attachments