

# Comment for planning application 22/01340/OUT

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Application Number | 22/01340/OUT  |
| Location           | Os Parcel 6124 East Of Baynards Green Farm Street To Horwell Farm Baynards Green  |
| Proposal           | Application for outline planning permission (all matters reserved except means of access (not internal roads) from b4100) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (use class b8) and ancillary offices (use class e(g)(i)) floorspace; energy centre, hgv parking, construction of new site access from the b4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping; the construction of parking and servicing areas; substations and other associated infrastructure.  |
| Case Officer       | David Lowin   |
| Organisation       |   |
| Name               | Chris Merson  |
| Address            | 67 Broad Way,Heyford Park,Bicester,OX25 5AD   |
| Type of Comment    | Objection   |
| Type               | neighbour   |
| Comments           | <p>The area in which this conglomeration of high-rise industrial units is to be built is part of an area of outstanding natural beauty and will severely affect the local inhabitants and the ever decreasing wildlife.</p> <p>Whilst attending one of the consultation days which took place in Upper Heyford, it was clearly evident that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The buildings will be erected on agricultural land which is needed ever moreso for the production of food for our ever burgeoning population and uncontrollable immigration. To consider importing most of our produce is definitely not the direction to be heading, as is clearly illustrated by the current conflict. I did mention this and was informed the land is not of a particularly high quality. This seems to be erroneous as much of the land is currently being used to support the growth of cereal crops and additionally, livestock has been grazing on certain of the fields.</li><li>2. Keeping a building of historical interest is nothing more than a pathetic token gesture, as it will not look anything like the unit that is currently in situ.</li><li>3. There will be continuous noise pollution which cannot be masked, as it is possible to continuously hear trains during the day and freight trains at night. Therefore, by using the line it will cause this to increase dramatically.</li><li>4. Even with improvements, junction 10 of the M40 will become far more congested than is currently envisaged and as for junction 9, that is already severely congested with traffic using the A34 heading to and from the South of England.</li><li>5. It is obvious the current Government wishes to move freight movements from the road to trains, however, what happens in the event of a train strike as is currently going to occur? Three days of strikes and a week of no services. Using trains is giving the unions even more power to hold the country to ransom.</li></ol> <p>In conclusion, I believe this type of development is better suited to a brownfield site nearer to an industrial area, not the middle of the ever reducing countryside.</p> |
| Received Date      | 09/06/2022 14:19:17   |
| Attachments        |   |