Comment for planning application 22/01340/OUT

Application Number 22/01340/OUT

Location

Os Parcel 6124 East Of Baynards Green Farm Street To Horwell Farm Baynards Green

Proposal

Application for outline planning permission (all matters reserved except means of access (not internal roads) from b4100) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (use class b8) and ancillary offices (use class e(g)(i)) floorspace; energy centre, hgv parking, construction of new site access from the b4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping; the construction of parking and servicing areas; substations and other associated infrastructure.

Case Officer

David Lowin

Organisation

Name

Address

Type of Comment

Type

Comments

Peter John Collins

4 The Close, Stoke Lyne, Bicester, OX27 8RZ

Objection neighbour

development goes completely against what the Government agreed to at the COP 26 conference: To Reduce the loss of biodiversity. We are experiencing a catastrophic loss of biodiversity, both in the UK and globally. We will be losing important ecosystem services. No amount of compensation or mitigation will make up for the loss of habitat. 2. Loss of agricultural land. We are losing thousands of hectares of land to unnecessary developments. We are moving away from sustainability. We will be increasing our Carbon footprint, importing food from all over the world, which will only increase as we destroy the ability to grow our own food. Again going against Government, COP 26 agreements. 3. Increased traffic flows will undoubtably increasing local pollution and overwhelm the existing road systems. Along with compromising the respiratory system, along with other pollutants the tiniest particles (PM2.5) can also work their way into the bloodstream. This can elevate one's risk for heart attacks and lead to a higher mortality risk among people with pre-existing heart or lung problems. This will impact on health and wellbeing, putting ever increasing pressure on our already under resourced Health Service. 4. There are better alternatives for this kind of development. There are areas where there would be less impact on local people. If motorway junctions are the key, there are junctions which are much more suitable with much smaller population densities, in the local arae. There are hundreds of hectares of, brown field sites which would also be more suitable. 5. There would be an increased flood

risk. The only flood plain in the area was decimated during the early seventies. It impacted Buckingham and flooded not long after and flood defences had to be constructed to protect the town. This development would undoubtably increase run off and consequently increase flood risk to the local area. The destruction of the flood plain also destroyed water voles and their habitat, otter habitat and a range of other freshwater aquatic species and their habitat. This development would put at risk some of the species and habitat that have returned over the years. 6. There would be an increase in demand for utilities. Water, electricity and waste water. The local infrastructure to deal with sewage effluent is totally inadequate to take the extra amount of effluent which would be created by this development. We are already seeing an increase in pollution in our water courses. 7. There is also an increased risk of spillages, such as oil and chemicals which would also impact on the local water courses which have already been effected by local pollution and degrading the water quality even further.

I would object to this development on the following grounds: 1. Loss of biodiversity. This

Received Date

22/05/2022 13:50:26

Attachments