



Title

	SURVEY AREA
	SECTOR BOUNDARY

15.0 nT/cm

0 50m

1:1500 @ A3













Fig.15

Interpretation			
	FERROUS		GEOLOGY
	MAGNETIC DISTURBANCE		ARCHAEOLOGY?
	RIDGE & FURROW		ARCHAEOLOGY
	AGRICULTURAL		





© ASWYAS 2021.
Archaeological Services W Y A S,
Nepshaw Lane South, Morley, LS27 7JQ
Tel: 0113 535 0163 Email: archaeology@wyjs.org.uk www.aswyas.com

Project ID: XD98_SYM21

Survey location showing processed greyscale magnetometer data from previous and recent surveys

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
© Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council licence 100019574, 2021.

Fig.16

Title



SURVEY AREA



1:7500 @ A3



Plate 1. General view of Field 1, looking east



Plate 2. General view of Field 2, looking west



Plate 3. General view of Field 3, looking west



Plate 4. General view of Field 4, looking east

Appendix 1: Magnetic survey - technical information

Magnetic Susceptibility and Soil Magnetism

Iron makes up about 6% of the Earth's crust and is mostly present in soils and rocks as minerals such as maghaemite and haemetite. These minerals have a weak, measurable magnetic property termed magnetic susceptibility. Human activities can redistribute these minerals and change (enhance) others into more magnetic forms. Areas of human occupation or settlement can then be identified by measuring the magnetic susceptibility of the topsoil because of the attendant increase (enhancement) in magnetic susceptibility. If the enhanced material subsequently comes to fill features, such as ditches or pits, localised isolated and linear magnetic anomalies can result whose presence can be detected by a magnetometer (fluxgate gradiometer).

In general, it is the contrast between the magnetic susceptibility of deposits filling cut features, such as ditches or pits, and the magnetic susceptibility of topsoils, subsoils and rocks into which these features have been cut, which causes the most recognisable responses. This is primarily because there is a tendency for magnetic ferrous compounds to become concentrated in the topsoil, thereby making it more magnetic than the subsoil or the bedrock. Linear features cut into the subsoil or geology, such as ditches, that have been silted up or have been backfilled with topsoil will therefore usually produce a positive magnetic response relative to the background soil levels. Discrete feature, such as pits, can also be detected. The magnetic susceptibility of a soil can also be enhanced by the application of heat and the fermentation and bacterial effects associated with rubbish decomposition. The area of enhancement is usually quite large, mainly due to the tendency of discard areas to extend beyond the limit of the occupation site itself, and spreading by the plough.

Types of Magnetic Anomaly

In the majority of instances anomalies are termed 'positive'. This means that they have a positive magnetic value relative to the magnetic background on any given site. However some features can manifest themselves as 'negative' anomalies that, conversely, means that the response is negative relative to the mean magnetic background.

Where it is not possible to give a probable cause of an observed anomaly a '?' is appended.

It should be noted that anomalies interpreted as modern in origin might be caused by features that are present in the topsoil or upper layers of the subsoil. Removal of soil to an archaeological or natural layer can therefore remove the feature causing the anomaly.

The types of response mentioned above can be divided into five main categories that are used in the graphical interpretation of the magnetic data:

Isolated dipolar anomalies (iron spikes)

These responses are typically caused by ferrous material either on the surface or in the topsoil. They cause a rapid variation in the magnetic response giving a characteristic ‘spiky’ trace. Although ferrous archaeological artefacts could produce this type of response, unless there is supporting evidence for an archaeological interpretation, little emphasis is normally given to such anomalies, as modern ferrous objects are common on rural sites, often being present as a consequence of manuring.

Areas of magnetic disturbance

These responses can have several causes often being associated with burnt material, such as slag waste or brick rubble or other strongly magnetised/fired material. Ferrous structures such as pylons, mesh or barbed wire fencing and buried pipes can also cause the same disturbed response. A modern origin is usually assumed unless there is other supporting information.

Linear trend

This is usually a weak or broad linear anomaly of unknown cause or date. These anomalies are often caused by agricultural activity, either ploughing or land drains being a common cause.

Areas of magnetic enhancement/positive isolated anomalies

Areas of enhanced response are characterised by a general increase in the magnetic background over a localised area whilst discrete anomalies are manifest by an increased response on two or three successive traverses. In neither instance is there the intense dipolar response characteristic exhibited by an area of magnetic disturbance or of an ‘iron spike’ anomaly (see above). These anomalies can be caused by infilled discrete archaeological features such as pits or post-holes or by kilns. They can also be caused by pedological variations or by natural infilled features on certain geologies. Ferrous material in the subsoil can also give a similar response. It can often therefore be very difficult to establish an anthropogenic origin without intrusive investigation or other supporting information.

Linear and curvilinear anomalies

Such anomalies have a variety of origins. They may be caused by agricultural practice (recent ploughing trends, earlier ridge and furrow regimes or land drains), natural geomorphological features such as palaeochannels or by infilled archaeological ditches.

Methodology: Gradiometer Survey

The main method of using the fluxgate gradiometer for commercial evaluations is referred to as *detailed survey* and requires the surveyor to walk at an even pace carrying the instrument within a grid system. A sample trigger automatically takes readings at predetermined points, typically at 0.25m intervals, on traverses 1m apart. These readings are stored in the memory of the instrument and are later dumped to computer for processing and interpretation.

During this survey a Bartington Grad601 magnetic gradiometer was used taking readings on the 0.1nT range, at 0.25m intervals on zig-zag traverses 0.5m apart within 30m by 30m square grids. The instrument was checked for electronic and mechanical drift at a common point and calibrated as necessary. The drift from zero was not logged.

The gradiometer data have been presented in this report in processed greyscale format. The data in the greyscale images have been interpolated and selectively filtered to remove the effects of drift in instrument calibration and other artificial data constructs and to maximise the clarity and interpretability of the archaeological anomalies.

Appendix 2: Survey location information

An initial survey station was established using a Trimble VRS differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R6 model). The data was geo-referenced using the geo-referenced survey station with a Trimble RTK differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R6 model). The accuracy of this equipment is better than 0.01m. The survey grids were then super-imposed onto a base map provided by the client to produce the displayed block locations. However, it should be noted that Ordnance Survey positional accuracy for digital map data has an error of 0.5m for urban and floodplain areas, 1.0m for rural areas and 2.5m for mountain and moorland areas. This potential error must be considered if co-ordinates are measured off hard copies of the mapping rather than using the digital co-ordinates.

Archaeological Services WYAS cannot accept responsibility for errors of fact or opinion resulting from data supplied by a third party.

Appendix 3: Geophysical archive

The geophysical archive comprises:-

- an archive disk containing compressed (WinZip 8) files of the raw data, report text (Microsoft Word 2003), and graphics files (Adobe Illustrator CS6 and AutoCAD 2017 files; and
- a full copy of the report.

At present the archive is held by Archaeological Services WYAS although it is anticipated that it may eventually be lodged with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS). Brief details may also be forwarded for inclusion on the English Heritage Geophysical Survey Database after the contents of the report are deemed to be in the public domain (i.e. available for consultation in the Oxfordshire Historic Environment Record).

Appendix 4: Oasis form

Summary for archaeol11-503400

OASIS ID (UID)	archaeol11-503400
Project Name	Geophysical Survey at Symmetry Park, Ardley
Activity type	Geophysical Survey, MAGNETOMETRY SURVEY
Project Identifier(s)	
Planning Id	
Reason For Investigation	Planning: Pre application
Organisation Responsible for work	Archaeological Services WYAS
Project Dates	23-Nov-2021 - 09-Dec-2021
Location	Symmetry Park, Ardley NGR : SP 55550 28720 LL : 51.9542030875796, -1.1930802172147 12 Fig : 455550,228720
Administrative Areas	Country : England County : Oxfordshire District : Cherwell Parish : Stoke Lyne
Project Methodology	The site grid was laid out using a Trimble VRS differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R6 model). The survey was undertaken using Bartington Grad601 magnetic gradiometers. These were employed taking readings at 0.25m intervals on zig-zag traverses 1.0m apart within 30m by 30m grids, so that 3600 readings were recorded in each grid. These readings were stored in the memory of the instrument and later downloaded to computer for processing and interpretation. Bespoke in-house software was used to process and present the data.
Project Results	A geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken on approximately 30 hectares of land located to the north east of Ardley, Oxfordshire. Anomalies of both a definite and a possible archaeological origin have been detected including a complex of settlement features which may include a banjo enclosure along with trackways, pits and field systems. Medieval ridge and furrow cultivation have also been detected along with modern ploughing. Geological responses can be seen within the south of the Site in which some relate to a former quarry. Based on the interpretation of the geophysical survey the archaeological potential of this Site is deemed to be high.
Keywords	Rectangular Enclosure - LATER PREHISTORIC - FISH Thesaurus of Monument Types Trackway - UNCERTAIN - FISH Thesaurus of Monument Types Field System - UNCERTAIN - FISH Thesaurus of Monument Types Banjo Enclosure - LATER PREHISTORIC - FISH Thesaurus of Monument Types
HER	Oxfordshire HER - unRev - STANDARD
HER Identifiers	
Archives	

Bibliography

- BGS, 2021. www.bgs.ac.uk/discoveringGeology/geologyOfBritain/viewer.html. British Geological Survey (viewed December 2021)
- CIfA, 2020. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists
- Oakley, E., 2015. *Symmetry park, Ardley*. Archaeological and Heritage Assessment. EDP. Report No. H_EDP2355_04a
- NLS, 2021. <https://maps.nls.uk/index.html>. National Library of Scotland (viewed December 2021)
- Schmidt, A. Linford, P., Linford, N., David, A., Gaffney, C., Sarris, A, and Fassbinder, J. 2016. *EAC Guidelines for the Use of Geophysics in Archaeology*. English Heritage
- SSEW, 1983. *Soils of Midlands and Western England, Sheet 3*. Soil Survey of England and Wales
- Webb, A., 2015. *Junction 10, M40, Ardley, Oxfordshire*. Geophysical Survey. ASWYAS Report No. 2736. Unpublished Grey Literature