

Results

Presentation of Results			
Item No.	Item Checked	RAG 1	
10.0	Are the final results presented in a table of peak flows and plots of hydrographs?	OK	
10.1	Do the results include any other important information for the next stage of the study?	ОК	
10.2	Has all information been presented in figures and tables appropriately?		

Checks on Approach and Results			
Item No.	Item Checked	RAG 1	
11.0	Have the design flows been checked for spatial consistency, e.g. at confluences and along reaches?	N/A	
11.1	Have the design flows been checked against flood peaks in the gauged record, and any longer-term flood history?	N/A	
11.2	Have the 1% and 0.1% AEP growth factors been derived and sense-checked? If the 1% AEP growth factors are outside the typical range, has a justification been provided?	OK	
11.3	Have the results been compared with any from other studies and justification given for any differences?	OK	

Assumptions, Limitations and Uncertainty		
Item No.	Item Checked	RAG 1
12.0	Are the assumptions and limitations of the methods acknowledged? Are the assumptions listed specific to this study?	OK
12.1	Does the report comment on uncertainty in the design flows?	Green

1st Review Comments	1st Review Actions
	No action required.
	No action required.
	No action required.

1st Review Comments	1st Review Actions
	No action required.

1st Review Comments	1st Review Actions	
	No action required.	
No details provided on uncertainity.	Provide details of uncertainty in the reporting	

(2.2.)	DACO	
1st Review Response (DATE)	RAG 2	2nd Review Comments

1st Review Response (07-11-22)	RAG 2	2nd Review Comments

1st Review Response (07-11-22)	RAG 2	2nd Review Comments
Again, this would default to the		
uncertainty assessment associated		
with the EA approved 2014 flows as		
these are higher than those		
calculated. Again demonstrating a		
conservative approach.		

2nd Review Response (DATE)	RAG 3	3rd Review Comments
<u> </u>		<u> </u>
2nd Review Response (DATE)	RAG 3	3rd Review Comments
. ,		
	DAGG	
2nd Review Response (DATE)	RAG 3	3rd Review Comments

3rd Review Response (DATE)	RAG 4	4th Review Comments
	•	
3rd Review Response (DATE)	RAG 4	4th Review Comments
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
		1

	D40.4	
3rd Review Response (DATE)	RAG 4	4th Review Comments

4th Review Response (DATE)
4til Keview Kespolise (DATE)
4th Review Response (DATE)
m none in noopelide (DATE)
4th Review Response (DATE)