

# Comment for planning application 22/00499/DISC

<b>Application Number</b>	22/00499/DISC
<b>Location</b>	The Stables Main Street Great Bourton Cropredy OX17 1QU
<b>Proposal</b>	Discharge of condition 5 (Site Development Scheme) of 20/02060/F (Appeal ref APP/C3105/C/21/3268454)
<b>Case Officer</b>	Wayne Campbell
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Bobbie Brown
<b>Address</b>	The Den, Chapel Lane, Little Bourton, Banbury, OX17 1RD
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>APPLICATION 22/00499/DISC CONDITION 5 THE STABLES MAIN STREET GREAT BOURTON OX17 1QU (APPEAL REF: APP/C/3105/C/21/3268454 I wish to object to the application above which relates to the conditions for the Inspector's approval for 2 Traveller only pitches for the following reasons: 1- Indications regarding the applicant's noncompliance to decisions set out by the CDC: I find it unacceptable that there is a need for residents of the Bourtons and Cropredy to object to applications time and time again for either building or setting up a caravan site on the same piece of land. I walk by this property nearly every day. There was a caravan in place for months even though it was made clear that this was NOT a residential site. The applicant himself, claimed to have been living there for quite some time. Was this legal? Before permission was given for any residential development, electricity lines were being laid. Who authorised this? When the application for one residential caravan was given, hard core was delivered and continues to be laid. The area it could cover far exceeds the requirement of one caravan. This begs the question as to the motives of the applicant. Are they now, or will they ever adhere to decisions of the CDC? And if not, who will take responsibility for this? 2- Obstruction to an existing public footpath: The applicant implies that there will be diversion to the existing footpath that runs through this land. It is my understanding that the OCC will not allow this diversion because of flooding! The footpath itself is in very poor condition, which is another indication of my objection number 1 i.e. indication of the applicants noncompliance. 3- Flooding; As stated, time and time again, this land is prone to flooding. There are years' worth of evidence, including photographic evidence to this fact. The laying of hard core will only make this problem worse. The flooding on the other side of Main Street, across from the site, is also a serious problem, as is flooding down by the railway bridge. Any construction at the Stables will increase the seriousness of this already dreadful and sometimes dangerous situation. 4- Safeguarding concerns: There are times when children and parents walking to and from school near the railway bridge, must walk along Main Street to try to avoid the flooding. Once they get to the area of the Stables, the flooding is also ON Main Street. The current speed limit on Main Street is 60 miles an hour; however, no matter what the speed limit is, if the CDC allows this situation to worsen, by agreeing to this application, possible accidents or even deaths may occur. 5- "Urbanising Effect on the Open Country Side": These are words used by the Council itself in the Notice of Decision, 30 July 2020, Reasons for Refusal number 1, concerning the Application No 20/02060/F on this same land. This land has not changed or moved. This is land of natural beauty. Any construction on this land will obstruct views from Main Street. Although the applicant implies that the site will not be seen from a public road, as someone, who walks past the site almost every day, I am witness to the fact that it most definitely can be seen from the road. It can be called nothing but an eye sore blocking the view of a beautiful landscape. If the CDC allows continued construction in this area, the small and distinctive villages of the Boutons and Cropredy will one day disappear. This will be devastating to the natural environment. 6- Again, as stated in the Notice of Decision, 30 July 2020, concerning Application No 20/02060/F, Reasons for Refusal number 2, "By virtue of its siting adjacent to the Birmingham to London railway, the proposed development would be adversely affected by noise, thereby resulting in an unacceptable living environment". This has not changed. Will the CDC allow people to live in unacceptable living environments? For the reasons stated above, and many other reasons given in objections by others, who are very knowledgeable about the history and condition of this land, I object to this planning application.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	28/03/2022 17:59:22
<b>Attachments</b>	