## Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number	22/00489/F	
Location	Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Lane Shenington	Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking
Proposal	Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)	
Case Officer	Robin Forrester	
Organisation Name	Joanna Morby	
Address	Tamar, The Level, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NA	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	Arthur Mcpherson Coles, Homes, George Arthur M housing development for to the current Cherwell P category C which specifie conversion only and this development for this site 2008 for very similar rea- since then. The village al A development such as th and the increase in the n e.g., courier vans and sch will put more pressure or on now. This vast increase beautiful Oxfordshire villa keeping with any of the v village. It is also worth m in progress in the village guidelines. The proposed as it is only 1 km from its Sewage works, which ser effluence from 49 new dv freshwater streams that a drains due to the sewage also been no clear plans suggested a way they ho the village. As in spite of therefore covering a subs this worse. Thames wate the site and have advised water mains. NB: The wa just sufficient for the num often needing repair. Mot the village. BT is the only slow due to dated cables power cuts and brown ou significant load. Also, She storm damage, power ca be some time before pow includes charging for elect infrastructure. Traffic and repair, with several pinch necessary for drivers to g Consequently, both devel	Tanning Application - 22/00489/F Applicants Elan Homes, George Sarah G I refer to the planning application 22/00489/F by Elan cpherson Coles, Sarah G. I object to this planning application for a 49 dwellings on the basis of the following criteria. Firstly, according lan the villages of Shenington and Alkerton are placed under the se that the only building development allowed would be infill or development does not fit that description. A similar proposed has already been rejected by Cherwell District Council in 2002 and sons as now being stated, and the village has not changed much ready suffers problems with traffic, parking and essential services. his would exacerbate these issues due to both development traffic umber of cars within the village once the development completes hool traffic. Also, the fact that people are working from home more in the sewage system, electricity usage and internet that we so rely se of 49 new dwellings will change and damage the character of our age irreparably. The proposed style of the development is not in remacular architecture and will be an eye sore to all around the oting that new houses and conversions both recently completed and have all been done sensitively and in keeping with the category C development will be clearly visible from the nearby Cotswold AONB is boundaries. Essential Services. Sewage and water: Shutford ves our village is already under strain and would not cope with wellings. This would lead to an increase in dirty water going into are close to the works. There are also regular issues of blocked is system being already unsatisfactory for the village. There have for sewerage presented by Ellan. Ellan's proposal have only pe to deal with surface water, which I fear will not be sufficient for being on top of a hill Shenington is prone to flash flooding and stantial area of field with tarmac and concrete will clearly only make r have advised that there is a main water supply pipe that crosses d no construction activity can take place within 5m of strategic it

especially narrow and at a blind spot where vehicles coming up the hill from the Tysoe direction would not see a vehicle exiting the proposed entrance to the housing estate. Also due to the lack of parking for the existing houses on Rattlecombe road there are always numerous cars parked, so even on the wider sections of the road visibility is poor, so it is necessary for vehicles to make a slow careful progress. However, when the traffic is heavier this does not happen, making the road extremely dangerous for pedestrians, taking their children to school, or walking on that Darcy Dalton Way which is a popular walking route that follows the Rattlecombe road alongside the proposed development. The number houses proposed would add at least 100 more cars that would be travelling to work and school increasing traffic and pollution at peak times and also adding to already significant on road parking. Public Transport: The development plan proposes economic houses for lower income families. Lower income houses cannot always afford to run a car. Also, young people cannot easily get into town if they had not passed their driving test and so would be reliant on public transport. The plan refers to an out-of-date bus timetable which even then did not show a regular service to the main towns, the service is run by Warwickshire council mainly to serve Stratford Upon Avon. There is no service that would get a person into Banbury for 9am. The first bus to Stratford leaves too early for any parent who needs to take their child to Shenington School as the school doesn't open until 8.30am and the bus goes at 7.34am and there is not another until 10.59am. The service has been under threat for many years and Shenington may be cut from the route as other villages already have been. Wildlife: The village is very lucky to have some beautiful wildlife in gardens and in the fields. I have seen and heard families of owls, we hear them in the fields at night and see Buzzards and Red kites circling in the sky above the site and diving for prey in that very field. I have seen various species of insects and the field hums with grasshoppers and crickets in the summer. Then there are the swallows in the spring and bats various species. Lots of moths and butterflies not to mention the bugs and insects, and amphibians including the Great Crested Newt. It is noted in the ecology report that the applicants have not submitted a Biodiversity Impact Assessment or demonstrated how the development will achieve a net gain for biodiversity. This development would be a disaster for the villages of Shenington and Alkerton and is not sustainable at any level. Addendum: Local amenities: There is no shop that serves the community in the village. The village school is at full capacity and the doctor's surgery too. The village nursery is not actually in the village and is on a dangerous road to walk with young children, patrons would have to drive to use this facility. The local schools and educational services in nearby villages and towns also have very little capacity to take on more children/students, therefore any new population that would brought into the area by the proposed development would find it very difficult to get the services they need to support their families. We would be very grateful if the planning officers dealing with this application will take in to consideration all that has been put forward here. Yours faithfully Mrs Joanna Morby and Nicholas Morby Tamar The Level Shenington OX15 6NA

**Received Date** 

28/04/2022 14:01:07

Attachments