Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number	22/00489/F	
Location	Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington	
Proposal	Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)	
Case Officer	Robin Forrester	
Organisation		
Name	James Miller	
Address	Annexe Adj,Garters,Stocking Lane,Shenington,Banbury,OX15 6NF	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	I'm making a very strong objection to this development on the following grounds. Both Alkerton Hill and Rattlecome road are inadequate to allow the massive increase in traffic that this housing estate would bring into this village, the number of cars/vans would be significantly increased and bring with it a high risk of fatality due to the lack of pavements which cannot be added with the infrastructure that we have in this village. This estate is totally unacceptable with an increase of housing by over 40%. It is also being proposed on an 'open countryside' this is totally against the governments directives on sustainable and environmentally friendly housing, there is a vast amount of 'Brown field sites in and around the town of Banbury which would be much better suited to this size of development and to enable people to access work without the need for cars, this development would also cause massive environmental issues to existing villagers as the sewerage system is at its capacity. We have regular electrical blackouts and this housing estate would exacerbate the situation even further for the current villagers. The height of the site would also make this a monstrously dominating blot of our landscape, which I also believe to be highly visible within the attached Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The noise pollution and light pollution would also have a significant negative impact on the local wildlife and a naturally forming Eco system within this site would be destroyed. Similar planning applications on this site have been previously Refused due to highways, sewerage and in a conservation area which allows infill and conversions only, none of these facts have changed since the last refusal. Therefore this application should not even be considered as viable.	
Received Date	07/04/2022 21:10:27	
Attachments		