

# Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="William Reid"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Kinwell House, Kenhill Road, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NQ"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="I raise the following objections to this application: 1. Shenington is a category C village where development is only permitted for infill building and conversions. This application sits outside of this definition. On that basis alone, if planners wish to protect the countryside and provide confidence in the planning process, this application should be rejected. 2. Amenities: the village school is at capacity, the GP surgery is overwhelmed, a great, but small village sized pub and small village hall. There is no village shop requiring villagers to derive to buy provisions or rely on home delivery. There are no public playing fields or playground. I see no addition of meaningful amenities such as a shop or playing field in the application. There is no indication of the ongoing/long term funding for the small play area proposed. 3. Infrastructure. Water pressure, sewerage, broadband and road network already at capacity for this small village. The narrow lanes and pinch points struggle already struggle around school rush hour. Horse riders and pedestrians are already at peril through to lack of pavements and line of sight. 4. Public transport. The bus network is not conducive to commuters or even those working locally. It will not provide a viable option for new residents to get to work and therefore they will need to use private transport to commute, adding extra pressure on the road network and carbon footprint of the village. 5. The style and materials proposed are not in keeping or sympathetic with a location adjacent to the historical village and conservation area. On first read there is also little a provision of solar panels on the homes or charging facilities for electric vehicles. Incidental, but a lack of attention to detail in this submission, referring in error to other locations in planning and misspelling the village name does little to provide confidence in this development."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="07/04/2022 16:51:49"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	