## Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number	22/00489/F	
Location	Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington	
Proposal	Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)	
Case Officer	Robin Forrester	
Organisation Name	Laura Greenwood	
Address	The Laurels, Kenhill Road, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NQ	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	I am writing to object to the proposal for the erection of 49 houses on the site off Rattlecombe Road in Shenington. My reasons for my objection are as follows: i) Shenington is a Category C village, only allowing for infill and conversion. There is no way that this proposal can be categorised as infill. The parcel of land borders the Conservation Area of the village, a part of the community where residents find it incredibly difficult to get planning permission to change windows to double glazing, extend their homes and at times, fell trees. It seems unbelievable to think that a development of this magnitude could be even considered cheek by jowl with an area of a beautiful and historic village which is understandably incredibly prized and protected. ii) The village's infrastructure is unable to cope with an increase in population of this scale. In the ten years we have lived here the roads have become exponentially busier, often with an increase in driving speed. The village is bisected by a road, dividing the village green. This area of the village is used by the numerous children of the village to play football, cricket, ride bikes and climb trees, surely all things that as adults we should encourage and yet the road is fast becoming a danger waiting to happen. The start of Stocking Lane which is where the doctor's surgery and school are and is also where the plans provide for pedestrian access from the proposed housing has no footpath and is already dangerous, particularly when parents are walking young children to and from school. An increase in traffic is only going to make those dangers greater. It is well documented in the village emails that the sewage system in the village has already exceeded capacity, with multiple occasions where sewage has overflown onto village fields. Public transport is sparse in the village with only one bus route and long gaps between the timetable, it offers a valuable school service and an opportunity for shopping trips but timetabling and lack of routes make it hard to be	
Received Date	06/04/2022 00:09:16	own this proposal and protect the integrity of the village.
Attachments	00/04/2022 00:09:10	