

Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="David Richards"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="The Well House, Well Lane, Alkerton, Banbury, OX15 6NL"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input 1965="" 49="" 6nl"="" a="" above="" adding="" alkerton="" alkerton's="" along="" already="" amenities="" and="" any="" any.="" are="" at="" banks="" be="" bends="" blind="" build="" building="" built="" businesses="" but="" butcher's="" by="" cars="" continuing="" cope="" could="" current="" cycling,="" dangerous="" david="" days="" development="" either="" encouraged,="" estate.="" even="" exacerbate="" exist="" existing="" faithfully="" foot="" footpaths="" from="" further="" gardens="" general="" had="" has="" have="" hazardous="" homes="" house,="" households'="" houses="" houses.="" housing="" impossible="" in="" increase="" infrastructure="" is="" issue;="" issues="" it="" its="" land="" large="" longer="" meet="" mind="" more="" narrow="" never="" new="" no="" none="" not="" number="" of="" office="" on="" one="" only="" ox15="" petrol="" post="" properties="" prove="" quite="" requirements.="" resulting="" richards="" road="" road.="" roads:="" route="" served="" sewage="" sewerage="" sewerage:="" shenington="" shenington.="" shop,="" side="" size,="" station.\"="" steep="" stores,="" struggles="" summits.="" system="" that="" the="" their="" there="" these="" time="" to="" two="" type="text" usage.="" using="" value="Dear Sir/Madam I wish to object to the above proposed planning application for many reasons, a few of which I list below: Amenities: British History Online, in its section on Shenington, states that: \" village="" village.="" walking="" waste="" well="" were="" which="" will="" with="" would="" yours=""/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="05/04/2022 16:36:54"/>
Attachments	