## Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number	22/00489/F	
Location	Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington	
Proposal	Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)	
Case Officer	Robin Forrester	
Organisation Name	Chris Brown	
Address	Changebrook, The Level, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NA	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	it towards larger and mor village i.e. less sustainab should be limited to infill conversions over the last the village. The village has development that is being developments. Policy Villa 'minor development' and consideration of the apprivillages. Shenington is a even more protected from Shenington (425 in 2011 residents (average) this i unsustainable and constit which in a category C vill The Local plan states that villages within the district major urban areas, partic is a complete dependence local towns, this contradie impacts on the environme upon Avon and Banbury b against Cherwell's desire limit development to larg reducing the need to trav permitted if they would: the undue harm to important local character Impact on proposal would cause all be noted that Sheningtor Natural Beauty. In addition take a sustainably positive submitting evidence to de impacts. Developments we no significant adverse im Have the council seen thi this proposal would outwe are unlikely to outweigh to beauty of one of North Os outlined in Cherwell's Loc this size is unacceptable of the size is unacceptable of the this size is unacceptable of the size	ets out a desire for limiting growth in our rural areas and directing re sustainable villages. Shenington is considered to be a Category C le and quite rightly growth in these villages, according to the plan, and conversions. Shenington has accommodated at least 6 infill / couple of years which is fairly sizable when compared to the size of as neither the infrastructure nor the services to support the major g proposed. Even the category A villages are restricted to minor ages 1 allows for the most sustainable villages to accommodate all villages to accommodate infilling or conversions and careful opriate scale of development, particularly in Category B (satellite) category C village, which according to the Cherwell Plan should be n large scale developments. With approximately 550 residents in Census), assuming each of the new houses proposed had 3 s an increase of population by approximately 27% - which is both utes a major development in relation to the size of the village - age is totally unsuitable and completely at odds with the Local Plan. t growth will be directed towards the larger and more sustainable t which offer a wider range of services and are well connected to ularly by public transport. Shenington offers none of this and there e on the use of a car to access facilities either in nearby villages or cts the desire to reduce traffic congestion and also negatively ent. There is a bus service through the village between Strafford put this is limited to approximately three buses a day. It also goes wherever possible to reduce the need to travel by car and therefore er villages(only) that have a range of services and facilities, el by car. The Local Plan also states that 'Proposals will not be Cause undue visual intrusion into the open countryside , Cause natural landscape features and topography, Be inconsistent with a reas judged to have a high level of tranquillity' I believe that this of the above particularly the final point on tranquillity. It should also is a stones throw away from the Cotswold A

Attachments