

# Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Jan Walters"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Clematis Cottage, Stocking Lane, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NF"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="1. This application is contrary to the Cherwell Local Plan for a category C village. The scope for a category C village has already been broadened from conversion only to infilling and conversion - this application goes beyond the scope for a category C village and is not appropriate for the village. 2. The proposed development cannot be supported by the village services and facilities. Shenington does not have the infrastructure to accommodate this kind of new development, due to: Narrow country road, Traffic pinch points Sewerage capacity Lack of public transport Lack of pavements No village stores The highways in Shenington are unsuitable to cope with a significant increase motor cars and delivery vans through the village representing an unacceptable increased hazard to other road users and pedestrians. There are no pathways on Rattlecombe Road or throughout the historic centre of the village. There is a pinch point in Stocking Lane between the gable end of two older houses which is already a problem and hazard to those travelling to the school and surgery. 3. A modern estate type plan of this type would be inappropriate in a historic village within a conservation area and it would damage the aesthetics of a historic village settlement pattern. 4. The proposed plan would intrude beyond the existing building line into open countryside, representing an unjustifiable encroachment into the surrounding countryside."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="03/04/2022 13:07:40"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	