

# Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Anthony Jackson"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Ash Lodge, Stocking Lane, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NF"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="he present sewerage system which runs down to the treatment plant in Shutford already has major issues. I use to live at Toad Hall and the sewerage pipe ran across the bottom field below us. On many occasions Thames Water sewerage teams had to come out to clear blockages and on more than one occasion the back pressure blew the very heavy cast iron inspection covers off which are located many feet above the actual pipe and sewerage flowed across the field and into the river Sor causing high pollution levels. If the population of the village is to increase by 40 % the system simply will not cope. Secondly , I understand permission for a development of 5 houses in Alkerton was recently refused because of lack of infrastructure, roads etc so how on earth can an approval be given for this proposed major development within the village with access to one of the narrowest roads in the villages which also has farm plant machinery regularly requiring access? It would mean an additional 100 cars. Thirdly, we are a C class village. That means protected. So how can a development company even consider that someone in the council would change that for their benefit? Major questions should be asked."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="03/04/2022 12:18:23"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	