

Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Application Number | <input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/> |
| Location | <input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/> |
| Proposal | <input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/> |
| Case Officer | <input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/> |
| Organisation Name | <input type="text" value="Rory Cullinan"/> |
| Address | <input type="text" value="The School House, Well Lane, Alkerton, Banbury, OX15 6NL"/> |
| Type of Comment | <input type="text" value="Objection"/> |
| Type | <input type="text" value="neighbour"/> |
| Comments | <input type="text" value="I strongly object to this application. I think the proposal from Elan Homes is deeply disingenuous , appallingly researched, poorly considered and a desktop exercise with no merit. The long list of objections from the whole of the villages of Alkerton and Shenington list quite clearly what the existing flaws are and don't need expanded by me - water and sewage, power, facilities, infrastructure , services, roads etc. All of that would have been clear to the applicant and yet they have not addressed any of it and hand-wave away the problem that doesn't bear scrutiny. But even if they had suggested they pay to upgrade all of these services , which would likely make the whole project uneconomic, there remains a fatal flaw that cannot be solved which is the quality of the road access from both ends of the village. In particular through Alkerton, the road is very narrow and a blind bend which narrows to basically a single lane to larger vehicles. I believe the Highways have not been consulted and have previously opined that the road would not bear more traffic (the majority of which would head towards Banbury). It has also been clearly stated by many that the Village is Category C and has already had two rejections. Also given the density of listed historic dwellings in the villages and a clear style , the suggested architectural drawings have taken no consideration of the local architectural vernacular and is a cookie-cutter home model which has no merit . As a general point I am pro the expansion of villages and the building of homes which we need - but certainly have no regard for this deeply ill-considered proposal ."/> |
| Received Date | <input type="text" value="01/04/2022 16:06:15"/> |
| Attachments | |