

# Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Malcolm Abbott"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Highwold,The Level,Shenington,Banbury,OX15 6NA"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input abbott"="" above="" alone="" and="" application="" are="" but="" comments="" common="" do="" feel="" for="" good="" hope="" in="" malcolm="" my="" nimby"="" points="" prevails="" reason="" refusing="" sense="" sufficient="" that="" the="" type="text" value="There have been many applications for this land over the last few years which have failed to gain approval, and this one does not answer or negate any of the previous reasons for refusal. 1. I understand Shenington is in a category which prohibits major development which was for a reason which I can't see has changed. Why is this proposal even being considered ? 2. The access to the site will be on the narrowest section of Rattlecombe Lane at the brow of the incline into the village which is a traffic blind spot . At the same point is the existing access to The Level. Traffic exiting the Level have a very restricted view along either direction of Rattlecombe Lane because of parked vehicles outside of the ancient cottages located there which have no alternative parking. This development would add an estimated 80-100 additional vehicles which would make the junction very dangerous indeed, particularly as there are no pedestrian walkways along the lane. 3. The village has suffered with sewage problems connected with capacity and design. The system only just copes with the existing number of homes let alone the additional number proposed. 4. The village has very limited local facilities ( pub and small village hall) and this proposal makes no contribution to solving this issue. I have tried not to be "/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="01/04/2022 11:52:54"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	