

Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Mark Petterson"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="High Barn,Kenhill Road,Shenington,Banbury,OX15 6NQ"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="CDC has characterised this Parish as one of those areas that is only suitable for conversions and small infill housing development, due to the lack of facilities and poor road systems. We have happily accommodated many such new properties over the last two decades. A development of this size would drive a coach and horses through the local planning system and set a fateful precedent for this and other similar Parishes. Your road safety team will know of the inadequate road system here, most notably at the sharp bend in Alkerton, and of the extra safety risks you would be endorsing if you decide to approve this proposal and its associated significant increase in traffic levels. The application wrongly implies that most of the traffic that leaves the village heads west towards Tysoe. The majority of journeys from the village start by heading east, through Alkerton, towards Banbury. The road safety risks would also be very high during the construction phase with the large numbers of lorry and van movements involved. Please also note that the sewerage system backs up and overflows on a regular basis because it is already operating at capacity. I hope that you will stand by your existing housing policies, and take note of the road safety risks, and therefore reject this proposal."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="23/03/2022 14:45:21"/>
Attachments	