Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

Application Number 22/00489/F

Location

Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington

Proposal

Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)

Case Officer

Robin Forrester

Organisation

Name

Philip Marshall

Address

The Old Almshouse, Kenhill Road, Shenington, Banbury, OX15 6NQ

Type of Comment

Objection

Type

neighbour

Comments

Whilst recognising the benefits of additional housing in Shenington, and the need for new housing in general, the primary reason for objection to this application is the highways that access the location. Were the access from the Sugarswell direction with no direct access from the village itself, there would be little room for objection. The key objections are: 1. The proposal suggests that an additional 120 cars will come into use in the village, resulting in an approximate 50% increase in traffic using Rattlecombe Road and the main route out of Shenington via Alkerton Hill 2. The actual increase in traffic will be significantly greater than this as normal use will generate significant additional delivery traffic, and significant additional traffic resulting from visits to these houses by friends, relatives and others. 3. The location of the proposed primary access to the site off Rattlecombe Road is very close to a blind bend in the road for traffic approaching from the west. The traffic exiting from the proposed site will pose a significant additional risk of accident at the junction on a narrow road 4. The Alkerton Hill is the main route out of Shenington. It is a narrow, twisting, steep hill and already poses issues for anyone using it when meeting any vehicle travelling in the opposite direction. It is barely suitable for the traffic it currently takes and would appear incapable of taking this level of increase in traffic without posing major risks of accident. 5. The route for pedestrians from the proposed site through to Alkerton is already dangerous as there are no pavements on either side of the road for much of the route. The resulting increase in traffic and pedestrians will pose a significant increase in risk of accident.

Received Date

15/03/2022 15:47:44

Attachments