

# Comment for planning application 22/00489/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="22/00489/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 9078 And 9975 Adjoining Stocking Lane And North Of Rattlecombe Road Stocking Lane Shenington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Erection of 49 dwellings (17 of which (35%) will be affordable homes) with associated garages, parking and refuse storage, private gardens and communal open space/play space, hard and soft landscaping (including SUDs feature and means of enclosure, reinstatement of hedging and ironstone walling along Rattlecombe Road)"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Robin Forrester"/>
<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text" value=""/>
<b>Name</b>	<input type="text" value="David King"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Oriel High,Stocking Lane,Shenington,Banbury,OX15 6NF"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input -="" a="" additional="" and="" areas,="" as="" as"="" at="" backing="" be="" beauty="" but="" cars="" cars.="" congestion="" course,="" creating="" dread.="" even="" fills="" focusing="" for="" green,="" highway="" historic="" homes="" homes,="" i="" increased="" infrastructure="" is="" landscape.="" look="" me="" moment="" more="" my="" need="" noise,="" of="" on="" onto="" out="" people="" potholes="" redeveloping,="" rip="" ruin="" run"="" rural="" safety,="" school="" see="" sensible="" shenington="" should="" small,="" suitable="" surely="" tell="" that="" the="" there="" these="" thing="" this="" through="" to="" type="text" understand="" uplifting="" urban="" value="Although I empathise with the desire to maximise the return on investment and I understand the ambition of the landowner and developer to apply for this planning consent, I really don't see any benefit to the community in allowing this scheme to proceed in any shape or form. If the only positive impact of the application is that 17 dwellings will be affordable homes I would question their value to people on low incomes that would need two cars per home to be able to get to a shop, to a secondary school, to work or anywhere else for that matter unless you want to depend on the daily bus that comes through the village. I understand a similar planning application was rejected in the past and I hope this one will go the same way. I understand that the majority of my objection will be based on selfish reasons, but that makes them no less valid. I know there is a lot of people in the village who are against this proposal and allowing it to proceed would please very few current residents, largely satisfying the landowner and although I hold no malice on that front, it would be more selfish to please one person, one small group than to listen to the concerns of those who live in Shenington right now. I moved with my family to Shenington because it is a beautiful Cotswold village, surrounded by nature and tranquillity. I felt that this village was the perfect place for my two young children to grow up and I was delighted to see that the house we bought was in a conservation area - an area that you know is on the very threshold of this proposed development. My two children are now five and eight and I still don't let them cross the main road on to the green without adult supervision. Imagine how much more traffic, how heightened my concern will be with another 120+ additional residents, another 100+ cars based in the village, more deliveries, more visitors every single day, driving through this small, historic village. I implore you all, as Planning Officers, to walk through this village and ask yourself if these 49 homes will add any value to this community. I urge you to consider the impact on an already busy pub, over subscribed school, a doctor's surgery that is very difficult to get an appointment and a small, beautiful village green that is already busy when the sun shines - where will these people go, what will they do. I just don't see any justification or reason to approve this proposal. Selfishly, I worry about the value of my home as the character of the village is detrimentally impacted by this large number of modern houses. Look how many historic buildings we have in Shenington and how much old architecture is visible and maintained. What appealed to me when I bought my home will no longer be there if this is allowed to go ahead - we will no longer be a small village and who is to say that this won't set a very sad precedent that encourages others to do the same, development upon development, cutting into nature, removing the wilderness just to make a few people wealthier. We do not need these 49 houses. Selfishly, I worry about the safety of my children with traffic increasing, cars coming through at speed and I am sad to think that the natural areas they currently play in, ride their bikes through will be impacted and reduced. I worry about the dust, noise, congestion and disturbance from the months and months of building, and at the end I worry about the noise and impact of another 49 homes, another 120+ people. Come and see the congestion during the " vehicles="" village?="" want="" we="" what="" where="" whole="" why="" window="" with="" you=""/>

it is today, I want to see trees, nature and a conserved areas that my family and others can enjoy in peace and safety.

**Received Date**

15/03/2022 14:58:16

**Attachments**