

Comment for planning application 21/04271/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/04271/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land South of Faraday House Woodway Road Sibford Ferris"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of 6 one storey age restricted dwellings (55 years) for older people with access, landscaping and associated infrastructure"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Wayne Campbell"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Mike and Sue Lovatt"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Briar Cottage,3 The Colony,Colony Road,Sibford Gower,Banbury,OX15 5RY"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="We wish to object to the above application for 6 retirement homes, south of Faraday House for the following reasons: 1. No new permission is necessary as the targets for the Cherwell local plan have already been comfortably exceeded. 2. With the proposed Gade homes development, we don't know how the infrastructure will cope, the road system is wholly inadequate, the roads themselves already in a poor state and extra traffic can only worsen the situation. The sewerage system is already at capacity and there are poor incomplete footpaths on this road. 3. Sibford Ferris, Sibford Gower and Burdrop are wrongly categorized as a Category A village, this categorization is already under review by the communities and the local member of Parliament is seeking a review as soon as possible. 4. The impact on the landscape of this additional development in Sibford Ferris will even affect Sibford Gower being visible from The Colony, as the site extends further west than the existing Gade Homes permitted development. It would harm the rural character and appearance of the attractive landscape to the west of the village. 5.The application goes against The Sibfords Community Plan, all the needs of the village have been exceeded by the Gade Homes development of 25 dwellings. Only 3% of the residents said they would be willing to envisage development of over 20 houses. 6. The proposed additional development is unnecessary, inappropriate and unsustainable in our opinions. This application is a poorly conceived scheme on an unsuitable site in an unsustainable location and should be refused."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="17/01/2022 21:18:28"/>
Attachments	