

Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Application Number | 21/04275/OUT |
| Location | Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester |
| Proposal | OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition |
| Case Officer | Caroline Ford |
| Organisation Name | |
| Name | James Alcock |
| Address | Thorn Cottage,13 Bainton Road,Bucknell,Bicester,OX27 7LT |
| Type of Comment | Objection |
| Type | neighbour |
| Comments | <p>I object to the proposed Hawkwell development for multiple reasons. Additional pressure on roads around Bicester, especially Howes Lane; Additional traffic through Bucknell; pressure on drainage and sewerage; loss of farmland and natural habitats; light pollution affecting the rural character of Bucknell. Most of all, I object to the excessive size of the development and extending the boundary of the development up to Bucknell Village. Even with the proposed 40% green infrastructure, this would lead to substantial alteration of the rural character of the village - green doesn't necessarily equate to it being rural. With the removal of open countryside, planting of urbanised green infrastructure, installation of new road and pathways and lighting, we will become part of a suburb of Bicester which is not what Bucknell currently is. We live in a listed property and have not been allowed to alter even an internal walls for danger of eroding the historic nature of the property. I can accept that, but allowing our village to be turned into a suburb also erodes the historic nature of this and all properties in this village and changes the social fabric of the village just as much as the physical. I accept part of the site should be developed over time, as outlined and approved in the local plan. However, this development goes significantly beyond the boundaries proposed in the local plan and should therefore be refused.</p> |
| Received Date | 30/03/2022 20:17:54 |
| Attachments | |