Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

Application Number 21/04275/OUT

Location

Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester

Proposal

OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(q)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition

Case Officer

Caroline Ford

Organisation

Name

Vanessa Johnson

Address

5 Rose Close, Bucknell, Bicester, OX27 7NA

Type of Comment

Objection

Type

neighbour

Comments

I would like to object to this planning application due to it being outside the Adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 - the extra land added to the original plan is a destruction to our countryside and exceeds what was originally agreed. The developers have not carried out any traffic modelling and are using out of date information. With the current traffic issues surrounding Bicester this application is using roads that do not exist and existing country roads that cannot cope with the level of traffic using them now and they definitely will not cope with the number of cars, vans, lorries this development will create. There is no evidence to say that a Net gain in biodiversity has been delivered, and as such the proposed development is not compliant with policy ESD 10. This is a very important issue, especially given that the Environment Act will shortly require a 10% gain as a legal requirement and a strategic development such as this is expected to be in line with such emerging policy and legislation. This application should not be allowed to go ahead due to the issues raised.

Received Date

30/03/2022 20:11:33

Attachments