

Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
Organisation	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Mr W. Chambers"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Dove Cottage,30 New Row,Bucknell,Bicester,OX27 7NB"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="My objections to the proposed development are as follows: 1. Environmental Impact. The number of houses would have a disastrous impact on the environment as the village of Bucknell is already subject to yearly flooding. The road network is barely able to cope with the current traffic use. Adding a probable 2-3000 extra vehicles is obviously totally ridiculous. It is likely that a proportion will want easy access to the M40, the obvious route would be through Bucknell village. Without a radical change to the road network, which doesn't appear on the proposal, it would be gross negligence on the part of the developer and the planning authority. 2. Population. Adding around 3000 houses to the local area will mean around 5000 extra adults. Let alone around a probable 3000 children. Where will these children go to school? Doctors? Dentists? And the associated other health professionals? There doesn't appear to be any consideration given to public transport. The current provision for this is, at best, basic. What are the proposals for improvement? Finally, the current proposal is far too vague and appears to show a complete disrespect for the nearby village of Bucknell which would effectively not exist anymore. A proper consultation process needs to be undertaken urgently."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="30/03/2022 14:57:43"/>
Attachments	