

# Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Miles Penhallow"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Berg House,8 Cedar Drive,Bicester,OX26 4EZ"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="This proposed planning application is much larger than the original proposal and will dwarf the existing village community of Bucknell with only a field between them. This is not a village but a new town. How can the red line be moved yet again? The additional traffic will cause serious safety issues as it tries to join Howes Lane or joins the existing 10 minute queue in the morning to cross the B430 to join the M40 at Junction 10. Why is prime agricultural land being used when there is a surplus of brown site land sitting idle south of Bicester around Arncott? The development of a new town at Upper Heyford shows how successful these type of brown site developments can be. Bicester is already struggling to absorb the recent population growth from the growing developments of Kingsmere, Graven Hill and Elmsbrook without adequate support provision. Currently the Bicester police are pursuing a Section 34 to prevent gangs gathering in the town centre where they have been committing crimes against both property and local residents and workers. I do not think that the addition of an animal petting farm in the proposal is the solution. Not only will this development destroy wildlife, create pollution and bring a risk of flooding but will also have a negative impact on the quality of life of local residents. The new medical centre, which these new residents will be registered is being built south of Bicester at Graven Hill, about 5Km away!"/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="29/03/2022 11:05:45"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	