

Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
Organisation	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Lorna James"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Bignell Lodge,Bignell Park,Bicester Road,Chesterton,Bicester,OX26 1UE"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="I object to the above application. My main reasons are as follows: 1. The previous green buffer zone between the proposed new housing development and the rural village of Bucknell has been greatly reduced and would not now provide sufficient separation between the new estate and the village. The policy of non-coalescence of settlements would clearly be contravened. 2. The close proximity of the proposed development to the village would have a hugely detrimental impact on the character of the village. The existing rural setting of Bucknell would be entirely lost. Our country's villages are an important feature of our heritage and should be protected and not swallowed up by urban development, which would be the result if this application gained approval. 3. The very significant increase in housing provision, which this application proposes, would result in much greater pressure on the road network, particularly Lords Lane. Other villages, including my own, would inevitably suffer and become even more of a 'rat-run'. 4. The relentless expansion of Bicester seems to be out of control, and the above application is a prime example. The juggernaut of yet more and more development assigned to Bicester and the immediate area is insupportable. It is surely time for C.D.C. to apply the brakes and look elsewhere to meet its housing requirement."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="25/03/2022 20:10:10"/>
Attachments	