Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

Application Number 21/04275/OUT

Location

Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester

Proposal

OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(q)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition

Case Officer

Caroline Ford

Organisation

Name

Address

Type of Comment

Type

Comments

Andrew Parsons

Cheveley, Ardley Road, Bucknell, Bicester, OX27 7LU

Objection

neighbour

I wish to OBJECT to planning consent for this application being granted on the following grounds: 1. Proper and due notice has not been given, and proper consultation has not been done. The developers have not carried out their duties in this regard. This alone - breach of process - should be sufficient to halt this application. A recent meeting with the developers has clearly indicated that what the developers have claimed in the past is not their current intentions. Process is being abused. 2. Moreover, the developers have none no professional modelling of the impacts of the development on services, traffic management and other critical aspects. 3. Existing and planned infrastructure cannot cope with the size of the planned development; medical practitioners have already stated that the number of additional residents would overwhelm local services. 4. The volume of traffic generated will overwhelm local road infrastructure. 6200 additional cars will ensue, all needing egress. It has been modelled (not by the developers!) that Bucknell will have a vehicle passing through every 3 seconds for 20 hours of the day. This is untenable for a rural village. 5. The environmental impact would be devastating. No proper assessment of the impact on wildlife has been conducted by the developers. They have conducted a brief survey over a few days, not a full, professional assessment. Proceeding without a full assessment would be immoral and may be illegal. 6. Moreover, Bucknell already floods during prolonged rain; the sewer system simply cannot cope with 3,100 new houses, and this has been stated publicly by Thames Water. 7. The application goes way beyond the original eco-town planning consent. This is erosion of the green belt beyond what was accepted as necessary, and is unacceptable. 8. The ancient village of Bucknell, with its Norman church tower, will cease to exist in its own right, absorbed into the sprawl of 'Greater Bicester'. It is for these reasons that I believe this application is immoral, probably illegal, and must not be allowed to proceed. I strongly urge that planning consent is NOT granted for this development.

Received Date

21/03/2022 08:07:18

Attachments