

Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
Organisation	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Peter Turner"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="8 Haricot Vale Road,Bicester,OX27 8EP"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="While I broadly support the continued development of the NW Bicester Eco Town I object to the approval of this outline application on a number of matters. Most importantly is that it extends beyond the bounds of the ECO Town agreed at local and national level. While this, in itself is not a problem, I do object to the use of this extended area for anything other than green infrastructure (parkland, recreation ground, etc.). A key feature of the ECO Town is the provision for 40% green space throughout the development to encourage an active lifestyle, it must not be created by simply purchasing an existing adjacent green field and including it within the calculation. Secondly I also object to the link to Elmsbrook (Exemplar Phase) being optional. This link, included in the original masterplan, must be provided to accomodate bus, cycle and foot traffic to enable residents to travel freely between local centres without being forced into a car. Permeability is agin a key principle on Eco Town design as required for this parcel of land. Finally, as this application seeks to agree access, I would suggest that foot links to the wider countryside should be included within that, which currently there is no firm commitment. If these issues are addressed I would, in principle, be in support of this application."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="14/03/2022 15:41:53"/>
Attachments	